Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Advances. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

Table S1: Recovery% for TMP,SDI, STZ, CTC, DOX, FF, NAL and FLU from spiked fish muscle by HPLC-UV method after using different extraction solvents .

| | Compound recovery ^a % | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Solvent | ТМР | SDI | STZ | СТС | DOX | FF | NAL | FLU | | |
| Methanol | 47.40 | 45.70 | 50.60 | 14.90 | 18.20 | 56.90 | 8.20 | 6.50 | | |
| 0.2% formic acid in | 63.00 | 87.00 | 92.40 | 43.80 | 40.90 | 95.80 | 14.30 | 0.00 | | |
| methanol | | | | | | | | 9.80 | | |
| 0.2% formic acid in | 55.80 | 85.20 | 91.00 | 57.00 | 44.00 | 83.00 | 92.90 | 95.20 | | |
| acetonitrile | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.2% formic acid in | 88.90 | 99.00 | 99.80 | 99.70 | 95.90 | 99.90 | 94.20 | 93.40 | | |
| acetonitrile +Methanol | | | | | | | | | | |

^a: Mean of three determinations.

| Compound | Retention time (min) | Capacity factor (K [/]) | Selectivity (α) ^a | Resolution (R _s) ^b | Tailing factor |
|----------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| ТМР | 4.58 | 4.39 | 1.23 _(a1) | 3.82 _(b1) | 0.96 |
| SDI | 5.44 | 5.41 | 1.16 _(a2) | 2.87 _(b2) | 0.81 |
| STZ | 6.22 | 6.32 | 1.75 _(a3) | 17.56 _(b3) | 0.79 |
| CTC | 10.27 | 11.09 | $1.09_{(a4)}$ | 5.49 _(b4) | 1.10 |
| DOX | 11.19 | 12.16 | $1.16_{(a5)}$ | 9.90 _(b5) | 0.99 |
| FF | 12.70 | 14.18 | 1.27 _(a6) | 16.50 _(b6) | 0.83 |
| NAL | 16.19 | 18.05 | $1.05_{(a7)}$ | 3.09 _(b7) | 0.78 |
| FLU | 17.03 | 19.04 | | | 0.95 |

Table S2: The system suitability test results of the developed HPLC-UV method for determination of TMP, SDI, STZ, CTC, DOX, FF, NAL and FLU.

The retention time of unretained peak is 0.85 min.

- a_1 , b_1 : are α and R_s calculated for SDI-TMP.
- a_2 , b_2 : are α and R_s calculated for STZ-SDI.
- a_3 , b_3 : are α and R_s calculated for CTC-STZ.
- a_4 , b_4 : are α and R_s calculated for DOX-CTC.
- a_5 , b_5 : are α and R_s calculated for FF-DOX.
- a_6 , b_6 : are α and R_s calculated for NAL-FF.
- a_7 , b_7 : are α and R_s calculated for FLU-NAL.

Table S3: Characteristic parameters of the calibration equations for the proposed HPLC-UV method for simultaneous determination of TMP, SDI, STZ, CTC, DOX, FF, NAL, and FLU in water.

