Electronic Supplementary Information

A sustainable approach to separate and recover indium and tin from spent indium-tin oxide targets

Shuai Gu,*,^{†,} Bitian Fu,^{‡,} Gjergj Dodbiba,[†] Toyohisa Fujita,[†] and Baizeng Fang*,[§]

[†] Department of System Innovation, Graduate School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8654, Japan. Email: gushuai10084627@gmail.com

[‡] School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China.

[§] Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of British Columbia, 2360 East Mall,

Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z3, Canada. Email: <u>bfang@chbe.ubc.ca</u>

Composition of the spent ITO target

The spent ITO target was ground carefully into fine powder as shown in Figure S1. Then, a certain amount of the ITO powder was dissolved completely by fresh aqua regia. The bulk density of the spent ITO powder is 2.06 g/mL. The grain diameter distribution map is shown in Figure S2. The size of the spent ITO powder was mainly in the range of $1\sim100 \ \mu\text{m}$ with the D50 being 6.781 $\ \mu\text{m}$. After the leaching process, all the leaching solution was transferred into a volumetric flask and analyzed with a Perkin Elmer[®] Optima 5300 DV ICP-OES. Table S1 shows the detailed mass determined by ICP-OES for the species In^{3+} , Sn^{4+} , and Zn^{2+} for three spent ITO powder samples.



Figure S1 A photograph for the ground ITO target powder.



Figure S2 Size distribution of the spent ITO powder.

Table S1 The mass of In^{3+} , Sn^{4+} , and Zn^{2+} determined by ICP-OES for the three ITO powder samples with different masses after dissolving by aqua regia with a solid to liquid ratio of 1g to 100 mL and at a leaching temperature of 40°C, a stirring rate of 500 rpm, and a leaching time of 2 h.

ITO powder	In ³⁺		Sn ⁴	Sn ⁴⁺		Zn ²⁺	
(g)	g	wt%	g	wt%	g	wt%	
3.275	2.5710	95.17	0.1274	4.71	0.003147	0.12	
3.158	2.478995.	17	0.1228	4.71	0.003041	0.12	
3.098	2.4318	95.16	0.1206	4.72	0.002979	0.12	

Distillation of the leaching solution

After the leaching process, the acidity of the leaching solution was around 3.8 mol/L HCl. The leaching solution was then transferred to a rotary evaporator equipped with an oil bath and vacuum pump to distill HCl for reuse. The hydrate of indium chloride and tin chloride was left at the bottom of the flask. A rotary evaporator was utilized in the lab scale for the reduced pressure distillation, while for an industrial distillation a large HCl recovery setup will be needed. According to a survey on the internet, a 9000L waste HCl recovery setup only cost around \$40000, which is relatively cheap.

Analysis of the hydrate metal chlorides

For some reason, we cannot access the standard card info of $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ which makes it impossible to analyze the exact composition of the hydrate obtained in the distillation step. In order to confirm the co-existence of $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ and $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ in the crystal, a similar process was adopted to obtain a mixed hydrate crystal of 94.5wt% $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ and 5.5wt% $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ using analytical pure $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ (99.99%) and $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ (99.9%) as the starting materials. First, $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ and $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ were accurately weighed and then transferred into a 5M HCl solution. Next, the solution was distilled to obtain the crystal of $InCl_3$ and $SnCl_4$ hydrates. Since we do have the standard pattern of $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, an additional experiment was carried out to prove that the crystal produced in the distillation step was tin chloride pentahydrate. The $SnCl_4$ hydrate was prepared by adding a certain amount of analytical pure $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ into 5M HCl solution for distillation. The obtained $SnCl_4$ hydrate was coated with a layer of Vaseline to avoid the exposure to air and analyzed by XRD. The XRD patterns for the standard $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ and the $SnCl_4$ hydrate are shown in Figure S3.



Figure S3 XRD patterns of the hydrate obtained from the distilled $SnCl_4$ -HCl solution and the standard $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ crystal.

