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## 1. General Information

## Reagents and solvents:

$\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (Energy chemical), $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\right.$ diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (Aldrich), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (9dingchem), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}$ (Energy chemical), $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ (Alfa), manganese powder ( $-140+350$ mesh, Alfa), 2,2'-bipyridine (Energy chemical), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (Ark) were used as received. Other nickel catalysts, reductant, Lewis acids, ligands tested were from commercial suppliers and used as received.

All aryl bromides, allylic alcohols $\mathbf{2 a - c}, \mathbf{2 g}, \mathbf{2 i}$ were purchased (Admas, Energy chemical, Ark, TCI) and used as received. Other known starting materials ( $\mathbf{2 d}, \mathbf{2 e}, \mathbf{2 f}, \mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{2 j}, \mathbf{2 k}, \mathbf{2 l}$ ) were prepared according to the literature procedures and were referenced.

Anhydrous $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide (DMA), toluene, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF were purified using a solvent-purification system that contained activated alumina and molecular sieves. Other solvents were dried and purified according to the procedure from "Purification of Laboratory Chemicals book". ${ }^{1}$

## Analytical methods:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR spectra were collected with Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz and Agilent-NMR-inova 600 MHz spectrometers at ambient temperature and were referenced to the signal of tetramethylsilane (TMS, 0 ppm ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and the signal of chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, center line: $\delta=77.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR spectra was collected with Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz spectrometers at ambient temperature. ${ }^{11} \mathbf{B}$ NMR spectra was collected with Ailent-NMR-inova 600 MHz spectrometers at ambient temperature.

HRMS was performed on Bruker Apex II FT-ICR mass instrument (ESI) and waters GCT Premier TOFMS (EI).

GC-MS data was collected on Thermo Scientific TRACE DSQ GC-MS.
GC analysis was performed on Thermo Scientific TRACE 1300.
IR spectra were collected with Bruker-TENSOR27 spectrometer and only major peaks were reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

X-Ray analysis was performed on a Bruker SuperNova, Dual, Cu at zero, Eos diffractometer.
TLC analysis of the reaction was performed on XINNUO SGF254 TLC plates using UV light, potassium permanganate stain, and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ to visualize the reaction components.

Flash chromatography was carried out on XINNUO silica gel (particle size 200-300 mesh) according to standard procedures.

## 2. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

### 2.1 Controlled Experiments

We began the investigation by exploring the reaction of aryl bromide 1aa with allylic alcohol 2a under reductive conditions. In the absence of a Lewis acid, aryl bromide was dimerized to 51, however only trace amount of allylated product 3 was formed in 4 h (Table S1, entry 1). The formation of product $\mathbf{3}$ is proposed to be catalyzed by in situ generated $\mathrm{MnBr}_{2}$ as a Lewis acid. Indeed, addition of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{MnBr}_{2}$ gave $\mathbf{3}$ in $18 \%$ yield (Table S2, entry 12). Further, the allylated product $\mathbf{4 2}$ was not formed until the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a a}$ and $\mathbf{2 j}$ proceeded for 40 min in the absence of Lewis acid (Figure S1). No reaction was observed in the absence of Ni catalyst, Mn reductant or bpy ligand (Table S1, entries 2-4). The addition of catalytic amount of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a Lewis acid significantly improved the selectivity for the formation of product $\mathbf{3}$ (Table S1, entry 5).

Table S1. Controlled experiments. ${ }^{\text {a }}$


| entry | reaction conditions | yield of $\mathbf{3}(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{5 1}(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | 40 |
| 2 | No Ni catalyst | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | No Mn as reductant | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | No bpy as ligand | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | as shown | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |

[^0]
### 2.2 Effect of Lewis acids

The allylation reaction of $\mathbf{1 a a}$ with $\mathbf{2 a}$ was promoted with a broad range of Lewis acids, as is shown in Table S2. Among which, $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}$ gave the best result, affording $\mathbf{3}$ in $55 \%$ yield (Table S2, entry 9).

Table S2. Effect of various Lewis acids. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\frac{10 \% \text { Ni(dme)C }}{10 \% \text { Lewis acid, Mn }}$ |  <br> 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | Lewis acids | yield of 3 (\%) | yield of $\mathbf{5 1}$ (\%) |
| 1 | $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$ | 37 | 17 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ | 24 | 37 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}$ | 18 | 25 |
| 4 | LiOTf | 10 | 28 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ | 5 | 3 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ | 13 | 26 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 16 | 27 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{Z r C l}_{4}$ | 55 | 22 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 47 | 20 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Hf}(\mathrm{OTf})_{4}$ | 34 | 30 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{MnBr}_{2}$ | 18 | 37 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ | 17 | 30 |

[^1]
### 2.3 Effect of solvents

The reaction proceeded in highly polar aprotic solvents and the best result was obtained when DMA (Dimethylacetamide) was used (Table S3, entries 1-5). The dilution of DMA either with THF or toluene gave poor results and this indicates that the strong LA may not act as the "buffering" effect of the Lewis basic solvent DMA (entries 6-11). No reaction was observed in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, toluene, dioxane, DCE and THF (entries 12-16).

Table S3. Effect of solvents. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  |  <br> 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | solvent | yield of 3 (\%) | yield of 51 (\%) |
| 1 | DMSO | 16 | 23 |
| 2 | DMPU | 3 | 4 |
| 3 | DMI | 4 | 10 |
| 4 | DMF | 55 | 22 |
| 5 | DMA | 59 | 14 |
| 6 | DMA/THF $=3: 1$ | 21 | 38 |
| 7 | DMA/THF $=1: 1$ | 9 | 44 |
| 8 | DMA/THF $=1: 3$ | 15 | 29 |
| 9 | DMA/toluene $=3: 1$ | 11 | 30 |
| 10 | DMA/toluene $=1: 1$ | 21 | 28 |
| 11 | DMA/toluene $=1: 3$ | 5 | 4 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | toluene | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | dioxane | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | DCE | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | THF | $0$ | 0 |

[^2]
### 2.4 Effect of nickel catalysts

The investigation of nickel sources revealed that the use of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as a catalyst gave the desired product 3 in $85 \%$ yield with $2 \%$ yield of dimer 51 (Table S4, entry 9). Comparable results were obtained when Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were used (entries 8 and 10).

Table S4. Effect of various Ni catalysts. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: 4-bromotoluene 1aa ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), cinnamyl alcohol 2a ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), Ni catalyst (10 mol \%), bpy ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(3 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in DMA ( 2.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . Yields were determined by GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reactions for 32 h.

### 2.5 Effect of ligands

Table S5. Effect of ligands. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: 4-bromotoluene 1aa ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) , cinnamyl alcohol $\mathbf{2 a}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ligand ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and Mn (3eq.) in DMA (2.0 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . Yields were determined by GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard. Yields of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5 1}$ were given.

### 2.6 Effect of reaction temperature and the ratio of 1aa to $\mathbf{2 a}$

With the increase of reaction temperature, the formation of product $\mathbf{3}$ was decreased, while the formation of dimer 51 was steadily increased (Table S6, entries 1-5). Product $\mathbf{3}$ was isolated in $80 \%$ yield when 1.5 equiv. of aryl bromide 1aa was used (entry 6). A comparable result was also obtained when $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was used as a catalyst (entry 7). As the applicability of this catalyst is more general than Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ for reactions in Scheme 2, conditions in entry 7 were then used as standard conditions.

