Electronic Supplementary Information for

Functional Metal-Organic Framework Boosting Lithium Metal Anode Performance via Chemical Interactions

Wen Liu¹, Yingying Mi^{1,2}, Zhe Weng¹, Yiren Zhong¹, Zishan Wu¹, Hailiang Wang^{*,1}

¹Department of Chemistry and Energy Sciences Institute, Yale University, 810 West Campus

Drive, West Haven, CT 06516, USA

²College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

E-mail: hailiang.wang@yale.edu

Experimental Details:

Preparation of MOF materials

 NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti) was prepared using a solvothermal method adopted with modification from the literature.¹ 2-amino-1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (15 mmol) and titanium isoproxide (9 mmol) were added to a solution containing 45 ml of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) and 5 mL of methanol. The mixture was stirred to form a homogeneous solution, which was then transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 453 K for 16 h. After the reaction, a yellow precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed with DMF and methanol. The product was then dispersed in DMF and refluxed for 5 h. Centrifugation and methanol washing followed by vacuum drying at 323 K were used to recover the product. After that, the material was treated in Ar atmosphere at 473 K for 5 h in a tube furnace. The same procedure was adopted to synthesize MIL-125(Ti) except that 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid was used as the linker.

Fabrication of MOF-coated separators

MOF material and Nafion binder (5 wt% solution, Sigma-Aldrich) with a mass ratio of 80:20 were dispersed in ethanol to make a slurry. The slurry was then coated onto both sides of a commercial PP separator (Celgard, 3501) with the doctor blading method, followed by vacuum drying at 323 K overnight. After that, the MOF-coated separator was punched into disks with a diameter of 20 mm. The MOF-coated separator was immersed into a 1 M lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆) in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1 volumetric ratio) solution for Li ion exchange, and then dried in vacuum before use. Control experiments were performed to exclude the contribution of Nafion to the observed cycling stability of Li metal electrodes with MOF-coated separators (Figure S11).

Characterization

XRD patterns were recorded using a Rigaku Smart Lab diffractometer with Cu K_{α} radiation. TEM images were obtained using a JOEL 1400 plus electron microscope operated at 80 kV. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation was obtained on the Hitachi SU8230.

Electrochemical measurement

For the Li | Cu cell measurements, 2032-type coin cells were assembled with a 16 mmdiameter Li foil disk, a 14 mm-diameter Cu foil disk and a separator. 80 μ L of 1 M lithium bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide (LiTFSI) in 1, 3-dioxolane (DOL)/1, 2-dimethoxyethane (DME) (1:1 volumetric ratio) solution with 2 wt% LiNO₃ additive was used as electrolyte. The cells were measured by a BT2143 battery analyzer (Arbin Instrument). The assembled cell was firstly cycled between 0.005 and 1 V at 100 μ A for 10 conditioning cycles. After that, electrochemical Li plating at a certain current density was performed until a certain capacity was reached, followed by Li stripping at the same current density with a cut-off voltage of 0.5 V.

For the Li | Li cell measurements, symmetric cells were assembled with Li disks as both the working and counter electrodes. 80 μ L of 1 M LiTFSI in DOL/DME (1:1 volumetric ratio) solution with 2% LiNO₃ was used as electrolyte. The cell was firstly cycled at 0.25 and 0.5 mA

cm⁻² for 20 cycles each, with the charging and discharging time controlled to be 1 h each. Longterm cycling was then performed at 1.0 mA cm⁻² with a cut-off capacity of 1 mAh cm⁻². The Li ion transference numbers were obtained from alternating-current (AC) impedance and direct-current (DC) polarization measurements performed on a symmetric Li | Li cell. AC impedance test was used to obtain the cell total resistance R_{cell} .² It was conducted on a Biologic VMP3 potentiostat in a scanning frequency range from 200 kHz to 0.01 Hz at open circuit potential with an amplitude of 10 mV. DC polarization measurements were also carried out on VMP3 with different voltage bias (10 mV, 30 mV and 50 mV) to obtain stable I_{DC} and derive R_{DC} ($R_{DC}=V_{DC}/I_{DC}$). The Li ion transference number was calculated by the equation $t_{Li+}=R_{cell}/R_{DC}$.²

Supplementary Figures:



Figure S1. (a) Illustration of the structure of MIL-125(Ti). (b) One node of the MIL-125(Ti) structure.