| Parameters | ТМР | SDI | STZ | СТС | DOX | FF | NAL | FLU |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Calibration range (µg ml ⁻¹) | 2-30 | 2-25 | 2-25 | 2-30 | 2-30 | 5-40 | 2-20 | 2-25 |
| Detection limit (µg ml ⁻¹) | 0.016 | 0.024 | 0.019 | 0.014 | 0.02 | 0.025 | 0.005 | 0.01 |
| Quantitation limit (µg ml ⁻¹) | 0.056 | 0.08 | 0.063 | 0.049 | 0.067 | 0.08 | 0.017 | 0.03 |
| Regression equation (Y) ^a : Slope (b) | 37.41×10 ³ | 13.18×10 ⁴ | 11.20×10 ⁴ | 47.81×10 ³ | 41.80×10 ³ | 61.56×10 ² | 23.33×10 ⁴ | 11.82×10 ⁴ |
| Standard deviation of the slope (S_b) | 274.69 | 1385.8 | 932.56 | 307.85 | 371.49 | 67.17 | 543.3 | 492.57 |
| Relative standard deviation of the slope (%) | 0.73 | 1.05 | 0.83 | 0.64 | 0.88 | 1.09 | 0.23 | 0.41 |
| Confidence limit of the slope ^b | 37.17×10 ³ - 37.64×10 ³ | 13.06×10 ³ – 13.30×10 ³ | $\frac{11.11 \times 10^4 - }{11.28 \times 10^4}$ | 47.54×10 ³ - 48.08×10 ³ | 41.48×10 ³ - 42.12×10 ³ | 60.98×10 ² - 62.14×10 ² | $23.28{\times}10^4{-}\\23.38{\times}10^4{-}$ | $\frac{11.77 \times 10^4}{11.86 \times 10^4} -$ |
| Intercept (a) | 109.2 | 1084.9 | 613.78 | 60.408 | -120.39 | -54.85 | 283.26 | 303.59 |
| Standard deviation of the intercept (S _a) | 481.89 | 1946.38 | 1309.74 | 540.05 | 651.71 | 169.61 | 604.1 | 691.79 |
| Confidence limit of the intercept ^b | (-307.68) – 526.1 | (-598.91) – 2768.76 | (-519.29) – 1746.86 | (-406.8) – 527.6 | (-684.2) – 443.4 | (-201.59) – 91.87 | (-239.36) – 805.88 | (-294.88) – 902.07 |
| Correlation coefficient (r) | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9998 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 |

 ${}^{a}Y = a + bC$, where C is the concentration of compound in $\mu g m I^{-1}$ and Y is the peak area.

b95 % confidence limit.

Table S4: Characteristic parameters of the calibration equations for the proposed HPLC-UV method for simultaneous determination of TMP, SDI, STZ, CTC, DOX, FF, NAL, and FLU in fish muscle.

| Parameters | ТМР | SDI | STZ | СТС | DOX | FF | NAL | FLU |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Calibration range (µg kg ⁻¹) | 30-300 | 30-300 | 30-300 | 30-300 | 30-300 | 30-300 | 30-300 | 30-300 |
| Detection limit (µg kg ⁻¹) | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Quantitation limit (µg kg-1) | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.13 |
| Regression equation (Y) ^a : Slope (b) | 23.37 | 19.52 | 19.37 | 19.23 | 26.38 | 23.49 | 22.20 | 19.45 |
| Standard deviation of the slope (S_b) | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.36 |
| Relative standard deviation of the slope (%) | 1.43 | 1.17 | 1.87 | 1.50 | 1.73 | 1.66 | 1.21 | 1.87 |
| Confidence limit of the slope ^b | 23.04-23.69 | 19.30-19.74 | 19.02 - 19.72 | 18.95 –19.51 | 25.94 - 26.83 | 23.11-23.87 | 21.94 -22.46 | 19.09 -19.80 |
| Intercept (a) | 504.59 | 989.72 | 1142.90 | 788.11 | 384.89 | 273.42 | 2098.95 | 2091.34 |
| Standard deviation of the intercept (S_a) | 60.40 | 41.30 | 65.54 | 52.16 | 82.87 | 70.74 | 48.76 | 65.86 |
| Confidence limit of the intercept ^b | 445.91 – 563.28 | 949.60 – 1029.85 | 1079.22 – 1206.58 | 737.42 – 838.79 | 304.37 – 465.41 | 204.68 – 342.16 | 2051.57 – 2146.33 | 2027.35 - 2155.33 |
| Correlation coefficient (r) | 0.9998 | 0.9998 | 0.9997 | 0.9998 | 0.9997 | 0.9997 | 0.9998 | 0.9997 |

 ${}^{a}Y = a + bC$, where C is the concentration of compound in $\mu g kg^{-1}$ and Y is the peak area.