Table S6. Effect of reaction temperature and aryl bromide loading. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  <br> catalyst |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry |  | X | temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | yield of 3 (\%) | yield of 51 (\%) |
| 1 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 1.0 | 30 | 80 | 6 |
| 2 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 1.0 | 40 | 60 | 15 |
| 3 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 1.0 | 60 | 47 | 27 |
| 4 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 1.0 | 80 | 37 | 30 |
| 5 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 1.0 | 100 | 32 | 31 |
| 6 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 1.5 | 30 | $88(80)^{\text {b }}$ | 21 |
| $7{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{d p p p}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1.5 | 30 | $92(85){ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11 |
| $8{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1.5 | 30 | 83 | 25 |
| 9 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 1.8 | 30 | 87 | 22 |
| 10 | Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 2.0 | 30 | 68 | 35 |

[^3]
### 2.7 Investigation of other aryl electrophiles and reductants

The reaction is less effective when either aryl chloride or iodide was used (Table S7, entries 1-2). Reaction with O-electrophile Ar-OMs did not give any desired product (Table S7, entry 3). The use of Zn or Mg as a reductant gave product $\mathbf{3}$ in less than $20 \%$ yield (entries 4-5).

Table S7. Effect of other aryl electrophiles and reductants

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | X | reductant | yield of $\mathbf{3}$ (\%) | yield of 51 (\%) |
| 1 | Cl | Mn | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | I | Mn | 13 | 53 |
| 3 | OMs | Mn | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Br | Zn | 19 | 5 |
| 5 | Br | Mg | 13 | 6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{X}(1.5$ eq. $)$, cinnamyl alcohol 2a ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq. $), \mathrm{Ni}($ diglyme $) \mathrm{Br}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, bpy ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and reductant ( 3 eq .) in DMA ( 2.0 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h .

Yields were determined by GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard.

## 3. Mechanistic Investigation

### 3.1 Monitoring of the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a a}$ with $\mathbf{2 j}$

Reactions of $\mathbf{1 a a}$ with $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}$ were monitored by GC analysis in the absence (Figure S1a) or presence (Figure S1b) of a Lewis acid. Without Lewis acid, the formation of dimer $\mathbf{5 1}$ was steadily increased after 20 min , while only trace amount of allylated product 42 was formed after 40 min . No dehydroxylation product allyl-H $\mathbf{5 2}$ was observed in 150 min . This result indicates that aryl bromide is reactive towards Ni catalyst, while allylic alcohol is inert. The formation of trace amount of product 42 is ascribed to in situ formed $\mathrm{MnBr}_{2}$, which acted as a Lewis acid (Table S2, entry 12).

Ar: 4-MePh

(a) Reaction in the absence of Lewis acid

(b) Reaction in the presence of Lewis acid

Figure S1. Monitoring of the reaction of 1aa and 2 j
3.2 Selectivity of Ar-Br and allylic alcohol in initial oxidative addition to $\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{0})$.


Scheme S1 Selectivity of $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Br}$ and allylic alcohol in initial oxidative addition to $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$. A mixture of $1 \mathrm{aa} / 2 \mathrm{a}(1: 1)$ was added to an in situ generated (bpy) $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{c o d})$. Samples were collected in each 10 min and analysed by GC. Mn was added in 40 min .

### 3.3 Effect of radical inhibitors

Table S8. Effect of radical inhibitors on the reaction of 1aa with 2a

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | additive | yield of 3 (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | none | 92 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{BHT}^{\text {b }}$ | 94 |
| 3 | hydroquinone | 97 |
| 4 | 1,1-diphenylethylene | 92 |
| 5 | TEMPO ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 |

[^4]
## 4. Preparation of Allylic Alcohols



## General Procedure A

To a solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(532 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added a mixed solution of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(528 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After stirring at the same temperature for 5 min , a solution of ester ( 4.0 mmol ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature after 30 min and stirred for 1 h . The solution was carefully quenched with 1 M HCl and then neutralized with saturated $a q . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers was washed with saturated brine ( 40 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.


## General Procedure $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{2}}$

To a flamed-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was added prop-2-yn-1-ol ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), $\mathrm{CuI}\left(380 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}\right.$.) and THF ( 50 mL ). The mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 min . Phenylmagnesium bromide ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.9 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 3.0 eq.) was slowly added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the reaction mixture was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers was washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.


## General Procedure C

To a solution of aldehyde ( $4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added vinylmagnesium bromide $\left(12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in THF, 3.0 eq .) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at room temperature for 6 h , the reaction mixture
was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers was washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.


## General Procedure $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{3}}$

To a solution of ( $E$ )-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one ( $583 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was slowly added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(181 \mathrm{mg}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ eq. $)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at the same temperature for 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with 0.1 M HCl until no further hydrogen evolution was observed. Most of MeOH was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers was washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.


## General Procedure $\mathbf{E}^{3}$

To a solution of crotonaldehyde ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in THF ( 50 mL ) was slowly added phenylmagnesium bromide ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.9 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at room temperature for 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers was washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.


2d
( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (known compound). The title compound ( $492 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ yield) was synthesized from $(E)$-methyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)acrylate according to general procedure A. The title compound was isolated as a colorless oil by silica gel column (PE/EA = 3/1). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R},{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR and ${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 4.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,8.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 162.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=245 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.0,128.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 63.6$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta-114.35$.

( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-ol ( known compound). The title compound ( $533 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield) was synthesized from $(E)$-methyl 3-(4-methylphenyl)acrylate according to general procedure A . The title compound was isolated as an oil by silica gel column (PE/EA $=3 / 1$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 5.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.85 (brs, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 137.6,134.0,131.2,129.4,127.6,126.5,63.8,21.3$.


2-Phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (known compound). The title compound ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield) was synthesized from prop-2-yn-1-ol according to general procedure B. The title compound was isolated as an oil by silica gel column ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=4 / 1$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 2.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 , TMS): $\delta 7.45-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34$ (d, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 147.4,138.7,128.7,128.1,126.2,112.7,65.0$.


1-Phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (known compound). The title compound ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ yield) was synthesized from benzaldehyde according to general procedure C . The title compound was isolated as an oil by silica gel column ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=6 / 1$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those
reported in ref. 6 .
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 , TMS): $\delta 7.34-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.05-5.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ (brs, 1 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.7,140.4,128.7,127.8,126.5,115.2,75.4$.

( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-ol (known compound). The title compound ( $562 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield) was synthesized from (E)-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one according to general procedure D . The title compound was isolated as an oil by silica gel column $(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=3 / 1) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 5 .
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.39-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 136.8,133.7,129.4,128.7,127.7,126.6,69.0,23.5$.


1-Phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (known compound). The title compound ( $426 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield) was synthesized from crotonaldehyde according to general procedure E . The title compound was isolated as a colorless oil by silica gel column (PE/EA = 2/1). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 7.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.36-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.13 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07$ (brs, 1H), 1.70 (d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.5,133.7,128.5,127.54,127.45,126.2,75.2,17.8$.