At the meantime, the crystals recovered from the three spent ITO targets were also analyzed with ICP-OES, and the data are shown in Table S2. The content of the crystals was calculated based on the assumption that all $InCl_3$

and SnCl₄ hydrates were $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ and $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, respectively. This assumption makes sense due to the high similarity of XRD patterns shown in Figure S3 and the fact that the amounts of crystal water in $InCl_3$ and SnCl₄ have little influence on the products shown in equations (2) and (3). The crystals were determined to consist of 94.4wt% $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ and 5.53wt% $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$.

Table S2 The content of the crystals obtained from the spent ITO targets assuming that $InCl_3$ and $SnCl_4$ hydrate was $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ and $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, respectively.

Targets	Crystals	I	nCl ₃ ·4H ₂ O	SnCl ₄ ·5H ₂ O		
(g)	(g)	Mass (g)	Mass percentage (%)	Mass (g)	Mass percentage (%)	
1.098	2.328	2.196	94.33	0.1288	5.53	
1.103	2.339	2.208	94.39	0.1293	5.53	
1.056	2.240	2.115	94.42	0.1239	5.53	

Figure S4 shows a photograph for the crystal obtained from an ITO target. The crystal is white powder which fumes on contact with air. The fuming of the crystal was mainly caused by $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, which would easily decompose upon exposure to moist air according to the MSDS.



Figure S4 A photograph for the recovered mixed crystal hydrates of InCl₃ and SnCl₄.

 Table S3 Calculated secondary wastes produced in solvent-extraction, ion-exchange, and DDDF processes.

Methods Processes		Secondary wastes	Amount	
	H ₂ SO ₄ leaching	-	_	
	pH adjustment	_	_	
Solvent extraction with D2EHPA	Extraction (twice)	1M H ₂ SO ₄ solution	1000L	
	Stainning (toning)	20% D2EHPA kerosene	250L	
	Stripping (twice)	1.5M HCl solution	250L	
	HCl leaching	-	_	
Solvent extraction with	pH adjustment	-	_	
TBP	Esteration (an an)	1M HCl solution	1000L	
	Extraction (once)	1M TBP kerosene	1000L	
	HNO ₃ leaching	-	_	
	pH adjustment	-	_	
	Adsorbent synthesis	Acetone with IL and DODGAA	151L	
Ion-exchange with DODGAA-IL	Adsorption	pH=2 HNO ₃ solution	1570.1 L	
	In ³⁺ elution	2.5M HNO ₃ solution	130.8L	
	Ser ⁴⁺ abstice	5M HNO ₃ solution	130.8L	
	Sn ⁺ elution	DODGAA-IL resin	45kg	
	HClleaching	-	_	
	Vacuum distillation	-	_	
	Debudention	SO_2	117.8 M SO ₂	
	Denydration	HCl	235.5M HCl	
HCl and SO ₂ mixed gas	Fractionation	-	_	
	Vacuum heating	-	_	
	Reuse and collection	Sodium sulfite. Byproducts: HCl solution, SO ₂ , and 7.268L of SOCl ₂	10L of Na ₂ SO ₃ solution	
	Or disposal with Ca(OH) ₂	CaSO ₃ , CaCl ₂ , and H ₂ O	8.72kg CaSO ₃ , 8.72 kg CaCl ₂ , and 6.36 kg H ₂ O	