Figure S2. (a, b) TEM images of synthesized NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti). (c) XRD patterns of MIL-125(Ti) and NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti).



Figure S3. CE of Li plating/stripping under 1.5 mA cm⁻²-1.5 mAh cm⁻² and 3 mA cm⁻²-3 mAh cm⁻² conditions for Li | Cu cells with the NH₂-MIL-125(Ti)-coated separator.



Figure S4. CE of Li plating/stripping under 1 mA cm⁻²-1 mAh cm⁻² conditions for a Li | Cu cell with the NH₂-MIL-125(Ti)-coated separator but without LiNO₃ additive in the electrolyte.



Figure S5. CE of Li plating/stripping under 1 mA cm⁻²-1 mAh cm⁻² conditions for Li | Cu cells with the pristine and NH₂-MIL-125(Ti)-coated separators. The electrolyte is 1.0 M LiPF₆ in mixed EC/DEC (1:1 V/V). The cells were first conditioned at 0.25 mA cm⁻²-0.25 mAh cm⁻² for 10 cycles before entering 1 mA cm⁻²-1 mAh cm⁻² cycling.



Figure S6. Evolution of EIS spectra of Li | Li cells with the (a) pristine and (b) NH₂-MIL-125(Ti)-

coated separators over cycling. The cells were firstly cycled at 0.25 and 0.5 mA cm⁻² for 25 cycles each, with the charging and discharging time controlled to be 1 h each. Long-term cycling was then performed at 1.0 mA cm⁻² with a cut-off capacity of 1 mAh cm⁻². EIS spectra were collected before cycling and after every 50 cycles.



Figure S7. CE of consecutive Li plating/stripping cycles under 0.5 mA cm⁻²-1 mAh cm⁻² conditions for Li | Cu cells with the pristine and NH₂-MIL-125(Ti)-coated separators. The electrodes after cycling were imaged with SEM to generate the results shown in Figure 4. The separator after cycling was analyzed with XRD to get the diffraction pattern in Figure S8.



Figure S8. XRD pattern of the NH₂-MIL-125(Ti)-coated separator after repeated lithium



deposition/stripping, compared to that of the as-synthesized NH₂-MIL-125(Ti) material.

Figure S9. Discharging and charging voltage profiles of Li | Cu cells with the pristine (a, d and g), MIL-125 (Ti)-coated (b, e and h) and NH_2 -MIL-125 (Ti)-coated (c, f and i) separators at various current densities of 0.25, 0.5 and 1 mA cm⁻².



Figure S10. EIS spectra of Li | Li cells with the (a) pristine, (b) MIL-125 (Ti)-coated and (c) NH₂-MIL-125 (Ti)-coated separators.



Figure S11. CE of consecutive Li plating/stripping cycles under 0.5 mA cm⁻²-1.0 mAh cm⁻² conditions for Li | Cu cells with the pristine separator, a Nafion-coated separator, and the NH_2 -MIL-125(Ti)-coated separator.