1-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (known compound). The title compound ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield) was synthesized from 4-methylbenzaldehyde according to general procedure C . The title compound was isolated as an oil by silica gel column $(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=6 / 1) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible
with those reported in ref. 6 .
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.05-5.96 (m, 1H), $5.30(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 140.5,139.9,137.5,129.3,126.4,114.9,75.2,21.2$.

## 5. Allylation Reactions of Aryl Bromides with Allylic Alcohols

### 5.1 General procedure for the allylation of aryl bromides with allylic alcohols

To a reaction tube charged with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, bpy $(6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(32.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a solution of allylic alcohol $(0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and aryl bromide ( 0.3 mmol ) in DMA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ). The reaction mixture was frozen by submersion in a liquid nitrogen bath. The reaction tube was vacuumed and then filled with argon for three times. The reaction mixture was stirred at listed temperature for listed time. The reaction was quenched with water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers was washed with saturated brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column to give the desired cross-coupling product.

### 5.2 Characterization data of allylated product



1-Cinnamyl-4-methylbenzene (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 4-bromotoluene (1aa, $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 8 .

First run: $36 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$. Second run: 35 mg ( $84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}\right): \delta 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 137.7$, 137.2, 135.8, 131.0, 129.7, 129.4, 128.73, 128.65, 127.2, 126.3, 39.1, 21.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2921, 1598, 1514, 1496, 1448, 965, 809, 755, 691.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 208.11 (100.00, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).


1-Cinnamyl-2-methylbenzene (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 2-bromotoluene (1ab, $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 9 .

First run: 30 mg ( $72 \%$ ). Second run: 32 mg (78\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.28-7.22 (m, 5H), 6.42-6.40 (m, 2H), $3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 138.4,137.7,136.6,131.1,130.4,129.4,128.71,128.66,127.2$, 126.6, 126.3, 126.2, 37.0, 19.6.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2923, 1494, 1448, 966, 744, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 208.07 (100.00, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).


1-Cinnamyl-3-methylbenzene (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 3-bromotoluene (1ac, $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 9 .

First run: 32 mg (78\%). Second run: 33 mg (79\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 140.3,138.3,137.7,131.1,129.62,129.55,128.7,128.6,127.3$, 127.1, 126.3, 125.9, 39.5, 21.6.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3025, 2920, 1607, 1494, 965, 750, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 208.06 (100.00, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).


1-Cinnamyl-4-methoxybenzene (known compound ${ }^{8}$ ). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene (1ad, $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $2 \mathbf{2}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 8.

First run: 31 mg (69\%). Second run: 30 mg (67\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.19-7.12 (m, 3H), $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36-6.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.7$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.3,137.7,132.3,130.9,129.84,129.76,129.7,127.2,126.3$, 114.1, 55.4, 38.6.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): $3026,2834,1510,1245,1036,966,828,729,692$.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 224.04 (100.00, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).


1-Cinnamyl-2-isopropylbenzene. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-bromo-2-isopropylbenzene (1ae, $60 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Catalyst: Ni(diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The reaction was conducted at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 32 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil.

First run: 40 mg ( $84 \%$ ). Second run: 38 mg ( $80 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.33-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.36-6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 147.1,137.8,136.9,130.9,130.0,129.8,128.7,127.2,127.0$, 126.2, 126.0, 125.6, 36.4, 29.0, 24.1.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3025, 2963, 1600, 1489, 1448, 1033, 758, 738, 692.
HRMS (EI): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ 236.1565, found 236.1566.


4-Cinnamyl-1,1'-biphenyl (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 4-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl (1af, $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow solid. M.P. $=41-42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 10.

First run: 45 mg (83\%). Second run: 47 mg (87\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.44-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.38-7.27 (m, 7H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 1H), 6.49 (d, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38$ (dt, $J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 141.2,139.5,139.4,137.7$, 131.4, 129.3, 128.9, 128.7, 127.4, 127.34, 127.28, 127.2, 126.4, 39.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3026, 1486, 965, 832, 761, 747, 693.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): $270.08\left(100.00, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.


1-Cinnamyl-4-fluorobenzene (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-bromo-4-fluorobenzene (1ag, $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 8 .

First run: 39 mg ( $91 \%$ ). Second run: 36 mg ( $85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} H$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.16 (m, 3H), $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 161.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=242 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.5,135.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.4$, $130.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.2,128.7,127.4,126.3,115.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 38.7$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-117.26$.
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3027, 1601, 1509, 1221, 966, 829, 730, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 212.07 (100.00, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).


1-Cinnamyl-3,5-difluorobenzene. The title compound was prepared according to the General Procedure, using 1-bromo-3,5-difluorobenzene (1ah, $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil.

First run: 23 mg (50\%). Second run: $26 \mathrm{mg}(56 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$, TMS): $\delta 7.37-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 163.2\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=247 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 144.4,137.2,132.5$, $128.8,127.7,127.4,126.4,111.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=18 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 101.9\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=26 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 39.1$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta-110.35$.
IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3028, 1625, 1597, 1117, 966, 851, 748, 684.
HRMS (EI): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ 230.0907, found 230.0899.


1-Chloro-4-cinnamylbenzene (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-bromo-4-chlorobenzene (1ai, $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 8 .

First run: 30 mg ( $66 \%$ ). Second run: 32 mg ( $70 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $\left._{3}, \mathbf{T M S}\right): \delta 7.36-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 138.7,137.4,132.1,131.6,130.2,128.74,128.72,127.4,126.3$, 38.8 .

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3026, 1491, 1091, 965, 744, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 228.02 ( $65.15, \mathrm{M}^{+}$).


1-Cinnamyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the General Procedure, using 1-bromo-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (1aj, $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3$ mmol ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 9.

First run: 32 mg (61\%). Second run: 29 mg (56\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 6.47 (d, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32$ (dt, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 144.4,137.2,132.0,129.1,128.70,128.69(\mathrm{q}, J=32 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $128.0,127.5,126.3,125.5(\mathrm{q}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.5(\mathrm{q}, J=270 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.3$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ): $\delta-62.32$.
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3028, 1618, 1326, 1163, 1123, 1067, 1018, 965, 832, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 262.04 (100.00, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).
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1-Cinnamyl-4-vinylbenzene (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-bromo-4-vinylbenzene (1ak, $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 9 .

First run: 23 mg (53\%). Second run: 24 mg (55\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.37-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72$ ( d, $J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 140.0,137.7$, 136.8, 135.9, 131.3, 129.2, 129.0, 128.7, 127.3, 126.6, 126.3, 113.4, 39.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3025, 1629, 1510, 1496, 1448, 1405, 965, 907, 738, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): $220.06\left(100, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.


4-Cinnamyl- $N, N$-dimethylaniline (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 4-bromo-N,N-dimethylaniline (1al, $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $50: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 9 .

First run: 37 mg ( $79 \%$ ). Second run: 36 mg (77\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}\right): \delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.5,137.9,130.5,130.4,129.5,128.6,128.4,127.1,126.3$, 113.3, 41.1, 38.6.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2888, 2800, 1615, 1520, 1344, 1163, 965, 818, 748, 696.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N} 238.1590$, found 238.1594.