Dehydration and fractionation

During the dehydration process, a simulated indium and tin chloride solution was prepared, which has an actual ratio of indium and tin in the spent ITO target. Indium chloride and tin chloride were dissolved in 5M HCl solution and then distilled under a reduced pressure to obtain the crystal of indium and tin chloride hydrates. Next, the crystal was finely ground and put into a flask of freshly distilled SOCl₂ with a ratio of crystal to SOCl₂ of 1 g to 1.2 mL. Sulfur dioxide and hydrogen chloride immediately bubbled out of the solution. After bubbling stopped, the flask was heated to 90 °C to reflux the slurry for 2h. Figure S5 shows the setup for dehydrating process. Then the flask was cooled down and equipped with a Vigreux fractionating column to separate SOCl₂ and anhydrous tin chloride. Anhydrous InCl₃, SnCl₄, and SOCl₂ co-exist in the flask. As can be seen from Figure S6, anhydrous InCl₃ is insoluble in both SnCl₄ and SOCl₂ solution and the solubility does not change with the temperature. This indicates that the appearance of anhydrous InCl₃ would not affect the fractionation of SnCl₄ and SOCl₂. The fractionation of SnCl₄ and SOCl₂ was processed according to Figure 3 when the purity of SnCl₄ at the bottom of the flask is high enough (>99%), SOCl₂ (Density: 1.64g/ml) can be distilled out of the flask with 5 theoretical plates to reach 99.9% purity. The consumption and recovery rate of SOCl₂ is shown in Table S4. The average recovery rate of SOCl₂ is around 91%. Figure S7 shows the setup for the fractionation process.



Figure S5 The setup of dehydrating process.



Figure S6 The solid-liquid equilibrium of anhydrous InCl₃ with SnCl₄ and SOCl₂ at different temperatures.

ITO	Hydrate (g) SOCI, added (ml)		SOCI consumed (mI)	SOCI: recovered (g)	Recovery rate (%)	
110	Tryulate (g)		soen consumed (mL)	Soci2 recovered (g)	Recovery face (70)	
5 079	10 77	13.00	10.67	3.515	91.99	
0.079	10.77					
5.018	10.64	13.00	10.54	3.676	91.12	
5 027	10.66	13.00	10.56	3.628	90.66	
5.027	10.00					

Table S4 Consumption and recovery rate of SOCl₂ in the DDDF process.



Figure S7 The setup of fractionation process.

Characterization of anhydrous InCl₃ and SnCl₄

Figure S8A shows a photograph for the anhydrous InCl₃ obtained after fractionation, which is white powder. The anhydrous powder was washed with SOCl₂ solution for three times to wash away the residual SnCl₄, After that, the white powder remained in the flask was filtered out of the solution and moved to a vacuum drier at 90°C to get rid of the excess SOCl₂. The as-obtained anhydrous InCl₃ was then transferred into a dry box and kept in a suitable container that can be sealed. The anhydrous InCl₃ was analyzed with XRD in an air-sensitive sample holder to avoid any contact with air. The purity of InCl₃ was calculated according to the XRD pattern shown in Figure 3. In³⁺ concentration in a certain amount of InCl₃ was determined by ICP-OES. The recovery rate of indium was calculated by dissolving all the InCl₃ obtained in this process and measuring the concentration of In³⁺ with ICP-OES, and the data are shown in Table S5.

Table S5 The purity of InCl₃ and SnCl₄ measured by ICP-OES.

InCl ₃ (g)	$SnCl_4(g)$	Measured $In^{3+}(g)$	Measured $Sn^{4+}(g)$	Purity of InCl ₃ (%)	Purity of SnCl ₄ (%)
1.056	1.102	0.5472	0.4987	99.82	99.71
1.013	1.089	0.5250	0.4927	99.83	99.68
1.071	1.054	0.5548	0.4770	99.79	99.72



Figure S8 A photograph for the as-filtrated anhydrous InCl₃ (A) and SnCl₄ (B).

After the fractionation process, $SnCl_4$ was collected and moved into a vacuum drying machine and heated at 100°C for 24 h to get rid of any remaining $SOCl_2$ in the solution. Figure S8B shows the anhydrous $SnCl_4$ obtained after this process. The purity of $SnCl_4$ obtained in this process was calculated by measuring the concentration of Sn^{4+} in a certain amount of $SnCl_4$ obtained, while the recovery rate of tin was determined by dissolving all the $SnCl_4$ obtained in this process and measuring the concentration of Sn^{4+} with ICP-OES. The data for the purity of $SnCl_4$ are also shown in Table S5.