| | Material | Electrolyte | Coulombic efficiency | Cycle number for Li | Refer |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------|
| | | | for Li Cu cell | Li cell | |
| 1 | NH ₂ -MIL-125(Ti) | 1 M LiTFSI in | 99%@0.25 mA cm ⁻² -1 | 500 cycles (1200 h)@1 | This |
| | coated separator | DOL/DME with 2% | mAh cm ⁻² for 350 cycles; | mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh cm ⁻² | work |
| | | LiNO ₃ | 98.2%@ 0.5 mA cm ⁻² -1 | | |
| | | | mAh cm ⁻² for 250 cycles; | | |
| | | | 97.5% @1 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | | |
| | | | cm ⁻² for 220 cycles | | |
| | | | 400 consecutive cycles | | |
| | | | with 99% @ 0.5 mA cm ⁻² - | | |
| | | | 0.5 mAh cm ⁻² for 200 | | |
| | | | cycles and 98.5% @1 mA | | |
| | | | cm ⁻² -1 mAh cm ⁻² for 200 | | |
| | | | cycles | | |
| 2 | Polyimide coating | 1 M LiTFSI in | 97.6%@1 mA cm ⁻² -0.5 | N/A | 3 |
| | layer with nano | DOL/DME with 1% | mAh cm ⁻² for over 240 | | |
| | channels | LiNO ₃ | cycles; 92.9%@2 mA cm ⁻ | | |
| | | | ² -0.5 mAh cm ⁻² for over | | |
| | | | 150 cycles; 88.6%@3 mA | | |
| | | | cm ⁻² -0.5 mAh cm ⁻² for | | |
| | | | over 140 cycles | | |
| 3 | 3D ZnO-polyimide | 1 M LiTFSI in | N/A | 100 cycles@1/3/5 mA | 4 |
| | matrix | DOL/DME with 1 | | cm ⁻² -1 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | | wt% LiNO ₃ | | | |
| 4 | 3D rGO | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | N/A | 250 cycles@1 mA cm ⁻ | 5 |
| | | EC/DEC with | | ² -1 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | | VC+FEC | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 1 M LiTFSI in | N/A | 450 cycles@1 mA cm ⁻ | |
| | | DOL/DME with 1 | | ² -1 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | | wt% LiNO3 | | | |
| 5 | Interconnected | 1 M LiTFSI in | 99%@0.25 mA cm ⁻² -1 | N/A | 6 |
| | hollow carbon | DOL/DME with 1% | mAh cm ⁻² for 150 cycles; | | |
| | nano spheres | $LiNO_3$ and 100 | 98.5%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² -1 | | |
| | | mM Li ₂ S ₈ | mAh cm ⁻² for 150 cycles; | | |
| | | | 97.5%@1 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | | |
| | | | cm ⁻² for 150 cycles | | |
| 6 | Adaptive polymer | 1 M LiTFSI in | 97%@1 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | N/A | 7 |
| | film | DOL/DME with 1% | cm ⁻² for 180 cycles; | | |
| | | LiNO ₃ | 97.7%@1 mA cm ⁻² -3 mAh | | |
| | | | cm ⁻² for 80 cycles | | |

Table S1: Performance comparison with the state-of-the-art Li metal anodes stabilized byvarious strategies.

| 7 | 3D porous Cu | 1 M LiTFSI in | 97%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | 100 cycles (1000 | 8 |
|----|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----|
| | | DOL/DME with 1% | cm ⁻² for 250 cycles; | h)@0.2 mA cm ⁻² -1 | |
| | | LiNO ₃ | 97%@1.0 mA cm ⁻² -1.0 | mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | | | mAh cm ⁻² for 150 cycles | | |
| 8 | 3D oxidized | 1 M LiTFSI in | 97.9%@1 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | 120 cycles (80 h)@3 | 9 |
| | polyacrylonitrile | DOL/DME with 2% | cm ⁻² for over 120 cycles; | mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | nanofiber | LiNO ₃ | 97.4%@3.0 mA cm ⁻² -1.0 | | |
| | network | | mAh cm ⁻² for over 120 | | |
| | | | cycles | | |
| 9 | 3D glass fiber | 1 M LiTFSI in | 98%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² -0.5 | 500 cycles (160 h)@1 | 10 |
| | cloth | DOL/DME with 2% | mAh cm ⁻² for 90 cycles; | mA cm ⁻² -0.16 mAh cm ⁻ | |
| | | LiNO ₃ | 97%@1.0 mA cm ⁻² -0.5 | 2 | |
| | | | mAh cm ⁻² for 70 cycles; | | |
| | | | 96%@2.0 mA cm ⁻² -0.5 | | |
| | | | mAh cm ⁻² for 63 cycles | | |
| 10 | PDMS film with | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | 94.5%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² -1 | N/A | 11 |
| | nano-pores | EC/DEC | mAh cm ⁻² for 200 cycles | | |
| | | 1 M LiTFSI in | 98.2%@1 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | N/A | |
| | | DOL/DME with 1% | cm ⁻² for 100 cycles | | |
| | | LiNO ₃ | | | |
| 11 | Cu nanowire | 1 M LiTFSI in | 98.6%@1 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | 225 cycles (550 h)@1 | 12 |
| | membrane | DOL/DME with 1% | cm ⁻² for 200 cycles; | mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | | $LiNO_3$ and $5mM$ | | | |
| | | Li₂S ₈ | | | |
| 12 | Py13TSI | 2 M | 99.1%@1 mAh cm ⁻² for | 90 cycles@0.5 mA cm ⁻ | 13 |
| | | LiTFSI/PY13TFSI in | 360 cycles; | ² -1 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | | DOL/DME | | | |
| 13 | lithium | 1M LiTFSI in | 99.1%@2 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | N/A | 14 |
| | polysulfide | DOL/DME with 5% | cm ⁻² for over 400 cycles; | | |
| | additive | $LiNO_3$ and 180 | 98.5%@2 mA cm ⁻² -2 mAh | | |
| | | mM Li ₂ S ₈ | cm ⁻² for over 200 cycles | | |
| 14 | LiF additive | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | 82-85%@1 mA cm ⁻² -1 | N/A | 15 |
| | | EC/EMC with VC | mAh cm ⁻² for 50 cycles | | |
| | | additive | | | |
| | | 1 M LiTFSI in PC | N/A | 300 cycles@0.38 mA | |
| | | | | cm ⁻² -1.14 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| 15 | Nanoporous | 1 M LiTFSI in PC | N/A | 160 cycles (1000 | 16 |
| | polymer-ceramic | | | h)@0.2 mA cm ⁻² -0.6 | |
| | composite | | | mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | electrolyte | | | | |
| 16 | High | 4 M LiFSI in DME | 98.4%@4.0 mA cm ⁻² -1 | 6000 cycles@10 mA | 17 |
| | concentration | | mAh cm ⁻² for over 1000 | cm ⁻² -0.5 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| | electrolyte | | cycles | | |