1-(4-Cinnamylphenyl)ethan-1-one (known compound).
Reaction in scheme 2: The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate (1am, $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.2 mmol ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (30:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 11.

First run: 28 mg (56\%). Second run: 31 mg (62\%).
Reaction in table 1, entry 7: The title compound was prepared according to the General Procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate ( $\mathbf{1 a m}, 85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 h}$, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (30:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.

First run: 39 mg (77\%). Second run: 38 mg ( $75 \%$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.2,145.8,137.4,132.0,130.0,128.9,128.7,128.4,128.2$, 127.5, 126.3, 52.2, 39.4.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3026, 2950, 1720, 1610, 1435, 1280, 1110, 966, 743, 693.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 253.1223, found 253.1222.


1-(4-Cinnamylphenyl)ethan-1-one (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanone (1an, $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 52 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $20: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 11.

First run: $21 \mathrm{mg}(44 \%)$. Second run: $19 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47$ (d, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.59 (s, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 198.0,146.1,137.4,135.6,132.1,129.1,128.9,128.7,128.1$, 127.5, 126.4, 39.4, 26.8.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3026, 1681, 1606, 1357, 1268, 965, 749, 694.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 236.08 (100, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).
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3-Cinnamylbicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1,3,5-triene. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 3-bromobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-triene (1ao, $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.

First run: $36 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$. Second run: 37 mg ( $85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.18 (m, 1H), $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33$ (dt, $J$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 146.2,143.7$, 138.9, 137.8, 130.9, 130.1, 128.7, 127.4, 127.2, 126.3, 123.1, 122.7, 40.2, 29.6, 29.4.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2918, 1474, 1448, 1195, 964, 764, 691.
HRMS (EI): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ 220.1252, found 220.1260 .
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Ethyl 5-cinnamylbenzofuran-2-carboxylate. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 6-bromo-1H-indole-2-carboxylate (1ap, $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Catalyst: $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bpy ( $6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a white solid.

First run: $29 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$. Second run: $30 \mathrm{mg}(49 \%)$, white solid, M.P. $=159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl3 $\left._{3}, ~ T M S\right): ~ \delta ~ 7.53-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l} 3\right): ~ \delta 159.7,154.7,146.1,137.4,135.9,131.4,129.2,128.8,128.6$, 127.3, 126.2, 122.3, 113.8, 112.3, 61.6, 39.2, 14.4.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3081, 3024, 3059, 3026, 2982, 1729, 1575, 1466, 1446, 1369, 1294, 1193, 1137, 1095, 1018, 967, 764, 740, 693.

HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3} 307.1329$ found 307.1324.
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5-Cinnamylbenzofuran. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 5-bromobenzofuran (1aq, $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.

First run: $41 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$. Second run: 43 mg ( $91 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48-6.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.0,145.4,137.7,134.8,131.0,130.0,128.7,127.9,127.3$, 126.3, 125.3, 121.0, 111.4, 106.6, 39.4.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3025, 1495, 1467, 1262, 1030, 966, 758, 735, 693.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 235.1117, found 235.1116.
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7-Cinnamylbenzofuran. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 7-bromobenzofuran (1ar, $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow solid. M.P. $=63-64$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

First run: 38 mg (81\%). Second run: 40 mg (86\%).
${ }^{1} H$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 153.7,144.8,137.6,131.4,128.6,127.9,127.3,127.2,126.2$, 124.4, 124.0, 123.1, 119.4, 107.0, 33.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3027, 2958, 1597, 1495, 1427, 1175, 1126, 965, 793, 738, 696.

HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 235.1117, found 235.1115.


4-Cinnamyldibenzo $[b, d]$ thiophene. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 4-bromodibenzo[b,d]thiophene (1as, $79 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $20: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow solid. M.P. $=91-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

First run: 43 mg ( $72 \%$ ). Second run: 38 mg (64\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$, TMS): $\delta 8.16-8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.85$ ( m, 1H), 7.47-7.42 (m, 3H), 7.38-7.34 (m, 3H), $7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 139.5,139.4,137.5,136.2,136.1,134.7,132.5,128.7,127.5$, 126.9, 126.7, 126.4, 125.1, 124.6, 123.0, 121.9, 119.9, 38.7.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3026, 2916, 2849, 1442, 1400, 1264, 966, 738.
HRMS (EI): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~S} 300.0973$, found 300.0989 .

( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-2-(4-phenylbut-2-en-1-yl)dibenzo[b,d]furan. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 2-bromodibenzo[b,d]furan (1at, $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.

First run: $48 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$. Second run: $51 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$.
Gram scale reaction: To a 100 mL round-bottomed flask charged with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ mmol), bpy ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(825 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a solution of 2-bromodibenzo[b,d]furan (1at, $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a,
$0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA ( 50 mL ). The reaction mixture was frozen by submersion in a liquid nitrogen bath. After being vacuumed and filled with argon for three times, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 95 h . The reaction was quenched with water ( 100 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers was washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $20: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford $1.07 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of desired product 22 as a light yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51-6.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 156.7,155.2,137.6,134.8,131.3,129.7,128.7,128.1,127.4$, 127.2, 126.4, 124.6, 124.4, 122.8, 120.8, 120.6, 111.8, 111.6, 39.4.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3025, 1479, 1448, 1195, 965, 841, 749, 692.
HRMS (EI): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ 284.1201, found 284.1216.

(4-Cinnamylphenyl)methanol. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using (4-bromophenyl)methanol ( $\mathbf{1 a u}, 56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil.

First run: 33 mg ( $74 \%$ ). Second run: 38 mg ( $84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.36-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 139.9,139.0,137.6,131.3,129.3,129.1,128.7,127.5,127.3$, 126.3, 65.4, 39.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): $3333,3025,2918,1541,1419,965,756,734,692$.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ 247.1093, found 247.1095.
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4-Cinnamylaniline (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the
general procedure, using 4-bromoaniline ( $\mathbf{1 a v}, 34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 52 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow solid. M.P. $=49-50{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 12.

First run: 24 mg (58\%). Second run: 26 mg (63\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.35-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.57 (brs, 2H), 3.43 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 144.8,137.9,130.7$, 130.3, 130.2, 129.7, 128.7, 127.1, 126.3, 115.5, 38.7.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3361, 3024, 2918, 1621, 1515, 1276, 966, 825, 733, 692.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N} 210.1277$, found 210.1279.


2-cinnamyl-4-methylphenol. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 2-bromo-4-methylphenol (1aw, $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. Catalyst: $\mathrm{Ni}($ diglyme $) \mathrm{Br}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 32 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (5:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil.

First run: 23 mg (51\%). Second run: 24 mg (53\%)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.17 (m, 1H), 6.96-6.91 (m, 2H), 6.69 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48$ (d, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36$ (dt, $J$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 151.9,137.3,131.5,131.2,130.0,128.7,128.4,128.3,127.4$, 126.4, 125.6, 115.8, 34.2, 20.7.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3526, 3026, 1508, 1448, 1259, 1228, 1105, 967, 810, 747, 692.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O} 225.1274$, found 225.1275 .
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3-cinnamylphenol. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using

3-bromophenol (1ax, $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Catalyst: Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 32 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil.