^b95 % confidence limit.

| Parameters | SDI | СТС | FF |
|--|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| Calibration range (ng ml ⁻¹) | 0.001-100 | 0.001-60 | 0.001-20 |
| Detection limit (ng ml ⁻¹) | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Quantitation limit (ng ml-1) | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| Regression equation (Y) ^a : | 21.64 | 16.01 | 5.27 |
| Standard deviation of the slope (S_b) | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.10 |
| Relative standard deviation of the slope (%) | 1.08 | 1.69 | 2.02 |
| Confidence limit of the slope ^b | 21.41 - 21.87 | 15.75 -16.28 | 5.16-5.37 |
| Intercept (a) | -14.89 | 6.58 | 1.75 |
| Standard deviation of the intercept (S_a) | 36.09 | 9.77 | 0.11 |
| Confidence limit of the intercept ^b | -49.95-20.17 | -2.92-16.08 | 1.64–1.85 |
| Correlation coefficient (r) | 0.9999 | 0.9998 | 0.9997 |
| Standard error of estimation | 23.36 | 5.42 | 0.0776 |

Table S5: Characteristic parameters of the calibration equations for LC-MS method forsimultaneous determination of SDI, CTC and FF in water sample.

 $^{a}Y = a + bC$, where C is the concentration of compound in ng ml⁻¹ and Y is the peak area.

b95 % confidence limit.

| Parameters | SDI | СТС | FF |
|---|----------------|------------------|---------------|
| Calibration range (µg kg ⁻¹) | 10-150 | 10-150 | 10-150 |
| Detection limit (µg kg ⁻¹) | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Quantitation limit (µg kg ⁻¹) | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.13 |
| Regression equation (Y) ^a : Slope (b) | 42.89 | 315.30 | 178.76 |
| Standard deviation of the slope (S_b) | 0.74 | 6.07 | 3.39 |
| Relative standard deviation of the slope (%) | 1.74 | 1.92 | 1.89 |
| Confidence limit of the slope ^b | 42.17 - 43.62 | 309.96 - 321.20 | 172.46-182.05 |
| Intercept (a) | -16.53 | 9.59 | 11.94 |
| Standard deviation of the intercept (S _a) | 58.55 | 475.02 | 14.89 |
| Confidence limit of the intercept ^b | -73.42 - 40.35 | -451.96 - 471.09 | -2.52-26.41 |
| Correlation coefficient (r) | 0.9997 | 0.9997 | 0.9997 |

Table S6: Characteristic parameters of the calibration equations for LC-MS method forsimultaneous determination of SDI, CTC and FF in fish muscle.

 $^{a}Y = a + bC$, where C is the concentration of compound in $\mu g \text{ kg}^{-1}$ and Y is the peak area.

^b95 % confidence limit.

Table S7: a) Factors for robustness study and b) responses measured.

a)Factors for robustness study.

| Independent factors | Levels | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| independent factors | Level(-1) | Level(0) | Level(+1) | | | |
| A- ACN% start | 8 | 10 | 12 | | | |
| B- ACN% end | 38 | 40 | 42 | | | |
| C-Column temperature (°C) | 23 | 25 | 27 | | | |
| D-flow rate(ml/min) | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | | | |

b)responses measured.

| | D | | | | | | R | esponses | |
|-----------|---|----|----|----|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|------|
| Sta Run A | Α | В | вС | | Rt(TMP) | Rt(FLU) | Rs(SDI-STZ) | Rs(NAL-FLU) | |
| 5 | 1 | 8 | 38 | 27 | 1.3 | 4.70 | 18.80 | 2.88 | 4.06 |
| 1 | 2 | 8 | 38 | 23 | 1.1 | 6.70 | 16.80 | 1.96 | 3.66 |
| 2 | 3 | 12 | 38 | 23 | 1.3 | 4.14 | 15.75 | 2.29 | 3.51 |
| 9 | 4 | 10 | 40 | 25 | 1.2 | 4.58 | 17.03 | 2.80 | 3.09 |
| 8 | 5 | 12 | 42 | 27 | 1.3 | 4.20 | 17.80 | 2.80 | 3.70 |
| 7 | 6 | 8 | 42 | 27 | 1.1 | 6.40 | 17.39 | 2.88 | 3.17 |
| 4 | 7 | 12 | 42 | 23 | 1.1 | 4.70 | 18.08 | 2.12 | 4.05 |
| 3 | 8 | 8 | 42 | 23 | 1.3 | 5.10 | 17.05 | 2.15 | 3.81 |
| 6 | 9 | 12 | 38 | 27 | 1.1 | 4.15 | 16.76 | 1.57 | 4.14 |