| 17 | Hollow carbon | 1 M LIPEEC/DEC | 98%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² -1 mAh | N/A | 18 |
|----|--|--------------------------|--|---|----|
| | cholls with Au | with | cm^{-2} for over 200 cycles | | |
| | shells with Au | | | | |
| | particle | 1%VC+10%FEC | | | |
| 18 | 3D conducting | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | N/A | 80 cycles@3 mA cm ⁻² - | 19 |
| | scaffold | EC/DEC | | 1 mAh cm ⁻² | |
| 19 | h-BN layer | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | 97%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² -1.0 | N/A | 20 |
| | | EC/DEC (BASF | mAh cm ⁻² for over 50 | | |
| | | LP40) | cycles; 95%@1.0 mA cm ⁻ | | |
| | | | ² -1.0 mAh cm ⁻² for over 50 | | |
| | | | cycles | | |
| | Graphene layer | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | 95%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² - | N/A | |
| | | EC/DEC (BASF | 1.0mAh cm ⁻² for over 50 | | |
| | | LP40) | cycles; 93%@1.0 mA cm ⁻ | | |
| | | | ² -1.0 mAh cm ⁻² for over 50 | | |
| | | | cycles | | |
| 20 | h-BN coated | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | 92%@0.5 mA cm ⁻² -1.0 | N/A | 21 |
| | separator | EC/DEC | mAh cm ⁻² for 100 cycles; | | |
| | | | 88% @1.0 mA cm ⁻² -1.0 | | |
| | | | mAh cm ⁻² for 100 cycles | | |
| 21 | Nafion polymer | 1 M LiPF∈ in | N/A | 100 cvcle (2000 | 22 |
| | electrolyte | FC/DFC | | h)@0.75 mA cm ⁻² -7.5 | |
| | membrane | | | $m\Delta h \ cm^{-2}$: 250 cycles | |
| | membrane | | | $(2000 \text{ h}) \otimes 10 \text{ m} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ | |
| | | | | (2000 II)@10IIIA CIII - | |
| | | | | 40 mAn cm - | 22 |
| 22 | Polyoxyzole | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | N/A | 116 cycles (700 | 23 |
| | nanofiber | EC/DEC/EMC | | h)@0.38 mA cm ⁻² -1.16 | |
| | membrane | | | mAh cm ⁻² | |
| 23 | Al ₂ O ₃ coating | 1 M LiPF ₆ in | N/A | 1259 cycles@1 mA cm ⁻ | 24 |
| | layer | EC/DMC | | ² -0.25 mAh cm ⁻² | |