First run: 37 mg ( $89 \%$ ). Second run: 38 mg ( $91 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.15 (m, 2H), 6.81 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32$ (dt, $J$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.8,142.3,137.6,131.5,130.0,129.0,128.7,127.3,126.3$, 121.4, 115.7, 113.3, 39.3.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3363, 3026, 1590, 1488, 1455, 1262, 1151, 966, 781, 754, 731,692.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}$ 211.1117, found 211.1117.

(4-Cinnamylphenyl)trimethylsilane. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using (4-bromophenyl)trimethylsilane (1ay, $68 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a pale yellow oil.

First run: 36 mg (68\%). Second run: 39 mg (74\%).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.30-7.17 (m, 5H), $6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 141.0,138.1,137.7,133.8,131.3,129.3,128.7,128.3,127.3$, 126.3, 39.5, -0.9.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3027, 2955, 1600, 1396, 1248, 1108, 965, 839, 754, 692.
HRMS (EI): [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Si} 266.1491$, found 266.1490.


28
2-Cinnamyl-1H-indole (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 2-bromo-1H-indole (1az, $39 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 32 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $10: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow solid. M.P. $=88-89{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 13.

First run: $23 \mathrm{mg}(49 \%)$. Second run: 25 mg (53\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}\right): \delta 7.96$ (brs, 1 H ), $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 137.1,136.3,132.5,129.0,128.8,127.7,126.5,126.4,121.5$, 120.2, 119.9, 110.6, 100.6, 32.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): $3405,3026,2920,1455,1411,966,748,700$.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N} 234.1277$, found 234.1279.
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4-Cinnamyl-1H-indole. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 4-bromo-1H-indole ( $\mathbf{1 b a}, 39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Catalyst: Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (10:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow solid. M.P. $=81-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

First run: 37 mg ( $80 \%$ ). Second run: 36 mg ( $78 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $\left.\mathbf{H}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}\right): \delta 8.04$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.33 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.27-7.23 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.82 (d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 137.9,136.0,132.5,131.0,129.4,128.6,127.5,127.1,126.3$,

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3417, 3026, 1497, 1435, 1341, 966, 752, 696.
HRMS (EI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N} 234.1277$, found 234.1279.


5-Cinnamyl-1H-indole. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 5-bromo-1H-indole ( $\mathbf{1 b b}, 39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Catalyst: $\mathrm{Ni}($ diglyme $) \mathrm{Br}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 32 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (10:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow solid. M.P. $=60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

First run: 39 mg (83\%). Second run: 36 mg ( $78 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, ~ T M S$ ): $\delta 7.96$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.29-7.25 (m, 3H), 7.20-7.06 (m, 3H), 6.49-6.38 ( m, 3H), 3.63 ( d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 137.9,134.7$, 131.6, 130.7, 130.5, 128.6, 128.3, 127.1, 126.3, 124.6, 123.4, 120.4, 111.1, 102.5, 39.6.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3417, 3025, 1623, 1494, 1452, 1343, 1090, 967, 754, 725, 693.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ 234.1277, found 234.1279.


6-Cinnamyl-1H-indole. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 6-bromo-1H-indole ( $\mathbf{1 b c}, 39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ mmol). Catalyst: Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (10:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow solid. M.P. $=89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

First run: 34 mg (73\%). Second run: 35 mg (75\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.97$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.57 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.36 (d, $J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 137.8,136.4,134.3,130.8,130.2,128.7,127.2,126.5,126.3$, 124.1, 121.4, 120.8, 110.9, 102.6, 39.7.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3417, 3025, 1623, 1494, 1452, 1343, 1091, 967, 725, 692.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N} 234.1277$, found 234.1274.


7-Cinnamyl-1H-indole (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 7-bromo-1H-indole (1bd, $39 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Catalyst: Ni(diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 32 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (10:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow solid. M.P. $=54-55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 14.

First run: 43 mg (93\%). Second run: 44 mg (95\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 8.18$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.56 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.05 (m, 3H), 6.60-6.54 (m, 2H), 6.45(dt, J = 16.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 137.2,135.4,131.5,128.8,128.6,128.2,127.6,126.4,124.3$, $122.4,122.3,120.2,119.5,103.1,36.1$.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3426, 3055, 3026, 1492, 1433, 1410, 1345, 1105, 1067, 968, 730.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ 234.1277, found 234.1278.


7-cinnamyl-5-fluoro-1H-indole. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 7-bromo-5-fluoro-1H-indole (1be, 43 mg , 0.2 mmol ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Catalyst: Ni (diglyme) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, bpy ( $6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil.

First run: 22 mg (44\%). Second run: 23 mg (46\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 8.13$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.34-7.26 (m, 4H), 7.23-7.14 (m, 3H),
$6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=233 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.0,132.1,131.8,128.8,128.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.7,127.4,126.4,125.8,123.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 110.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=27 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 103.9(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=23 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 103.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 35.6$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta$-124.72.
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3430, 3026, 2917, 2849, 1593, 1485, 1428, 1305, 1121, 967, 847, 791, 727, 694.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN} 252.1183$, found 252.1180.


Methyl 5-cinnamyl-1H-indole-2-carboxylate. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 5-bromo- 1 H -indole-2-carboxylate ( $\mathbf{1 b f}, 76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Catalyst: Ni(diglyme)Br ${ }_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, bpy ( $6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a white solid.

First run: 40 mg ( $69 \%$ ). Second run: $41 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$, M.P. $=140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 8.97$ (brs, 1 H ), $7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 162.6,137.6,135.8,132.7,130.9,129.9,128.6,127.9,127.4$, 127.2, 127.0, 126.2, 121.9, 112.0, 108.6, 52.1, 39.4 .

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3331, 3024, 2917, 2849, 1694, 1529, 1436, 1253, 1207, 767, 737, 691.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ 292.1332, found 292.1327.


Methyl 4-allylbenzoate (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate ( $\mathbf{1 a m}, 85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and prop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 b}$, $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (30:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$

NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 15.
First run: 27 mg (78\%). Second run: 29 mg (81\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.01-5.91 (m, 1H), 5.12-5.07 (m, 2H), $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.3,145.7,136.6,130.0,128.8,128.3,116.8,52.2,40.3$.
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3057, 2953, 1720, 1612, 1436, 1282, 1179, 1111, 919, 739, 706.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 177.0910, found 177.0908 .


36
Methyl 4-(but-2-en-1-yl)benzoate. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate (1am, $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-but-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 c}, 14 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ Catalytic conditions: $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \quad(13 \quad \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.02 \quad \mathrm{mmol})$, 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (30:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.

Total yields of linear/branched (4:1) isomers: First run: 24 mg (64\%). Second run: 22 mg (59\%).

Linear products ( $E / Z=3.2 / 1$ isomers) ${ }^{15}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.66-5.49 (m, 2H), $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),[3.45(Z), \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz} ; 3.36(E), \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz} ; 2 \mathrm{H})],[1.73(Z), \mathrm{d}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz} ; 1.69(E), \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})]$.