The reuse and disposal of the hazardous gases produced in the dehydration process

As can be seen from equations (2) and (3), HCl and SO₂ gases were emitted during the dehydration process, and this mixed gas can either be reused or disposed with alkali. Because the solubility of SO₂ inH₂Ois highly dependent on temperature resulting in enormous solubility difference between HCl and SO₂, HCl gas can be separated fromSO₂ gas by scrubbing with hot water. By scrubbing the mixed gas with hot water (e.g., 40°C), HCl can be dissolved with high selectivity, while the majority of SO₂ discharged from hot water. The obtained solution may still contain a little amount of SO₂, which can be purified if needed. After the dissolution with hot water, a small amount of HCl may still remain in the SO₂ gas due to its relatively high vapor pressure and incomplete dissolution. A cold saturated solution of sulfurous acid can then be used to further dissolve the remaining HCl. After which, the gas can finally be dried with desiccant (e.g., CaCl₂), so that a purified SO₂ gas stream can be obtained and collected for sale. The other option is to dispose the mixed gas with lime as shown in equations (S1) and (S2). The hazardous gases emitted from the flask during the dehydration process in lab scale were bubbled in a saturated Ca(OH)₂ solution to remove SO₂ and HCl gas, as shown in Figure S5.

$$SO_2 + Ca(OH)_2 \rightarrow CaSO_3 + H_2O \tag{S1}$$

 $2HCl + Ca(OH)_2 \rightarrow CaCl_2 + 2H_2O$ (S2)

The comparison of recycling 1 kg spent ITO target between solvent-extraction, ion-exchange, and DDDF processes

To demonstrate the superiority of DDDF process, a calculation has been done according to the experiments done by Virolainen and Schaeffer. 1kg of spent ITO target with a mass ratio of In₂O₃ to SnO₂ around 95:5 was used as the recycling target. ITO powder was first dissolved by 3M HCl/H₂SO₄/HNO₃, with a solid-liquid ratio of 1g/10mL, temperature of 40°C, stirring rate of 500 rpm, and leaching time of 2h. The leaching solution was then used as the source for the separation and recycling of indium and tin. In the solvent extraction with D2EHPA process, both indium and tin can be extracted into the organic phase from H₂SO₄ solution. After extraction, the organic phase was selectively stripped with 1.5M HCl solution to strip indium from the organic phase, and thus separate indium from tin. In the solvent extraction with TBP process, 3M HCl was used as the leaching solution, and after the dissolution the leaching solution was then diluted with distilled water to adjust the pH value. Afterwards, 1M TBP in kerosene was used to extract tin into the organic phase, while the majority of indium remained in the aqueous phase. In the ion-exchange process, a solvent impregnated resin (SIR) was used to separate indium from tin. DODGAA and the ionic liquid [C₄min][Tf₂N] with a ratio of 1:1 was mixed with Amberlite XAD-7 resin in acetone solution to synthesize the SIR. This resin can adsorb both indium and tin in HNO₃ solution. After the adsorption, indium and tin were eluted with 2.5M HNO₃ and 5M HNO₃, respectively. Table S3, S5, S6, and S7 shows the calculated secondary wastes, energy consumptions, products, and reagent consumptions in solvent-extraction, ion-exchange, and DDDF processes, respectively. In the DDDF process, 7.268 L of SOCl₂ was recovered (recovery rate: 91.9%). Energy consumptions were calculated by the power of the equipment used and the duration of which was used. The reuse or disposal of the mixed gas was not calculated in the energy consumption part. Secondary wastes produced in these processes only concerns about the extractions, diluents, stripping reagents, and eluents. The washing, regeneration, resin-pretreatment processes were not considered.