References:

- 1. S. Hu, M. Liu, K. Li, Y. Zuo, A. Zhang, C. Song, G. Zhang and X. Guo, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 9645-9650.
- 2. L. Suo, Y.-S. Hu, H. Li, M. Armand and L. Chen, Nat. Commun., 2013, 4, 1481.
- 3. W. Liu, D. Lin, A. Pei and Y. Cui, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b08730.
- 4. Y. Liu, D. Lin, Z. Liang, J. Zhao, K. Yan and Y. Cui, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 10992.
- D. Lin, Y. Liu, Z. Liang, H. W. Lee, J. Sun, H. Wang, K. Yan, J. Xie and Y. Cui, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, 11, 626-632.
- G. Zheng, S. W. Lee, Z. Liang, H. W. Lee, K. Yan, H. Yao, H. Wang, W. Li, S. Chu and Y. Cui, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, 9, 618-623.
- G. Zheng, C. Wang, A. Pei, J. Lopez, F. Shi, Z. Chen, A. D. Sendek, H.-W. Lee,
 Z. Lu, H. Schneider, M. M. Safont-Sempere, S. Chu, Z. Bao and Y. Cui, ACS Energy Lett., 2016, 1, 1247-1255.
- Q. Yun, Y.-B. He, W. Lv, Y. Zhao, B. Li, F. Kang and Q.-H. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 6932–6939.
- Z. Liang, G. Zheng, C. Liu, N. Liu, W. Li, K. Yan, H. Yao, P.-C. Hsu, S. Chu and Y. Cui, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, 15, 2910-2916.
- X.-B. Cheng, T.-Z. Hou, R. Zhang, H.-J. Peng, C.-Z. Zhao, J.-Q. Huang and Q. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 2888–2895.
- B. Zhu, Y. Jin, X. Hu, Q. Zheng, S. Zhang, Q. Wang and J. Zhu, *Adv. Mater.*, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201603755.
- 12. L.-L. Lu, J. Ge, J.-N. Yang, S.-M. Chen, H.-B. Yao, F. Zhou and S.-H. Yu, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 4431-4437.
- N.-W. Li, Y.-X. Yin, J.-Y. Li, C.-H. Zhang and Y.-G. Guo, *Adv. Sci.*, DOI: 10.1002/advs.201600400, 1600400.
- 14. W. Li, H. Yao, K. Yan, G. Zheng, Z. Liang, Y.-M. Chiang and Y. Cui, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7436.
- 15. Y. Lu, Z. Tu and L. A. Archer, Nat. Mater., 2014, 13, 961-969.
- 16. Z. Tu, Y. Kambe, Y. Lu and L. A. Archer, Adv. Energy Mater., 2014, 4, 1300654.
- J. Qian, W. A. Henderson, W. Xu, P. Bhattacharya, M. Engelhard, O. Borodin and J.-G. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 6362.
- K. Yan, Z. Lu, H.-W. Lee, F. Xiong, P.-C. Hsu, Y. Li, J. Zhao, S. Chu and Y. Cui, *Nat. Energy.*, 2016, 1, 16010.
- Z. Liang, D. Lin, J. Zhao, Z. Lu, Y. Liu, C. Liu, Y. Lu, H. Wang, K. Yan, X. Tao and Y. Cui, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2016, 113, 2862–2867.
- K. Yan, H.-W. Lee, T. Gao, G. Zheng, H. Yao, H. Wang, Z. Lu, Y. Zhou, Z. Liang,
 Z. Liu, S. Chu and Y. Cui, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, 14, 6016–6022.
- W. Luo, L. Zhou, K. Fu, Z. Yang, J. Wan, M. Manno, Y. Yao, H. Zhu, B. Yang and L. Hu, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, 15, 6149-6154.
- 22. J. Song, H. Lee, M.-J. Choo, J.-K. Park and H.-T. Kim, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 14458.
- X. Hao, J. Zhu, X. Jiang, H. Wu, J. Qiao, W. Sun, Z. Wang and K. Sun, Nano Lett., 2016, 16, 2981-2987.
- 24. E. Kazyak, K. N. Wood and N. P. Dasgupta, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 6457-6462.