## **Supporting Information**

# Photocatalytic copper-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) click reaction with Cu(II) coordination polymer

Xiangyang Guo,<sup>a</sup> Le Zeng,<sup>a</sup> Zhe Wang,<sup>a</sup> Tiexin Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Cheng He<sup>a</sup> and Chunying Duan<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, P. R.

China, 116024.

E-mail: cyduan@dlut.edu.cn

#### Contents

Section 1 X-ray crystallography Section 2 Characterizations of catalysts Section 3 Catalysis details Section 4 References

#### Section 1 X-ray crystallography

The crystallographic data of Cu-**TCTA** were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =0.71073 Å) using the SMART and SAINT programs.<sup>S2,S2</sup> Routine Lorentz polarization and multi-scan absorption correction were applied to the intensity data. Their structures were determined, and the heavy atoms were identified by direct methods using the SHELXTL-97 program package.<sup>S3</sup> The remaining atoms were found from successive full-matrix least-squares refinements on  $F^2$  and Fourier syntheses. Except for the disordered carbon and oxygen atoms, the non-hydrogen atoms of the ligand backbones were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were fixed geometrically at calculated positions and allowed to ride on the parent non-hydrogen atoms. In the refinement of the crystal data of Cu-**TCTA**, the solvent DMF molecule was disordered into two parts. Several constraints, including fixing the bond distances of these disordered atoms, were used. The crystallographic data are summarized in Table S1.

Table S1 Crystallographic and structural refinement data.

	Cu- <b>TCTA</b>		
Empirical formula	$C_{39}H_{32}CuN_3O_8S_3$		
M, g mol <sup>-1</sup>	830.39		
Crystal system	Monoclinic		
space group	P2(1)/c		
a, Å	16.213(13)		
b, Å	19.359(14)		
<i>c</i> , Å	12.323(9)		
$\alpha = \gamma$ , °	90		
<i>β</i> , °	90.991(12)		
V, Å <sup>3</sup>	3867(5)		
Z	4		
dcalcd, g cm <sup>-3</sup>	1.426		
<i>Т</i> , К	273(2)		
Reflections collected	20944		
Independent reflections	6803		
$\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ), mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.782		
GOOF	0.985		
F(000)	1712		
Fincal R Indices	$R_{I}^{a} = 0.0802$		
$(I > 2\sigma(I))$	$wR_2^{b} = 0.1872$		
R Indices (all data)	$R_{I}^{a} = 0.2434$		
	$wR_2^{b} = 0.2835$		
diff peak and hole, $e^{A^{-3}}$	0.807 and -0.262		

 ${}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \Sigma |F_{o}|; {}^{b}wR_{2} = \Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}] / \Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{1/2}$ 

Section 2 Characterizations of catalysts



**Fig. S1** (a) The UV-vis absorption of  $H_3$ **TCA** and  $H_3$ **TCTA** in N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF). (b) Solid-state UV-vis absorption spectra of  $H_3$ **TCTA** and Cu–**TCTA**.



**Fig. S2** (a) Left: UV-vis measurements of methylene blue dye released from Cu-**TCTA** (red curve) and UV-vis spectra of different concentrations of methylene dye. Right: The standard linear relationship between the absorption and concentration.



Fig. S3 Comparison of the IR spectra of Cu-TCTA, Cu-TCTA@1a and Cu-TCTA@2a.



**Fig. S4** TGA plot of as-synthesized Cu-**TCTA**, exhibiting two steps of weight loss processes and indicating that the framework was stable up to ~200 °C. The initial weight loss can be attributed to the weight loss of solvents (ethanol and DMF) in the pore of framework. The second weight loss is assigned to the decomposition of ligand  $H_3$ **TCTA**.

### Section 3 Catalysis details

	$a + \langle \sum_{2a} \rangle =$	Cu-TCTA TEA Solvent, air		Sa Sa
Entry	Solvent	Electron Donor (ED)	% Yield of <b>3a</b>	
			Light <sup>b</sup>	Dark
1	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	TEA	20.8	trace
2	EtOH	TEA	30.7	4.5
3	THF	TEA	68.5	4.0
4	$CH_2Cl_2$	TEA	84.0	4.3
5	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	TEA	94.3	8.4
6	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	none	trace	ND
$7^{\rm c}$	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	TEA	2.9	ND

 Table S2
 Effect of the Solvent on the Photocatalytic CuAAC Using Cu-TCTA as the Catalyst.

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Cu-**TCTA** (0.5 mol %), azide/alkyne/ED (1:1:1), room temperature, 6 h. Yields were calculated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>b</sup> Household light irradiation at 7 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. <sup>c</sup> Catalyst was  $H_3$ **TCTA**.

	Time(h)	% Yield of <b>3a</b>		
Entry		А	$B^{a}$	
1	1	2.4		
2	2	13.1		
3	3	36.6	36.6	
4	4	87.7		
5	5	93.4		
6	6	94.3	43.3	

 Table S3
 Kinetic study of the photocatalytic reaction of 1a and 2a in CDCl<sub>3</sub> upon irradiation.

<sup>a</sup>The catalyst was removed after 3h.



**Fig. S5** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) monitoring of the click reaction progress using catalyst Cu-**TCTA**, showing the increasing tendency of the peaks at around 5.54, 7.65, 7.78 and 7.80 ppm, while the gradual vanish of the peaks at around 3.07, 4.31, 7.47 and 7.49 ppm, respectively, with the proceeding of the reaction.



**Fig. S6** The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of Cu-**TCTA** (a) by grinding and as-synthesized (b).



**Fig. S7** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) of the click reaction after 6 h using catalyst Cu-**TCTA** as-synthesized, Cu-**TCA** and MOF-150. Yields of them are 7%, Trace and 2%, respectively.



Fig. S8 The single-crystal X-ray diffraction structure of product 3a.



Fig. S9 The  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) spectra of the mixture after 6h reaction.

#### **Section 4 References**

- S1. SMART, Data collection software (version 5.629); Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2003.
- S2. SAINT, Data reduction software (version 6.45); Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2003.
- S3. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX-97, Program for crystal structure solution; University of Gätingen:

Göttingen, Germany, **1997**.