Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

MnO₂ aerogels for highly efficient oxidative degradation of Rhodamine B

Hang Sun,* Yinxing Shang, Kongliang Xu, Yanan Tang, Jiayi Li, Zhenning Liu*

Key Laboratory of Bionic Engineering (Ministry of Education), College of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130022, China.

E-mail: sunhang@jlu.edu.cn; liu_zhenning@jlu.edu.cn

	5 0			
Material	Mass of the material	рН	Degradation rate	Referenc e
H ₂ O ₂	1.6 g L ⁻¹	neutral	8 % in 280 min	1
H ₂ O ₂ - LaFeO ₃ /SBA-15	2 g L-1	2.28	80 % in 60 min	2
H ₂ O ₂ - CuO nanoparticles	1.8 g L ⁻¹	neutral	61 % in 280 min	2
H ₂ O ₂ - CuO nanorods	1.8 g L ⁻¹	neutral	86 % in 280 min	2
H ₂ O ₂ - CuO nanowires	1.8 g L ⁻¹	neutral	92 % in 280 min	2
H ₂ O ₂ - MnO ₂ nanoplates	2.1 g L ⁻¹	6.2	75 % in 30 min	3
raw MnO ₂	2.5 g L ⁻¹	2.0	10.35 % in 60 min	4
acid-activated MnO ₂	2.5 g L ⁻¹	6.7	78.7 % in 240 min	4
Ultrathin MnO ₂ nanosheets	0.8 g L ⁻¹	2.0	97.9% in 30 min	5
MnO ₂ aerogels	0.5 g L ⁻¹	2.5	97.6% in 10 min	Our work

Table S1. The oxidation ability of various materials measured by oxidative degradation of RhB

Ref.

[1] H. Li, J. Y. Liao and T. Zeng, Catal. Commun. , 2014, 46, 169-173.

[2] P. Xiao, J. P. Hong, T. Wang, X. L. Xu, Y. H. Yuan, J. L. Li and J. J. Zhu, *Catal. Lett.*, 2013, **143**, 887-894.

[3] Z. H. Ai, L. Z. Zhang, F. H. Kong, H. Liu, W. T. Xing and J. R. Qiu, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **111**, 162-167.

[4] C. N. Liu, D. Y. Pan, X. Y. Tang, M. F. Hou, Q. Zhou and J. Zhou, *Water Air Soil Poll*, 2016, 227.

[5] H. Sun, K. Xu, M. Huang, Y. Shang, P. She, S. Yin and Z. Liu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **357**, 69-73.



Figure S1. (a) AFM image of the MnO_2 nanosheets on a mica substrate; (b) the height profile along the white line of AFM image in (a).



Figure S2. SEM image of the structure of 1D rods in the MnO_2 aerogels



Figure S3. (a-f) SEM images of the post-reaction MnO_2 aerogels at the same observation location but of different magnifications, which increases from low (a) to high (f). The post-reaction MnO_2 aerogels have degraded RhB (pH 2.5) with magnetic stirring for 40 min.

No. Name	Average TOC	Decomposition rate
a) RhB at 0 min	82.61	$D = \frac{T_0 - T}{T_0} \times 100\% = \frac{82.61 - 6.60}{T_0} \times 100\% = 92.10\%$
B) RhB 40min	6.60	$D = \frac{1}{T_0} \times 100\% = \frac{1}{82.61} \times 100\% = 92.17$
c) Mb 0min	16.65	$D = \frac{T_0 - T}{T_0} \times 100\% = \frac{16.65 - 6.75}{T_0} \times 100\% = 59.5\%$
d) Mb 70min	6.75	$D = \frac{T_0}{T_0} \times 100 \% = \frac{16.65}{16.65} \times 100 \% = 35.35$

Table S2. The TOC measurement for RhB and Mb degradation

(a) TOC of pure RhB aqueous solution (5 mg L^{-1} , pH = 2.5).

(b) TOC of RhB aqueous solution (5 mg L^{-1} , pH = 2.5) after degradation with MnO₂ aerogel (0.5 g L^{-1}).

(c) TOC of pure MB aqueous solution (5 mg L^{-1} , pH = 2.5).

(d) TOC of MB aqueous solution (5 mg L^{-1} , pH = 2.5) after degradation with MnO₂ aerogel (0.5 g L^{-1}).

TOC were measured at 5.8x (a) and 50x (b, c, d) dilution and calculated back.