## **Supporting Information**

## Colloidal Quantum Dot Chains: Self-Assembled Mechanism and Ratiometric

Qin Sun, <sup>a,b,c</sup> Liang Yang,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Lei Su, <sup>a,b,c</sup> Weikang Liu,<sup>a</sup> Yifan Wang,<sup>a</sup> Shaoming Yu, Changlong Jiang,<sup>\*,a,b,c</sup> and Zhongping Zhang<sup>a,c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, Institute of Intelligent Machines, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, Anhui 230031, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

<sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory of Transducer Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, Anhui 230031, China.

<sup>d</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui 230601, China

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: cljiang@iim.ac.cn. Fax: (+86) 551-65591156.



Figure S1. FTIR spectra of TTCA (left) and TTCA-QDs (right)



**Figure S2.** The normalized absorption spectra of MPA-QDs and TTCA-QDs. Inserts are the corresponding digital photos.



Figure S3. The normalized fluorescent intensity of TTCA-QDs and MPA QDs respectively.



**Figure S4.** TEM images of the nanocrystals with different sizes. The green-emission QDs with the size of about 2 nm without (A) and with the treatment of TTCA(B), Au nanoparticles with the size of about 12 nm without (C) and with the treatment of TTCA(D). Insert HRTEM images in A and C indicate the nice crystalline essence of the QDs and Au NPs



**Figure S5.** TEM image of TTCA-QDs for different amounts of TTCA used in the experiments. (A) 50  $\mu$ L 0.01 M; (B) 150  $\mu$ L 0.01 M; (C)200  $\mu$ L 0.01 M; (D) 250  $\mu$ L 0.01M; (E) 300  $\mu$ L 0.01M; (F)400  $\mu$ L 0.01M. (G)The Fluorescent spectra of different QDs assemblies.



**Figure S6**. Fluorescent spectra of CDs and TTCA-modified CdTe QDs and the mixing TTCA-QDs/CDs at the excitation of 350 nm (the inset photos were taken under 365nm UV lamp).



**Figure S7**. Fluorescent spectra of CDs (left) and TTCA-QDs (right) with the addition of As(III). The insets show the corresponding fluorescent photos under 365 nm UV lam



**Figure S8.** Effect of temperature and pH on the fluorescence intensity ratio ( $I_{640}/I_{445}$ ) of the ratiometric probe in the absence (black line) and presence (red line) of 100 ppb As<sup>3+</sup>.



**Figure S9.** The fluorescent spectra of mixture of blue CDs and red TTCA-QD chains at different ratios with the addition of As(III). The ratios of fluorescent intensity (blue to red) were (A) 3:1, (B) 2:1, (C)1:1, (D)1:2, (E)1:3 The insets show the corresponding fluorescent photos under 365 nm UV lamp.



**Figure S10** The chromaticity data of the optimum choice for CDs and TTCA-QD chains ratio at 3:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2, 1:3 respectively.



Figure S11. The TEM image of the TTCA-QDS samples after adding As<sup>3+</sup>



**Figure S12.** (A) The fluorescent spectra of mixture of blue CDs and red MPA QDs at ratios 1:2 with the addition of As(III). (B) Fluorescent intensity ratio, In ( $I_{640}/I_{445}$ ), vs the concentrations of As(III) in the MPA-QDs/CDs. The LOD was 5ppb.



**Figure S13.** Photostabilities of (A) blue CDs and (B) the ratio of mixing TTCA-QDs/CDs fluorescence (at 640 and 445 nm).



Figure S14. The dynamics of fluorescent responses of TTCA-QDs/CDs to 50 and 100 ppb As(III).



**Figure S15.** (a) Fluorescent responses of TTCA-QDs/CDs to  $Hg^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $As^{3+}$  ( $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}:13.4\mu M$ ,  $As^{3+}:1.34\mu M$ ). (b)  $Fe^{3+}$ ,  $Fe^{3+}+S_2O_3^{2-}$ ,  $Fe^{3+}+S_2O_3^{2-}+As^{3+}$  ( $Fe^{3+}:13.4\mu M$ ,  $S_2O_3^{2-}:134\mu M$ ,  $As^{3+}:1.34\mu M$ ). (c) Fluorescent responses to  $Hg^{2+}$ ,  $Hg^{2+}+I^+RhB$ ,  $Hg^{2+}+I^+RhB^+As^{3+}$ . The addition of  $S_2O_3^{2-}$  and  $I^-$ , RhB can effectively eliminate the interferences of  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$  respectively.

Methods	Detection mechanism	Detection limit(ppb)	Refs
Au-Cu bimetallic	Electrochemical detection	2.09	1
Ag nanoparticles	Surface-enhanced Raman	0.76	2
Graphene oxide	Electrochemical detection	500	3
Aptamer sensor	Colorimetric/resonance scattering	40	4
Instrument	Inductively coupled plasma-mass	6.2	5
Instrument	Atomic absorption spectrometry	0.008	6
Our work	Fluorescent test paper	1	Our work

Table S1. The comparisons of As(III) nanosensors in the previous and present works

**Table S2.** The recoveries of As(III) in tap water and local lake water using the fluorescent measurements of TTCA-QDs/CDs probes.

Spiked concentration (ppb)	Tap water		Lake water			
	Found (ppb)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)	Found (ppb)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
10	10.3	103	4.7	9.9	99	2.9
50	49.7	99.4	3.4	48.6	97.2	5.8
100	102.5	102.5	5.5	103.4	103.4	4.6

## References

- 1. M. Yang, Z. Guo, L.-N. Li, Y.-Y. Huang, J.-H. Liu, Q. Zhou, X. Chen and X.-J. Huang, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2016, 231, 70-78.
- 2. J. Li, L. Chen, T. Lou and Y. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2011, 3, 3936-3941.
- 3. S. Kumar, G. Bhanjanaa, N. Dilbaghi, R. Kumar and A. Umar, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2016, 227, 29-34.
- 4. Y. Wu, L. Liu, S. Zhan, F. Wang and P. Zhou, Analyst, 2012, 137, 4171-4178.
- 5. E. Becker, R. T. Rampazzo, M. B. Dessuy, M. G. R. Vale, M. M. da Silva, B. Welz and D. A. Katskov, Spectochim. Acta B, 2011, 66, 345-351.
- 6. C.Y. Tai, S.J. Jiang and A. C. Sahayam, Food Chem., 2016, 192, 274-279.