Supporting Information

Impact Electrochemistry Reveals that Graphene Nanoplatelets Catalyse the Oxidation of Dopamine via Adsorption

Lifu Chen, Eden E. L. Tanner, Chuhong Lin and Richard G. Compton*

Department of Chemistry, Physical and Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QZ, United Kingdom

*Corresponding Author: Emails: <u>richard.compton@chem.ox.ac.uk</u> Phone: +44(0) 1865 275957 Fax: +44 (0) 1865 275410



Figure S1. Beer–Lambert plot of dopamine in buffer (pH=0)

The linear relationship between absorbance and absorber concentration can be examined by the Beer-Lambert law.

$$A = \varepsilon l c$$

Where A is the measured absorbance, ε is the extinction coefficient, l is the path length, and c is the absorber concentration.

$$Slope = \frac{A}{c} = \varepsilon \ l = 2.574 \ mM^{-1}$$
$$\varepsilon = \frac{2.574 \ mM^{-1}}{l} = \frac{2.574 \ mM^{-1}}{10 \ mm} = 0.257 \ M^{-1}m^{-1}$$



Figure S2 Cyclic voltammograms of a bare microdisc carbon electrode in pH 0 buffered solution containing variable concentrations of dopamine recorded as a function of scan rate from 25 mV s⁻¹ to 1000 mV s⁻¹. Inset: the plot of half-wave potential as a function of the scan rate. (a) 10 mM DA, (b) 5 mM DA, (c) 1 mM DA, (d) 0.5 mM DA and (e) 0.1 mM DA.



Figure S3. Representative chronoamperometric profiles of nano-impacts at +0.40 V versus SCE in pH 0 buffer containing GNPs only



Figure S4. Representative chronoamperometric profiles of nano-impacts at +0.55 V versus SCE in pH 0 buffer without addition of GNPs suspensions



Figure S5. Capacitative impacts with absolute charge in logarithmic (log_{10}) scale plotted against applied potential (vs. SCE). Red squares: values with negative charge; black squares: values with positive charge. The intersection potential of the two lines is used to estimate the PZC value of GNPs at pH 0 environment, where PZC is determined to be +0.51 V (vs. SCE) in pH 0 condition.

| Potentials | +0.35V | +0.40V | +0.45V | +0.50V | +0.55V | +0.60V |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| NOT Sharp | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 248 | 146 | 170 | 213 | 193 | 112 |
| % of Sharp | 97% | 96% | 97% | 98% | 99% | 99% |

Table S1. Statistics of spike shape of DA-saturated GNPs (modified via sonication) in 10mM of DA at different potentials

| Potential | Γ from UV-Vis of 10mM DA-GNPs | Γ from Impact at | Percentage of |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| (V) | (mol / mg of GNP) | (mol / mg of GNP) | oxidation (%) |
| +0.35 | 11.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(3.30 \pm 1.60) \times 10^{-11}$ | 0.03 ± 0.01 |
| +0.40 | 11.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(9.17 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.80 ± 0.02 |
| +0.45 | 11.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(2.02 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.8 ± 0.1 |
| +0.50 | 11.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(3.82 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-9}$ | 3.4 ± 0.4 |
| +0.55 | 11.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(5.99 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-9}$ | 5.3 ± 0.5 |
| +0.60 | 11.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(1.50 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-8}$ | 13.1 ± 1.0 |

Table S2. Summary percentage of adsorbed dopamine during the impact as a function of potential

| DA Concentration (mM) | Γ from UV-Vis (mol / mg of GNP) | Γ from Impact at E= 0.55V (mol / mg of GNP) | Percentage of oxidation (%) |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 5 | 8.84 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(4.96 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-9}$ | 5.6±0.6 |
| 10 | 11.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ | $(5.99 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-9}$ | 5.3 ± 0.5 |
| 20 | 13.0× 10 ⁻⁸ | $(7.73 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-9}$ | 5.9 ± 0.5 |

Table S3. Summary percentage of adsorbed dopamine during the impact at +0.55 V.

| Potentials | +0.35V | +0.40V | +0.45V | +0.50V | +0.55V | +0.60V |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Impact | 25.1 | 23.2 | 25.7 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 24 3 |
| time (ms) | 20.1 | | 20.7 | 23.9 | 21.0 | 21.5 |
| Error of | 15 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 16 |
| mean | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 |

 Table S4. Summary of average impact times spike shape at different potentials

Adsorption isotherm calculation:

We present an example based on the addition of GNPs to a 5 mM solution of dopamine.

 A_1 (absorbance of original 5 mM dopamine solution before GNPs adsorption) = 1.3123

 A_2 (absorbance of supernatant after GNPs adsorption) = 1.0655

D (Dilution factor) = 10

The actual concentration of original dopamine solution before GNPs adsorption, c_1 , is

$$c_1 = \frac{A_1}{\varepsilon l} \times D = \frac{1.3123}{0.257 \, M^{-1} m^{-1} \times 10 \, mm} \times 10 = 5.10 \, mM$$

Similarly, the concentration of supernatant after GNPs adsorption, $c_2 = 4.14 \ mM$

10.9 mg of GNPs was used to mix with 1 ml of 5 mM dopamine solution during experiment, hence the amount of DA (n) adsorbed onto 1 mg of GNPs can be determined as

$$n = \frac{(5.10 \ mM - 4.14 \ mM) \times 1 \ ml}{10.9 \ mg \ of \ GNPs} = 8.81 \times 10^{-8} \ mol \ of \ DA \ / \ mg \ of \ GNPs$$

Similar calculations are conducted at different dopamine concentrations and consequently the dopamine adsorption isotherm for GNPs in pH 0 buffer is constructed as shown in Figure 1b.

Concentration driven phase transition calculation:

For single GNP particle, average surface area of GNP is $297 \pm 152 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ and the thickness is $7.1 \pm 2 \ \text{nm}$ (estimated from scanning electron microscopy¹). Hence the volume (V) of a single GNP can be estimated as $(2.11 \pm 1.08) \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}^3$. The bulk density of the GNPs is $1 \times 10^5 \text{ g m}^{-3}$ reported by the supplier.² For loose powders, the density of a single GNP is assumed to be the same as graphite, $2.26 \times 10^6 \text{ g m}^{-3}$. ³ Therefore, the mass for single GNP can be estimated as $(4.77 \pm 2.44) \times 10^{-9} \text{ mg}$.

The amount of DA (*n*) adsorbed onto 1 mg of GNPs reaches the first plateau at $n_{\text{max}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ mol mg⁻¹. For 1 mg of GNP, the total surface area is:

$$\frac{1}{(4.77 \pm 2.44) \times 10^{-9} \, mg} \times (2.97 \pm 1.52) \times 10^{-6} \, cm^2 = (6.23 \pm 3.19) \times 10^2 \, cm^2 \, mg^{-1}$$

Therefore, the maximum surface coverage (Γ_{max}) at the first plateau can be determined as:

$$\Gamma_{max} = \frac{1.7 \times 10^{-7} \, mol \, mg^{-1}}{(6.23 \pm 3.19) \times 10^2 \, cm^2 \, mg^{-1}} = (2.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-10} \, mol \, cm^{-2}$$

The average area occupied by each individual molecule (S_{R-DA}) can be then determined as:

$$S_{R-DA} = \frac{1}{N_A \Gamma_{max}} = \frac{1}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1} \times (2.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}} = (2.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}$$

A similar calculation is conducted to obtain S'_{R-DA} at the second plateau.