Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Sustainable Energy & Fuels. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

Electronic Supplementary Information

Fabrication of CuInS₂ Photocathodes on Carbon Microfiber Felt by Arc Plasma Deposition for Efficient Water Splitting Under Visible Light

Hiroya Homura, Osamu Tomita, Masanobu Higashi and Ryu Abe

Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8510

Photoelectrochemical measurements.

The potential of the working electrode was controlled by a potentiostat (VersaSTAT 3, Princeton Applied Research Co., Ltd.). A 0.5 M aqueous solution of Na₂SO₄ (pH adjusted to 4.0 by H₂SO₄ addition), a 0.1 M aqueous solution of Na₂HPO₄ (pH adjusted to 4.0, 9.0, 11.0, or 13.0 by H₃PO₄, NaH₂PO₄, or NaOH addition), or a 0.05 M aqueous solution of Eu(NO₃)₃ (pH adjusted to 4.0 by HNO₃ addition) was used as the electrolyte. For the current-potential curve measurements, the scan rate was fixed to 5 mV s⁻¹ in the cathodic direction by means of a potentiostat. Before irradiation, the solution was thoroughly purged with Ar gas (99.999%) for more than 30 min to remove dissolved air. The prepared electrode was irradiated with a Xe lamp (300 W, Cermax, LX–300F) fitted with a cut-off filter (λ > 400 nm, L-42), or with AM 1.5 G simulated sunlight at 100 mW cm⁻² (300 W, HAL–320, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.).

For the incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) measurements, a Xe lamp (300 W, MAX–302, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.) equipped with bandpass filters (full width at half maximum of 10–12 nm) was used as a source of monochromatic irradiation.

For PEC water splitting, a two-component cell separated by a porous glass filter was used. Both cells were filled with 140 mL of 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄ (pH adjusted to 11.0 with NaOH), leaving *ca*. 70 mM of head spaces for gas sampling in each cell. One cell was placed by the prepared photocathodes and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, the other was placed by a Pt counter electrode. The PEC cell was immersed in a water bath to maintain the reaction temperature at *ca*. 23 °C, and light irradiation was performed by means of AM 1.5 G simulated sunlight at 100 mW cm⁻² (300 W, HAL–320, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.) at -0.66 V_{Ag/AgCl}. The evolved gases were analyzed by means of an online gas chromatographer (3000 MicroGC, Inficon) fitted with a thermal conductivity detector and a 5A molecular sieve column at 70 °C with Ar as the carrier gas.



Figure S1. SEM images of (a)-(c) as-provided CMF and (d) CMF calcined at 500 °C for 30 min in air ((a) cross-section and (b)-(d) top-view).



Figure S2. Correlations between the number of arc discharge and the amounts of Cu or In on CMF (*C*; capacitance of condenser (μ F), *V*; voltage for arc discharge (V), Substrate area; 3.0 cm²).



Figure S3. Time profile of heat treatment for sulfurization of In/Cu/CMF and In/Cu/Mo samples.



Figure S4. Time course of the photocurrent during photoelectrochemical Pt deposition at -0.1 V (*vs*. Ag/AgCl) under light irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm) (Substrate area; 3.0 cm²).



Figure S5. Cross-sectional SEM images of Au particles deposited CMF sample by means of conventional sputtering.



Figure S6. Cross-sectional SEM images of Cu/CMF(APD) samples prepared at different capacitance of the condenser (100 V); (a) $C = 720 \mu$ F, (b) $C = 1080 \mu$ F, (c) $C = 1800 \mu$ F. (c) Enlarged SEM images of Cu/CMF(APD) samples shown in Figure 2 (b)-(d).



Figure S7. Cross-sectional SEM images of Cu/CMF(APD) samples prepared at different voltage (1080 μ F); (a) V = 70 V, (b) V = 100 V, (c) V = 130 V.



Figure S8. Cross-sectional SEM images of In/CMF(APD) samples prepared at different capacitance of condenser (100 V); (a) $C = 360 \ \mu\text{F}$, (b) $C = 1080 \ \mu\text{F}$, (c) $C = 1800 \ \mu\text{F}$.



Figure S9. Cross-sectional SEM images of In/CMF(APD) samples prepared at different voltage (360 μ F); (a) V = 80 V, (b) V = 100 V, (c) V = 130 V. (c) Enlarged SEM images of In/CMF(APD) samples shown in Figure 3 (a)-(c).



Figure S10. XRD patterns of CuInS₂/CMF samples prepared by (a) cathodic arc-plasma deposition (APD) and (b) electrodeposition (ED) before and after the KCN etching.



Figure S11. Influence of the KCN etching on the current density for $CuInS_2/CMF(APD)$ samples in 0.05 M Eu(NO₃)₃ aq. (pH adjusted to 4.0 by HNO₃ addition) under chopped visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm).



Figure S12. XRD patterns of (a) CdS/CuInS₂/CMF(APD) and (b) CdS/CMF samples prepared by chemical bath deposition.



Figure S13. SEM images of (a)-(b) CdS/CuInS₂/CMF(APD) and (c)-(d) CuInS₂/CMF(APD) samples.



Figure S14. Influence of the post calcination ambience (70 °C, 30 sec) after CdS deposition on the current density for Pt-CdS/CuInS₂/CMF(APD) photocathodes in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ aq. (pH adjusted to 4.0 by H₂SO₄ addition) under chopped visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm).



Figure S15. XPS of Pt-CdS/CuInS₂/CMF(APD) samples before and after PEC reaction.



Figure S16. SEM images of Pt-CdS/CuInS₂/CMF(APD) samples before and after PEC reaction.



Figure S17. Time course of cathodic photocurrent using Pt-CdS/CuInS₂/CMF(APD) photoelectrode (Substrate area; 3.0 cm²) during PEC water splitting under simulated solar light irradiation (AM 1.5 G, 100 mW cm⁻²).