Supporting Information for

A Facile In Situ Approach to Ion Gel Based Polymer Electrolyte for Flexible Lithium Batteries

Bingyu Huang^{*a*}, Youdi zhang^{*a*}, Mingming Que^{*a*}, Yingbo Xiao^{*a*}, Yaoquan Jiang^{*c*}, Kai Yuan^{**a,b*}, and Yiwang Chen^{*a,b*}

^aCollege of Chemistry, Nanchang University, 999 Xuefu Avenue, Nanchang 330031,

China

^bJiangxi Provincial Key Laboratory of New Energy Chemistry/Institute of Polymers, Nanchang University, 999 Xuefu Avenue, Nanchang 330031, China

^cJiangxi Ganfeng Lithium Co., Ltd, 608 Nanyuan Road, Xinyu 338015, China

Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 791 83968703; fax: +86 791 83969561. E-mail: kai.yuan@ncu.edu.cn (K.Y.).

1. Experimental Section

1.1 Synthesis of imidazolium based monomer MHBIm-TFSI

The synthesis of imidazolium based monomer, 1-[(2-methacryloyloxy) hexyl]-3butylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethanesulphonyl) imide (MHBIm-Br) as shown in **Scheme S1**. Typically, 19 g (0.105 mol) 6-bromo-1-hexanol in 30 mL of dichloromethane was added to a two-neck 100-mL flask and stirred for 10 min in an ice bath. Then, 11.1 g (0.11 mol) of triethylamine along with 10 mL of dichloromethane was added slowly by a funnel under N₂ atmosphere. After stirring the mixture for 10 min, 10.5 g (0.1 mol) methacryloyl chloride in 10 mL dichloromethane was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred 12 h at room temperature and then filtered. Washing the filtrate with 200 mL of DI water four times and collecting the organic layer. Remaining water was further dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Then dichloromethane in the mixture was removed by vacuum, after which clear liquid 3-bromohexyl methacrylate yielded (70% yield).

To obtain imidazolium bromide monomer, a mixture consists of 16.3 g (0.07 mol) 3-

bromopropyl methacrylate and 8.69 g (0.07 mol) 1-butyl imidazole and a small amount of 2,6-di-tertbutyl-4-methylphenol (inhibitor), was stirred in a 40 °C oil bath for 24 h, after which viscous liquid was obtained (80% yield). The resulting liquid was dissolved in 30 mL dichloromethane and re-precipitated in 200 mL diethyl ether in an ice bath.

Imidazolium bis(trifluoromethane sulfonyl)imide monomer MHBIm-TFSI is prepared by a simply ion-exchange method. Briefly, LiTFSI is added into homogeneous acetone solution of MHBIm-Br with the anion mole ratio of TFSI⁻/Br⁻ =3/1. The raw product was purified via washing with deionized water several times to remove the superfluous lithium salts. The organic layer was further dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Then remained sovents in the mixture were further removed by vacuum. After that pure MHBIm-TFSI was obtained. ¹H NMR (δppm, DMSO-d₆): ¹H NMR (δppm, DMSO-d₆): 9.16 (s, 1H, N-CH=N), 7.76 (d, 2H, N-CH-CH-N), 5.98 (s, 1H, HCH=C(CH₃)), 5.62 (s,1H, HCH=C(CH₃)), 4.15 (t, 2H, N-CH₂-(CH₂)₄-CH₂-O), 4.05 (t, 2H, N-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-O) 3.93 (t, 2H, N-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-CH₃), 1.85 (s, 3H, CH₂=C(CH₃)).

1.2 preparation of PVDF-HFP electrospun membrane

PVDF-HFP nanofibrous membranes are prepared by electrospinning. A 16 wt. % solution of PVDF-HFP for electrospinning was prepared by dissolving PVDF-HFP in a mixture of acetone and N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) (3/1, V/V) by mechanical stirring over night. The solution was taken in the syringe and delivered with a flow rate of 1.2 ml h⁻¹. Electrospinning progress was conducted at an electric voltage of 20 kV at room temperature with the above solution, the distance between target drum and the syringe needle tip (inner diameter 22 μ m) was 15 cm.



Scheme S1. Synthesis route of imidazolium based monomer MHBIm-TFSI.



Fig. S1 Stress-strain curve of IPN-GPE 3/7 electrolyte membrane.



Fig. S2 Combustion testing of 1 M LiPF₆ EC/EMC/DEC liquid electrolyte (left) and IPN-GPE 3/7 (right).



Fig. S3 Electrolyte membrane prepared with monomers of (A) PEGMA and PEGDA,and(B)MHBIm-TFSIandPEGDA.

Samples	MHBIm-TFSI	PEGDA	EMIM-TFSI	LiTFSI
	(wt%)	(wt%)	(wt%)	(wt%)
IPN-GPE 10	64	20	0	16
IPN-GPE 8/2,	55.7	17.4	9.5	17.4
IPN-GPE 5/5,	40	12.7	27.3	20
IPN-GPE 3/7	26.8	8.3	42.6	22.3

Table S1. The individual components in IPN-GPE 10, IPN-GPE 8/2, IPN-GPE 5/5, and IPN-GPE 3/7.