## Branched isomer.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 , TMS): $\delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.03-5.94 (m, 1H), 5.08-5.04 (m, 2H), $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Linear and branched isomers, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.3,146.7,142.5,130.0$, $129.93,129.88,129.2,128.7,128.5,128.0,127.5,127.4,125.9,114.1,52.2,43.4,39.2,33.3,20.7$, 18.1, 13.1.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2952, 1722, 1610, 1435, 1280, 1178, 1109, 1019, 967, 762.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 191.1067, found 191.1064.
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Methyl (E)-4-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)allyl)benzoate. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate ( $1 \mathbf{a m}, 85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, 30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $30: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a colorless oil.

First run: 42 mg (77\%). Second run: 40 mg (73\%).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.2,162.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=245 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.7,133.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $130.8,130.0,128.8,128.4,128.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 52.2, 39.4.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-114.93$.
IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3033, 2952, 1720, 1609, 1509, 1435, 1281, 1228, 1178, 1110, 968, 840, 761.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2} 271.1129$, found 271.1125 .
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( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-ol. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate ( $\mathbf{1 a m}, \quad 85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 e}, 30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $30: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.

First run: 37 mg (70\%). Second run: 40 mg ( $75 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30-6.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89$ (s, 3H), 3.56 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.2,146.0,137.2,134.6,131.8,130.0,129.4,128.8,128.3$, 127.1, 126.2, 52.1, 39.4, 21.3.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2951, 1720, 1610, 1511, 1435, 1280, 1178, 1110, 1020, 968, 809, 766.

HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 267.1380, found 267.1378.
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2-Phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (known compound). The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate ( $\mathbf{1 a m}, 85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 f}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $30: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 16.

First run: 30 mg (60\%). Second run: 29 mg (57\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}\right): \delta 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.29-7.21 (m, 5H), $5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.2,146.3,145.2,140.5,129.8,129.1,128.5,128.3,127.8$, 126.2, 115.2, 52.1, 41.8.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3030, 2951, 1721, 1611, 1435, 1281, 1178, 1110, 1021, 903.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} 253.1223$, found 253.1221 .


Methyl 4-(pent-2-en-1-yl)benzoate. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate ( $\mathbf{1 a m}, 64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pent-1-en-3-ol ( $\mathbf{2 g}, 17 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ Catalytic conditions: $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \quad(13 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (30:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.

Total yields of linear/branched (11:1) isomers: First run: 25 mg (61\%). Second run: 26 mg (64\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR [400 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$, TMS, Linear isomers $\left.(\boldsymbol{E} / \mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{5 / 1})\right]: \delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),[3.44(Z), \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz} ; 3.37(E), \mathrm{d}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz} ; 2 \mathrm{H})],[2.20-2.12(Z), \mathrm{m} ; 2.06-2.03(E), \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})], 0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$, branched isomer): $\delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.96-5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$, linear and branched isomers): $\delta 167.3,146.8,141.5,134.6$, 133.6, 129.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 126.9, 126.5, 52.2, 39.2, 33.6, 28.4, 25.7, 14.4, 13.9, 12.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3030, 2962, 1723, 1611, 1435, 1280, 1178, 1111, 1020, 968, 761, 708.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 205.1223, found 205.1220.


Methyl 4-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)benzoate. The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using methyl 4-bromobenzoate (1am, $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methylbut-3-en-2-ol ( $\mathbf{2 h}, 17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Catalytic conditions: $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $30: 1$ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil. linear/branched (180:1).

First run: 27 mg (67\%). Second run: 26 mg (65\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.24 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.4,147.6,133.7,129.9,128.5,127.9,122.3,52.1,34.6,25.9$, 18.0.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 2915, 1722, 1611, 1435, 1280, 1177, 1110, 1020, 758.
HRMS (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 205.1223, found 205.1220 .

( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-1-methyl-4-(4-phenylbut-3-en-2-yl)benzene (known compound).
Reaction of $\mathbf{1 a a}$ with $\mathbf{2 j}$ : The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene (1aa, $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-ol ( $\mathbf{2 j}, 30 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. Catalytic conditions: $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(16.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, bpy ( $9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}$ $(7.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified
by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.
First run: 24 mg (53\%). Second run: 26 mg (58\%).
Reaction of $\mathbf{1 a a}$ with $\mathbf{2 k}$ : The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure, using 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene (1aa, $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ )-1-phenylbut-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 k}, 30 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100:0 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 17.
First run: 27 mg (60\%). Second run: 26 mg (59\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.11 (m, 5H), 6.43-6.33 (m, 2H), 3.63-3.57 (m, 1H), 2.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.8,137.8,135.9,135.7,129.4,128.7,128.5,127.4,127.2$, 126.3, 42.3, 21.5, 21.2.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2965, 1598, 1513, 1494, 1448, 1371, 1014, 965, 815, 751, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 222.11 (51.92, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).

## 6. Sequence Reactions in Eq. 1 and 2

### 6.1 Synthesis of compound 44



A reaction tube charged with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(44.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, tetrabutylammonium chloride (1.11 $\mathrm{g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium bicarbonate $(0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMF ( 20 mL ) was vacuumed and back-filled with Ar for 3 times. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes. 1-Bromo-4-iodobenzene ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-ol ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then added. After stirring at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours, the resulting dark reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and water ( 50 mL ), and separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic solution was washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ), dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue is purified by silica gel column $(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=25 / 1)$ to give compound $43(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ yield $)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{18}$

Known compound, M.P. $=80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. 19.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 198.6,144.2,140.6,134.5,131.7,130.4,129.5,128.3,120.0$, 40.1, 29.7, 21.8.

IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 2918, 1671, 1606, 1485, 1425, 807.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): 301.98 (100, $\mathbf{M}^{+}$).

( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-1-(p-tolyl)-3-(4-(3-(p-tolyl)allyl)phenyl)propan-1-one. The title compound was prepared according to the General Procedure, using compound 43 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (2l, $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The
crude product was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow solid. M.P. $=69-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .52 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{H}_{3}$, TMS): $\delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.15$ ( m, 4H), 7.08 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 199.1, 143.9, 139.4, 138.3, 137.0, 134.9, 134.6, 131.1, 129.43, $129.35,129.0,128.7,128.5,128.3,126.2,40.6,39.1,30.0,21.8,21.3$.

IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3024, 2920, 1682, 1607, 1512, 1409, 1180, 971, 811.
HRMS (EI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}$ 355.2066, found 355.2061.

### 6.2 Synthesis of compound 46


( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-2-(4-cinnamylphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (45). The title compound was prepared according to the General Procedure, using 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1bh, $141 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford a light yellow solid. M.P. $=92-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .99 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.34 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.7$, 137.7, 135.2, 131.4, 129.1, 128.7, 128.3, 127.3, 126.3, 83.9, 39.7, 25.1.

## ${ }^{11}$ B NMR ( $192 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): 30.95

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3026, 2978, 1611, 1399, 1360, 1273, 1144, 1090, 963, 859, 739, 658.
HRMS (EI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BO}_{2}$ 321.2020, found 321.2022.


