

Figure S1. XPS C1s (c, d) and N1s (e, f) spectra and contact angle (g, h) of

NH<sub>2</sub>-terminated (a) and DOPA-terminated (b) surfaces, respectively.



Figure S2. Comparison between average adhesion forces of DOPA-terminated and

NH2-terminated AFM tip on SAM functionalized surfaces.

Different surface	Surface potential (mV)
SAM-OH	-36.18
SAM-COOH	-37.72
SAM-NH <sub>2</sub>	-33.91
Bare Au	-40.25
SAM-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	-28.43
SAM-CF <sub>3</sub>	-36.96
SAM-CH <sub>3</sub>	-31.21
DOPA-modified AFM tip	-44.96

## Table S1. Surface potentials of different surfaces

<b>Table S2.</b> Fitting parameters of EDLVO model for the interactions between DOPA
and bare Au, OH-, COOH-, NH2- C6H5-, CH3-, and CF3-terminated surface,

	Substrate						
Parameter	SAM-OH	SAM-COOH	SAM-NH <sub>2</sub>	Au	SAM-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	SAM-CH <sub>3</sub>	SAM-CF <sub>3</sub>
$C_1$	4.457	1.589	4.653	4.558	8.125×10 <sup>8</sup>	123.8	5.128×10 <sup>3</sup>
$\lambda_1$	0.7281	1.063	0.6626	0.5952	4.269	0.3357	3.412
$b_1$	-4.471×10 <sup>-2</sup>	-0.1512	8.063×10 <sup>-2</sup>	-0.1216	-18.01	90.24	-9.248
$C_2$	1.192	-0.1109	2.847	1.565	-1.972	2.047	-0.8661
$\lambda_2$	1.257	1.117	2.266	2.222	111	3.872	1.016
$b_2$	0.8332	5.011	-0.1301	-0.1994	-106.7	7.928×10 <sup>-3</sup>	-0.4058
$C_3$	-1.19	-0.2452	-3.206	-0.3169	-1.907×10 <sup>4</sup>	0.4858	-0.5219
$\lambda_3$	27.92	0.9286	15.46	7.362	34.63	17.64	-0.5233
$b_3$	75.73	3.841	-10.81	4.185	-104	94.18	-16.37
$C_4$	-1.256	1.444	1.201	1.191×10-2	5.467	0.2744	-5.851
$\lambda_4$	32.34	3.091	10.65	0.3858	4.946	19.91	4.851
$b_4$	44.39	0.7676	0.5817	27.06	-1.539	72.44	-2.053
$C_5$	1.93	-0.5111	_	8.260×10-3	-	0.7178	5.759
$\lambda_5$	48.56	1.387	-	0.8353	-	44.79	3.831
$b_5$	62.27	2.323	_	35.02	_	37.36	-0.5219
$C_6$	0.3988	2.598×10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	8.691×10 <sup>-3</sup>	-	3.405	-
$\lambda_6$	1.946	6.427	_	3.049	_	1.136	_

respectively.

$b_6$	2.154	5.496	-	31.09	-	-0.1108	-	
$C_7$	-968.7	2.149×10 <sup>-3</sup>	_	_	_	-1.252	_	
$\lambda_7$	164.8	5.184	-	-	-	2.595×10 <sup>4</sup>	_	
$b_7$	-464.8	26.17	_	_	_	1.81×10 <sup>4</sup>	_	
$C_8$	_	1.929×10-2	-	-	_	-0.3242	—	
$\lambda_8$	_	134.8	_	_	_	5.073	_	
$b_8$	_	6.401	-	-	_	7.984	_	

To measure the thickness of self-assembled monolayers, a spectroscopic ellipsometer (M-2000V, J.A. Woollam) has been carried out at an incidence angle of 70° and with a wavelength scan from 370.1 to 999.1 nm. The monolayer thickness of each surface using ellipsometry was in the range of 1.0~1.3 nm (Table S3), so the thickness of the monolayer is approximately the same. The depth of XPS test is 10 nm, and the ratio of peak intensities of S  $2p_{3/2}$  and Au elements on each surface was in the range of 0.048~0.055 (Table S3), evaluated by equation (1)<sup>1</sup>. It indicates that the density of the functional groups modified on each surface is almost the same, forming a uniform monomolecular layer, respectively.

$$\frac{N_{S2p_{3/2}}}{N_{Au}} = \frac{I_{S2p_{3/2}} \times S_{Au}}{I_{Au} \times S_{S2p_{3/2}}}$$
(1)

where  $I_{S2p_{3/2}}$  and  $I_{Au}$  represent each peak intensity;  $S_{S2p_{3/2}}$  and  $S_{Au}$  are the respective sensitivity factors.

Table S3. Thickness of each monolayer through ellipsometry and atomic ratio of S

surface	thickness (nm)	$N_{\rm S}2p_{3/2}/N_{\rm Au}$
SAM-OH	1.1	0.050
SAM-COOH	1.2	0.048
SAM-NH <sub>2</sub>	1.1	0.049
SAM-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	1.0	0.052
SAM-CH <sub>3</sub>	1.3	0.049
SAM-CF <sub>3</sub>	1.2	0.055

 $2p_{3/2}$  versus Au on each surface.

(1) Petrovykh, D. Y.; Kimurasuda, H.; Tarlov, M. J.; Whitman, L. J. Quantitative Characterization

of DNA Films by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy. Langmuir 2004, 20 (2), 429-440.