Electrolyte composition	Glass transition	Dof	
(polymer/electrolyte)	temperatures ($T_{g}^{/o}C$)	Kel.	
3PEG@PHP	-22.44		
3PEG@3PEG/PHP	-24.66	[1]	
3PEG	-40.65		
3PEG@PET	-33.06		
semi-IPN) SPE	-58	[2]	
3P(MPBIm-Tf)	33.4	[3]	
3P(MPBIm-Br)	71.5		
3P(MPBIm-TFSI)	3.3		
ipn-PEA	-64.2	[4]	
ETPTA/EGMEA	40	[5]	
SPE0	-55.2		
SPE3	-24.8	[6]	
GPE12	-62.3		
C-PEGDE	-49.2	[7]	
PEO-SPE	-25	[8]	
PPC	24.4		
PPC-SPE	4.7		
CPPC-SPE	4.8		
EMIM-TFSI	-86		
IPN-GPE 3/7	-74		
IPN-GPE 5/5	-56	This work	
IPN-GPE 8/2	-34		
IPN-GPE 10/0	-27		

Table S2 Summary of T_{g} values for different electrolytes.

Electrolyte composition (polymer/electrolyte)	Capacity (mA h g ⁻¹)	Ref.	
OEGMA-BnMA/LiPF ₆ -EC-DMC	122@0.1 C, 25@5 C	[9]	
EO-based/LiBF ₄ -EC-GBL	111@1/24 C 80%	[10]	
EO-based/LiFSI-EC-GBL	156@0.04 C	[11]	
P(EO-MEEGE)/LiTFSI-AN	127@1/24 C	[12]	
semi-IPN SPE	147@0.1 C, 132@0.5 C	[2]	
C DECDE	135@0.05C, 115@0.1C,	[7]	
C-PEGDE	100@0.15C, 80@0.2 C	[/]	
D/EQ DQ)/L:DE EC DMC DEC	125@0.5 C, 88@5 C,		
P(EO-PO)/LIPF6-EC-DMC-DEC	12@17 C	[13]	
3P(MPBIm-TFSI)	124@0.5 C, 101@1 C	[3]	
PVCA-SPE	124@0.2 C, 73@0.5C	[14]	
ipn-PEA	141@0.5 C, 66@5 C	[4]	
IDN CDF 2/7	152.1@0.1 C, 146@0.2 C,	This	
IPN-GPE 5/ /	132@0.5 C 107.6@1 C	work	

 Table S3 Capacity values of lithium ion batteries assembled with different electrolytes.

References

- [1] M. Que, Y. Wang, Y. Tong, L. Chen, J. Wei, Y. Chen, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 82960.
- [2] J. Suk, Y. H. Lee, D. Y. Kim, D. W. Kim, S. Y. Cho, J. M. Kim, Y. Kang, J. Power Sources 2016, 334, 154.
- [3] M. Que, Y. Tong, G. Wei, K. Yuan, J. Wei, Y. Jiang, H. Zhu, Y. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 14132.
- [4] X.-X. Zeng, Y.-X. Yin, N.-W. Li, W.-C. Du, Y.-G. Guo, L.-J. Wan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 15825.
- [5] E.-H. Kil, H.-J. Ha, S.-Y. Lee, *Macromol Chem Physic* 2011, 212, 2217.

- [6] V. Chaudoy, F. Ghamouss, E. Luais, F. Tran-Van, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2016, 55, 9925.
- [7] Y. Cui, X. Liang, J. Chai, Z. Cui, Q. Wang, W. He, X. Liu, Z. Liu, G. Cui, J. Feng, Adv. Sci. 2017, 1700174.
- [8] J. Zhang, J. Zhao, L. Yue, Q. Wang, J. Chai, Z. Liu, X. Zhou, H. Li, Y. Guo, G. Cui, L. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, *5*, 1501082.
- [9] P. Isken, M. Winter, S. Passerini, A. Lex-Balducci, J. Power Sources 2013, 225, 157.
- [10] K. Zaghib, K. Striebel, A. Guerfi, J. Shim, M. Armand, M. Gauthier, *Electrochim. Acta* 2004, 50, 263.
- [11] K. Zaghib, P. Charest, A. Guerfi, J. Shim, M. Perrier, K. Striebel, J. Power Sources 2005, 146, 380.
- [12] Y. Kobayashi, S. Seki, Y. Mita, Y. Ohno, H. Miyashiro, P. Charest, A. Guerfi, K. Zaghib, J. Power Sources 2008, 185, 542.
- [13] L.-Y. Huang, Y.-C. Shih, S.-H. Wang, P.-L. Kuo, H. Teng, J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 10492.
- [14] J. Chai, Z. Liu, J. Ma, J. Wang, X. Liu, H. Liu, J. Zhang, G. Cui, L. Chen, Adv. Sci. 2016, 1600377.