Methods	Processes	Equipment	Power(kW/h)	Time(h)	Work(kW)
	H_2SO_4 leaching	Stirring hotplate×2	1.2	2	4.8
Solvent outroation with D2EUDA	pH adjustment	Stirring×2	1	0.5	1
Solvent extraction with D2EHPA	Extraction (twice)	Water bath shaker×40	2	0.2	16
	Stripping (twice)	Water bath shaker×40	2	0.2	16
	HCl leaching	Stirring hotplate×2	1.2	2	4.8
Solvent extraction with TBP	pH adjustment	Stirring×2	1	0.2	1
	Extraction (once)	Water bath shaker×40	2	0.2	16
	HNO ₃ leaching	Stirring hotplate×2	1.2	2	4.8
	pH adjustment	Stirring×2	1	0.5	1
	A dearbant cumthosis	Water bath shaker×20	2	12	480
Ion-exchange with DODGAA-IL	Adsorbent synthesis	Rotary evaporator×30	1.5	3	135
	Adsorption	Water bath shaker×30	2	0.5	30
	In ³⁺ elution	Peristaltic pump×645	0.02	2	25.8
	Sn ⁴⁺ elution	Peristaltic pump×645	0.02	2	25.8
	HCl leaching	Stirring hotplate×2	1.2	2	4.8
	Vacuum distillation	Rotary evaporator×5	1.5	2	15
DDDF	Dehydration	Mandarin heater×25	0.25	2	12.5
	Fractionation	Mandarin heater×25	0.25	2	12.5
	Vacuum heating	Vacuum oven×1	2	3	6

 Table S6 Calculated energy consumptions in solvent-extraction, ion-exchange, and DDDF processes.

Table S7 Calculated products in solvent-extraction, ion-exchange, and DDDF processes.

Methods	Solvent extraction with D2EHPA		Solvent extraction with TBP		Ion-exchange with DODGAA- IL		DDDF	
Status	In ³⁺ in 250L of 1.5M HCl	Sn ⁴⁺ in D2EHPA	In ³⁺ in 1000L of 1M HCl	Sn ⁴⁺ in TBP	In ³⁺ in 130.8L of 2.5M HNO ₃	Sn ⁴⁺ in 130.8L of 5M HNO ₃	Anhydrous InCl ₃	Anhydrous SnCl ₄
Recovery rate (%)	97.4	_	99.3	-	92.2	87.3	99.6	98.6
Purity (%)	99.8	_	96.5	-	96.9	48.9	99.8	99.7

Methods	Processes	Other reagents	H ₂ O (L)	HCl (L)	H ₂ SO ₄ (L)	HNO ₃ (L)
	H ₂ SO ₄ leaching	_	8.37	_	1.63	_
	pH adjustment	_	937.3	_	52.7	-
Solvent extraction with D2EHPA	Extraction (twice)	D2EHPA: 50L Kerosene: 200L	-	_	_	_
	Stripping (twice)	_	218.75	31.25	_	_
	HCl leaching	_	7.5	2.5	_	_
	pH adjustment	_	909.17	80.83	_	_
Solvent extraction with TBP	Extraction (once)	TBP: 273L Kerosene: 727L	_	_	_	_
	HNO.leaching		7.5	2.5		
	nH adjustment	NaOH: 0 8468 kg	1560	2.5	_	_
	pri aujustinent	XAE 7 resin: 30.2 kg	1500			
		DODGAA: 7.55 kg	-	-	-	-
Ion-exchange with DODGAA-IL	Adsorbent synthesis	[C ₄ mim][Tf ₂ N]: 7.55kg Acetone: 151L	_	_	_	_
	Adsorption	_	_	_	_	_
	In ³⁺ elution	_	108.25	_	_	22.55
	Sn ⁴⁺ elution	_	85.7	_	_	45.1
	HCl leaching	_	7.5	2.5	_	_
	Vacuum distillation	_	_	_	_	_
	Dehydration	SOC1 ₂ : 10L	_	_	_	_
DDDF and handling of HCl and SO ₂	Fractionation	_	_	_	_	_
mixed gas	Vacuum heating	_	_	_	_	_
	Reuse and collection	Na ₂ SO ₃ : 10L	16.39	_	_	_
	Or disposal with Ca(OH) ₂	Ca(OH) ₂ : 17.45kg	-	-	-	-

 Table S8 Calculated reagent consumption in solvent-extraction, ion-exchange, and DDDF processes.