## 4-cinnamyl-4'-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (46)

A tube charged with $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate $(82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$, 45 ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene 1aa ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF ( 5 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was vacuumed and back-filled with Ar for 3 times. After stirring at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, the reaction mixture was quenched with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic mixture was washed with saturated brine ( 30 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column (PE/EA=100/1) to give compound $46\left(58 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%\right.$ yield) as a white solid. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{P} .=56-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, \mathbf{T M S}$ ): $\delta 7.53-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 139.3,139.2,138.4,137.7,137.0,131.4,129.7,129.3,129.2$, 128.7, 127.31, 127.25, 127.1, 126.3, 39.2, 21.3.

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3024, 1498, 966, 809, 745, 692.
GC-MS (EI) m/z (rel intensity, ion): $284.07\left(100, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

## 7. Monitoring of the Reaction of $\mathbf{1 a a}$ and $\mathbf{2 j}$ by GC Analysis



The reaction worked in an argon-filled glove box.
Reaction in the presence of $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}$ : To a reaction tube charged with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol), bpy ( $9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(7.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(32.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a solution of 1-bromo-4-methylbenzene ( $\mathbf{1 a a}, \quad 51 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (E)-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-ol ( $\mathbf{2 j}, 30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. A $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture was removed with a pipette in every 10 to 30 min . It was quenched with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, diluted with diethyl ether ( 1 mL ), and filtered through a syringe filter. The filtrate was analyzed by GC and the yield was calculated versus the internal standard (dodecane).

Reaction in the absence of $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}$ : above procedure, but no $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}$ was used.

## 8. Procedure for experiments in Scheme 4.



The reaction worked in an argon-filled glove box. To a reaction tube charged with bpy ( 6.2 mg , $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}(5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added DMA ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. A solution of (E)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-bromotoluene ( $\mathbf{1 a a}, 34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA ( 1 mL ) was then added. A $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture was removed with a pipette in every $10 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Mn}$ powder ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added in 40 min . The removed reaction mixture was quenched with water, diluted with diethyl ether ( 1 mL ), and filtered through a syringe filter. The filtrate was analyzed by GC and the yield was calculated versus the internal standard (dodecane).

## 9. Synthesis and Reactions of Ar-Ni ${ }^{\text {II }}($ bpy $) \mathrm{Br} 49$ and (bpy)Ni ${ }^{\mathbf{0}}$ (cod) 50

### 9.1 Synthesis of complex 49



The reaction worked in an argon-filled glove box. To a flame-dried round-bottomed flask was added bpy ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})_{2}(275 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for overnight, 1-bromo-2-isopropylbenzene ( $239 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2$ mmol ) was added and the color changed from dark purple to red. After stirring at room temperature for 4 h , the mixture solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was washed with dry $n$-pentane for several times and then dried under vacuum for 2 h to give complex 49 ( 330 mg , $80 \%$ yield) as a red solid. ${ }^{21}$ The X-Ray quality crystals were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a toluene solution of 49 at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d6, TMS): $\delta 9.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 8.23-8.16 (m, 2H), $7.73(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , acetone-d6): $\delta 156.9,153.9,153.7,151.9,151.6,148.6,140.2,139.6$, 137.1, 127.3, 124.3, 123.9, 123.5, 122.7, 122.0, 37.9, 24.8, 24.2 .

IR ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ): 3104, 3046, 2957, 2925, 2863, 1602, 1443, 1260, 1024, 763, 736.
Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NiBr}$ : $55.13 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.63 \% \mathrm{H}, 6.77 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found $54.98 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.05 \% \mathrm{H}, 5.74 \%$ N .

## X-Ray crystallographic data



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for 49 (CCDC 1515176).

| Identification code | 49 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ |
| Formula weight | 413.98 |
| Temperature/K | 293(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/Å | 8.4028(11) |
| b/Å | 11.9498(9) |
| c/Å | 17.1489(17) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 94.163(10) |
| $\gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 1717.4(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.601 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.456 |
| $F(000)$ | 840.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.2300 \times 0.1400 \times 0.0500$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.06 to 52.04 |
| Index ranges | $-9 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-20 \leq 1 \leq 21$ |
| Reflections collected | 6745 |
| Independent reflections | $3378\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0475, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0849\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3378/0/210 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.052 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0781, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1586$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1312, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1843$ |

Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ 1.48/-1.27
Table 4 Bond Lengths for 49.

| Atom | Atom | Length/A | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | Ni1 | $2.2855(13)$ | C 6 | C 7 | $1.384(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni1}$ | N 1 | $1.928(6)$ | C 7 | C 8 | $1.373(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni1}$ | N 2 | $1.973(6)$ | C 8 | C 9 | $1.369(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni1}$ | C 11 | $1.895(9)$ | C 9 | C 10 | $1.367(12)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | $1.344(10)$ | C 11 | C 12 | $1.404(12)$ |
| N 1 | C 5 | $1.343(9)$ | C 11 | C 16 | $1.389(11)$ |
| N 2 | C 6 | $1.358(9)$ | C 12 | C 13 | $1.330(13)$ |
| N 2 | C 10 | $1.348(10)$ | C 13 | C 14 | $1.411(15)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.377(12)$ | C 14 | C 15 | $1.444(14)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.363(13)$ | C 15 | C 16 | $1.364(11)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | $1.375(12)$ | C 16 | C 17 | $1.536(12)$ |
| C 4 | C 5 | $1.380(11)$ | C 17 | C 18 | $1.566(13)$ |
| C 5 | C 6 | $1.459(11)$ | C 17 | C 19 | $1.487(12)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for 49.

| Atom | Ato | Ato | Angle $/^{\circ}$ | Ato | At | At | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | Ni1 | Br1 | 168.8(2) | N2 | C6 | C7 | 121.4(8) |
| N1 | Ni1 | N2 | 81.9(3) | C7 | C6 | C5 | 124.9(7) |
| N2 | Ni1 | Br1 | 97.07(19) | C8 | C7 | C6 | 119.6(8) |
| C11 | Ni1 | Br1 | 90.0(2) | C9 | C8 | C7 | 119.0(8) |
| C11 | Ni1 | N1 | 93.8(3) | C10 | C9 | C8 | 119.4(8) |
| C11 | Ni1 | N2 | 164.4(3) | N2 | C10 | C9 | 122.8(8) |
| C1 | N1 | Ni1 | 126.8(6) | C12 | C11 | Ni1 | 116.5(7) |
| C5 | N1 | Ni1 | 115.6(5) | C16 | C11 | Ni1 | 127.3(7) |
| C5 | N1 | C1 | 117.1(7) | C16 | C11 | C12 | 116.0(8) |