| Factors | Rt(T | MP) | Rt(F | LU) | Rs(SDI-STZ) Rs | | Rs(NA | AL-FLU) |
|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------------|------------|----------|------------|
| r actors | F | p * | F | p * | F | p * | F | p * |
| A-ACN% start | 13.85816 | 0.0204 | 0.336647 | 0.5929 | 0.715766 | 0.4452 | 0.109375 | 0.6519 |
| B-ACN% end | 0.214264 | 0.6675 | 0.603937 | 0.4805 | 0.941322 | 0.3869 | 0.236931 | 0.6793 |
| C-Temperature | 0.601904 | 0.4812 | 1.165424 | 0.3411 | 1.5616 | 0.2796 | 0.198055 | 0.9791 |
| D-Flow rate | 6.169975 | 0.0679 | 0.016928 | 0.9028 | 1.523044 | 0.2847 | 0.000774 | 0.9687 |

Table S8: ANOVA results for robustness test. A 5% level of significance was desired.

 \ast p-value should be less than 0.05 to be statistically significant



Fig. S1: Responses surfaces related to the interaction effects of the initial fraction of mobile phase B(ACN% start) and the final fraction of mobile phase B (ACN% end): a) the retention time of the first eluted peak Rt(TMP) ,b) the retention time of the last eluted peak Rt (FLU), c) the resolution of critical pair Rs(SDI-STZ) and d) the resolution of critical pair Rs(NAL-FLU) .Column temperature was kept constant at 25°C and flow rate was 1 ml/min.



Fig. S2: Responses surfaces related to the interaction effects of the initial fraction of mobile phase B(ACN% start) and Column temperature: a) the retention time of the first eluted peak Rt(TMP), b) the retention time of the last eluted peak Rt(FLU), c) the resolution of critical pair Rs(SDI-STZ) and d) the resolution of critical pair Rs(FLU-NAL). the final fraction of mobile phase B (ACN\% end) was kept constant at 45% and flow rate of the mobile phase was 1 ml/min.



Fig. S3: Responses surfaces related to the interaction effects of the initial fraction of mobile phase B(ACN% start) and flow rate of the mobile phase: a) the retention time of the first eluted peakRt(TMP), b) the retention time of the last eluted peak Rt(FLU), c) the resolution of critical pair Rs(SDI-STZ) and d) the resolution of critical pair Rs(FLU-NAL). Column temperature was kept constant at 30°C and the final fraction of mobile phase B (ACN% end) was at 45%.



Fig. S4: Responses surfaces related to the interaction effects of the final fraction of mobile phase B (ACN% end) and Column temperature: a) the retention time of the first eluted peak Rt(TMP) ,b) the retention time of the last eluted peak Rt(FLU), c) the resolution of critical pair Rs(SDI-STZ) and d) the resolution of critical pair Rs(NAL-FLU) . the initial fraction of mobile phase B(ACN% start) was kept constant at 15% and flow rate of the mobile phase was 1 ml/min.



Fig. S5: Responses surfaces related to the interaction effects of the final fraction of mobile phase B (ACN% end) and flow rate of the mobile phase: a) the retention time of the first eluted peak Rt(TMP) ,b) the retention time of the last eluted peak Rt(FLU), c) the resolution of critical pair Rs(SDI-STZ) and d) the resolution of critical pair Rs(FLU-NAL) . Column temperature was kept constant at 30°C and the initial fraction of mobile phase B (ACN% start) was 15%.



Fig. S6: Responses surfaces related to the interaction effects of Column temperature and flow rate of the mobile phase : a) the retention time of the first eluted peak Rt(TMP) ,b) the retention time of the last eluted peak Rt(FLU), c) the resolution of critical pair Rs (SDI-STZ) and d) the resolution of critical pair Rs (FLU-NAL) . The final fraction of mobile phase B (ACN% end) was kept constant at 45%. and the initial fraction of mobile phase B(ACN% start) was 15%.



Fig. S7: Response surface obtained for desirability function for different two factors interaction.