Supporting Information

Fabrication and Interfacial Characteristics of

Surface Modified Ag Nanoparticle Based Conductive Composites

Yingsi Wu¹, Lun-De Liao², Han-Chi Pan², Leng He^{2,3}, Chin-Teng Lin⁴ and Mei Chee Tan^{1,*}

- Engineering Product Development, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore, 8 Somapah Rd, Singapore 487372.
- ^{2.} Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Nanomedicine, National Health Research Institutes, 35 Keyan Rd., Zhunan Town, Miaoli County 35053, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- ^{3.} Institute of Biomedical Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, 1001 Ta-Hsueh Road, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- ^{4.} Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology Sydney, City campus 15 Broadway, Sydney 2007, Australia.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: meichee.tan@sutd.edu.sg



Figure S1 Size distribution of the as-synthesized (a) Ag-PAA, (b) Ag-PVP, (c) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1) and (d) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10) nanoparticles.



Figure S2 TGA profiles of Ag-PAA, Ag-PVP, Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1) and Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10) nanoparticles up to 600 $^{\circ}$ C under N₂ atmosphere.



Figure S3 (a, b) Thermal behaviors for weight losses by TGA and (c, d) transition temperatures by DSC of pure PAA and PVP, complexes of PAA-PVP (1:1) and PAA-PVP (1:10), as well as physical blends of PAA+PVP (1:1) and PAA+PVP (1:10) in N₂ atmosphere.



Figure S4 Optical photos of the suspensions containing toluene and (a) Ag-PAA, (b) Ag-PVP, (c) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1) and (d) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10) nanoparticles at the standing times of 0 min and 5 min.



Figure S5 Low magnification cross-section SEM images of (a) Ag-PAA/PDMS, (b) Ag-PVP/PDMS, (c) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1)/PDMS and (d) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10)/PDMS composites.



Fig. S6 Optical microscope images showing the positions of the 2 point probes for the as-printed (a) Ag-PAA, (b) Ag-PVP, (c) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1) and (d) Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10) inks containing 40 wt% Ag particles on glass slides with probe station measurement.

Table S1 Particle sizes of the synthesized Ag-PAA, Ag-PVP, Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1) and Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10) nanoparticles according to SEM observation and DLS measurements.

Samples	SEM Diameter (nm)	DLS Diameter (nm)	Thickness, radii difference (nm)
Ag-PAA	158 ± 52	195 ± 4.5	18.5
Ag-PVP	40 ± 5.5	70 ± 1.3	15
Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1)	138 ± 46	173 ± 4.9	17.5
Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10)	149 ± 50	186 ± 5.5	18.5

Table S2 Elemental analysis results of Ag-PAA, Ag-PVP, Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1) and Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10) PDMS composites determined by EDS.

Samples	Ag (at%)	O (at%)	Si (at%)
Ag-PAA	0.9	38.8	57.1
Ag-PVP	14.3	44.0	38.5
Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1)	14.2	42.1	40.3
Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10)	16.1	42.6	38.1

Table S3 Two-point probe measurements of the intrinsic linear resistivity of our surface modified nanoparticles. Distance, cross-section area, measured resistance, calculated resistivity and calculated conductivity of the printed Ag-PAA, Ag-PVP, Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1) and Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10) lines.

Samples	Distance/L (µm)	Area/S (μm ²)	Resistance/R (Ω)	Resistivity/ρ (μΩ.cm)	Conductivity/σ (S/cm)
Ag-PAA	235	1376	1.95×10 ⁷	1.14×10 ⁶	8.74×10 ⁻¹
Ag-PVP	175	1792	2.62×10 ⁵	2.03×10 ⁴	4.92×10 ¹
Ag-PAA-PVP (1:1)	270	2408	1.37×10 ⁷	1.22×10^{6}	8.18×10 ⁻¹
Ag-PAA-PVP (1:10)	350	2812	1.61×10 ⁷	1.30×10 ⁶	7.72×10 ⁻¹