| C 6 | N 2 | Ni 1 | $114.0(5)$ | C 13 | C 12 | C 11 | $124.1(10)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 10 | N 2 | N 11 | $128.1(6)$ | C 12 | C 13 | C 14 | $119.2(10)$ |
| C 10 | N 2 | C 6 | $117.7(7)$ | C 13 | C 14 | C 15 | $118.9(10)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | C 2 | $123.5(8)$ | C 16 | C 15 | C 14 | $117.8(10)$ |
| C 3 | C 2 | C 1 | $119.4(9)$ | C 11 | C 16 | C 17 | $119.5(8)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | $117.5(8)$ | C 15 | C 16 | C 11 | $123.6(9)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | C 5 | $121.0(8)$ | C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $116.9(8)$ |
| N 1 | C 5 | C 4 | $121.4(8)$ | C 16 | C 17 | C 18 | $112.7(8)$ |
| N 1 | C 5 | C 6 | $113.9(7)$ | C 19 | C 17 | C 16 | $113.4(8)$ |
| C4 | C 5 | C 6 | $124.7(7)$ | C 19 | C 17 | C 18 | $108.2(8)$ |
| N2 | C6 | C 5 | $113.7(7)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Torsion Angles for 49.

```
A
Br1 Ni1 N1 C1 94.5(12) C2 C3 C4 C5 2.4(14)
Br1 Ni1 N1 C5 -77.2(12) C3 C4 C5 N1 -0.4(13)
Br1 Ni1 N2 C6 166.0(5) C3 C4 C5 C6 -179.0(8)
Br1 Ni1 N2 C10-19.0(7) C4 C5 C6 N2 -172.2(7)
Br1 Ni1 C11 C12 98.6(6) C4 C5 C6 C7 8.5(12)
Br1 Ni1 C11 C16-87.5(7) C5 N1 C1 C2 4.1(14)
Ni1 N1 C1 C2 -167.5(8) C5 C6 C7 C8 176.8(8)
Ni1 N1 C5 C4 169.7(6) C6 N2 C10 C9 0.4(12)
Ni1 N1 C5 C6 -11.6(8) C6 C7 C8 C9 2.0(13)
Ni1 N2 C6 C5 -2.6(8) C7 C8 C9 C10-0.4(14)
Ni1 N2 C6 C7 176.7(6) C8 C9 C10 N2 -0.8(14)
Ni1 N2 C10 C9 -174.4(6) C10 N2 C6 C5 -178.1(7)
Ni1 C11 C12 C13 169.3(8) C10 N2 C6 C7 1.2(11)
Ni1 C11 C16 C15-171.0(6) C11 Ni1 N1 C1 -15.3(8)
```

Ni1 C11 C16 C17 10.5(11) C11 Ni1 N1 C5 173.0(6)
N1 Ni1 N2 C6 -2.7(5) C11 Nil N2 C6 -77.3(12)
N1 Ni1 N2 C10 172.2(7) C11 Nil N2 C10 97.6(13)
N1 Ni1 C11 C12-91.9(7) C11 C12 C13 C14 1.8(16)
N1 Ni1 C11 C16 82.0(7) C11 C16 C17 C18-122.9(9)
N1 C1 C2 C3 -2.1(16) C11 C16 C17 C19 113.7(9)
N1 C5 C6 N2 9.1(9) C12 C11 C16 C15 2.9(12)
N1 C5 C6 C7 -170.1(7) C12 C11 C16 C17-175.5(7)
N2 Ni1 N1 C1 179.7(8) C12 C13 C14 C15 4.1(15)
N2 Ni1 N1 C5 8.1(6) C13 C14 C15 C16-6.2(13)
N2 Ni1 C11 C12-18.8(15) C14 C15 C16 C11 2.6(13)
N2 Ni1 C11 C16 155.1(9) C14 C15 C16 C17-178.9(8)
N2 C6 C7 C8 -2.4(12) C15 C16 C17 C18 58.5(10)
C1 N1 C5 C4 -2.8(12) C15 C16 C17 C19-64.9(11)
C1 N1 C5 C6 175.9(7) C16 C11 C12 C13-5.3(13)
C1 C2 C3 C4 -1.2(15)

### 9.2 Synthesis of complex 50



This compound was synthesized according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{22}$ The reaction worked in an argon-filled glove box. To a flame-dried round-bottomed flask was added bpy ( 312 mg , $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}(550 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at room temperature overnight, the reaction mixture was removed from glove box. Much of THF was removed under reduced pressure and deoxygenated ethyl ether ( 10 mL ) was added. A solid was precipitated when cooled with liquid nitrogen. The collected solid was washed with degassed cold $\mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried for 1 h under vacuum to give a black, shiny solid ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR data are compatible with those reported in ref. $23 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}\right): \delta 10.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ): $\delta 150.6,145.4,124.9,122.3,122.2,82.1,31.9$.

### 9.3 The procedure for experiments in Figure 1.

These two reaction were conducted an argon-filled glove box at the same time.
The reaction of 1ae with 2 a catalyzed by $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ of $\mathbf{A r - N i}{ }^{\text {II }}(\mathrm{bpy}) \mathrm{Br}$ (49). To a mixture of 1-bromo-2-isopropylbenzene ( $\mathbf{1 a e}, 48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2a, $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bpy $(9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 1 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{bpy}) \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{4 9}, 25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DMA}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. A $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture was collected with a pipette each time. The collected mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, diluted with diethyl ether ( 1 mL ) and filtered through a syringe filter. The filtrate was analyzed by GC and the yield was calculated versus the internal standard (dodecane).

The reaction of 1ae with 2 a catalyzed by $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ of (bpy) $\mathbf{N i}^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{c o d})(50)$. To a mixture of 1-bromo-2-isopropylbenzene (1ae, $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $E$ )-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bpy $(9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 1 mL ) was added a solution of (bpy) $\mathrm{Ni}^{0}(\operatorname{cod})(\mathbf{5 0}, 19.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0$ eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. A $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture was collected with a pipette each time. The collected mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, diluted with diethyl ether ( 1 mL ) and filtered through a syringe filter. The filtrate was analyzed by GC and the yield was calculated versus the internal standard (dodecane).

### 9.4 Stoichiometric reaction of complex 49 with 2 a



To a tube charged with 49 ( $82.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bpy ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(32.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a solution of Cinnamyl alcohol 2a ( $26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction tube was vacuumed and refilled with argon for

3 times. After stirring at room temperature for 4 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30$ mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic mixture was analyzed by GC-MS and GC to show $90 \%$ yield of 7 was obtained in the presence of Mn , but no desired product was observed without Mn.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: 4-bromotoluene 1aa ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.), cinnamyl alcohol 2a ( 0.2 mmol , 1.0 eq.), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dme}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, bpy ( $\left.20 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right), \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(3 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in \mathrm{DMF}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . Yields were determined by GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: 4-bromotoluene 1aa ( $\left.0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}.\right)$, cinnamyl alcohol 2a ( 0.2 mmol , 1.0 eq.), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dme}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, bpy (20 mol \%), Lewis acid (10 mol \%) and Mn (3 eq.) in DMF (2.0 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . Yields were determined by GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: 4-bromotoluene 1aa ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) , cinnamyl alcohol 2a ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dme}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, bpy ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(3 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in solvent (2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . Yields were determined by GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: 4-bromotoluene 1aa ( Xeq .), cinnamyl alcohol 2a ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.), Ni catalyst (10 $\mathrm{mol} \%)$, bpy ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{ZrCl}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(3 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in \mathrm{DMA}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at listed temperature for 16 h . Yields were determined by GC analysis with dodecane as internal standard. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Reactions for 32 h.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Yields were GC yields. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ BHT: butylated hydroxytoluene. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ TEMPO: 2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy.

[^5]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100\end{array}$

[^6]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 9\end{array}$

[^7]:    | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 |  |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

