Facile synthesis of uniform magnetic graphitic carbon for an efficient adsorption of pentachlorophenol

Pengpeng Qiua, Dingyun Cuib and Jeehyeong Khim

^aDepartment of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering, Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Republic of Korea

^bYeongdeok high school, 334 Meyong-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea

Experimental Section

Materials

FeCl₃·6H₂O, trisodium citrate, ethylene glycol, sodium acetate, tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), ethanol, resorcinol, formaldehyde, concentrated ammonia solution (28 wt%), RhB, PCP, BPA were of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). All chemicals were used as received without further purification. Millipore water was used for all experiments.

Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.

The water-dispersible Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were synthesized *via* a solvothermal method reported previously.³¹ Briefly, FeCl₃·6H₂O (3.25 g), trisodium citrate (1.3 g), and sodium acetate (NaAc, 6.0 g) were dissolved in ethylene glycol (100 mL) with magnetic stirring. Afterwards, the obtained yellow solution was transferred and sealed into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave (100 mL in capacity). The autoclave was heated at 200 °C for 10 h, and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The black products were washed with deionized water and ethanol for 3 times, respectively.

Synthesis of core-shell Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ nanospheres.

The core-shell $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ nanospheres were prepared through a versatile Stöber sol-gel method. For a typical synthesis, an ethanol dispersion of the Fe_3O_4 magnetite particles obtained above (3.0 mL, 0.05 g/mL) was added to a three-neck round-bottom flask with ethanol (280 mL), deionized water (70 mL) and concentrated ammonia solution (4.0 mL, 28 wt%). The mixed solution was sonicated for 15 min. Then, 2.0 mL of TEOS was added dropwise in 10 min, and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 10 h at room temperature under continuous mechanical stirring. The resultant products (denoted as $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$) were separated and collected with a magnet, followed by washing with deionized water and ethanol for 3 times, respectively.

Synthesis of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@g-C

The uniform carbon shells were prepared via a modified Stöber method. Typically, the core-shell $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ nanospheres were dispersed in ethanol (20 mL), water (10 mL), and mixed with concentrated ammonia solution (0.50 mL, 28 wt%) under ultrasound for 15 min. Into the resultant dispersion, resorcinol (0.2 g) and formaldehyde (0.2 g) were added consecutively, and the mixed dispersion was mechanically stirred for 2 h at 45 °C. After polymerization, the magnetite $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ particles could be uniformly coated by a layer of RF resin, and the obtained core–shell $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@RF$ microspheres were collected by a magnet and washed with deionized water and ethanol three times, respectively. Then, the sample was carbonized at 850 °C under the protection of N₂ for 3 h.

For comparison, $Fe_3O_4@C-450$ and $Fe_3O_4@g-C$ core-shell nanospheres were synthesized through directly coating the carbon shell on Fe_3O_4 cores and carbonized at 450 and 850 °C, respectively.

Materials characterization.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Bruker D8X-ray diffractometer with Nifiltered Cu K α radiation (40 kV, 40 mA) and a scanning time of 1 min/degree. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were carried out on a PHI-5000 VersaProbeTM ULVAC system (Japan) with Al K monochromatic radiation (hv = 1486.6 eV). Raman and FTIR spectra were recorded ona combined Raman FT-IR spectrometer(LabRam ARAMIS IR2)with the excitation of a 532 nm laser. Nitrogen sorption isotherms were measured at 77 K with a Micromeritics Tristar 3020 analyzer (USA). Prior to measurements, the samples were degassed in a vacuum at 180 °C for 6 h. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method was utilized to calculate the specific surface areas using adsorption data in the relative pressure range P/P₀ = 0.04 - 0.3. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out on a JEOL 2011 microscope (Japan) operated at 200 kV. For TEM measurements, the sample was suspended in ethanol and supported on a holey carbon film on a Cu grid. The magnetization was measured using a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (EV9 including auto-matic sample rotation, Microsense, Japan) under a magnetic field of 10 KOe and a temperature of 24 °C.

Adsorption test

The reactor consisted of a double-layered cylindrical container with a capacity of 1.25 L (Φ 10.0 × 6 cm). The solution temperature was measured using a thermometer (Tecpel DTM-318) and maintained with a water jacket. Aqueous suspensions (100 mL) of PCP (5 mg L⁻¹) and adsorbent(50mg L⁻¹) were used for the adsorption test. A mechanical stirrer was applied for mixing the solution and adsorbent with a speed of 300 rpm. At given time intervals, 0.5 mL of the suspension wasremoved using a 2-mL syringe and filtered by a membrane with a pore size of

~ 0.45 μ m. The PCP concentration in the resultant filtrate was analyzed on a High-performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC, Agilent 1260) with a ZORBAX Eclipse Plus C18 column (4.6×100 mm, 5 μ m) and a diode array UV detector (G4212B 1260 DAD, λ = 222 nm). For carrying out the recycle test, the adsorbent was collected by applying a magnetic field (10000 Oe) after each cycle and washed 3 times by using ethanol and deionized water, respectively.



Fig. S1. EDX analysis of magnetic g-C



Fig. S2. N₂ sorption isothermals (A) and summary table for the BET surface areas calculated at relative pressure $0.05 < P/P_0 < 0.3$.



Fig. S3. The adsorption profiles for RhB and BPA pollutants (A) and the molecule structures of RhB (B) and BPA (C).

Adsorbent	T (°C)	рН	Adosrption capacity (mg g ⁻¹)	BET surfae area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Normalized adospiotn capcity (mg m ⁻²)	Ref.
Magnetic biochar	25	7.0	48.0	67	0.72	[1]
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ - MWCNTs	25	6.8	35.3	-	-	[2]
N1–ZV1 magnetic biochar	25	3-9	40-50	167.86	0.24-0.30	[3]
Fe ₃ O ₄ /C	25	5	0.005	-	-	[4]
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @g -C	15	6.8	58.0	25.73	2.30	This study

Table S1. The comparison of the adsorption capacitybetween the previous literature and this study for the adsorption of PCP.

References

[1] P. Devi, A.K. Saroha, Synthesis of the magnetic biochar composites for use as an adsorbent for the removal of pentachlorophenol from the effluent, Bioresour. Technol., 169 (2014) 525-531.

[2] L. Zhou, S. Pan, X. Chen, Y. Zhao, B. Zou, M. Jin, Kinetics and thermodynamics studies of pentachlorophenol adsorption on covalently functionalized Fe3O4@SiO2–MWCNTs core–shell magnetic microspheres, Chemical Engineering Journal, 257 (2014) 10-19.

[3] P. Devi, A.K. Saroha, Simultaneous adsorption and dechlorination of pentachlorophenol from effluent by Ni–ZVI magnetic biochar composites synthesized from paper mill sludge, Chemical Engineering Journal, 271 (2015) 195-203.

[4] J. Yang, J.-y. Li, J.-q. Qiao, S.-h. Cui, H.-z. Lian, H.-y. Chen, Magnetic solid phase extraction of brominated flame retardants and pentachlorophenol from environmental waters with carbon doped Fe3O4 nanoparticles, Appl. Surf. Sci., 321 (2014) 126-135.