## **Supplementary Information**

## Bimetallic (Au–Cu core)@(Ceria shell) nanotube for Photocatalytic

## Oxidation of Benzyl Alcohol: Improved Reactivity by Cu

Beibei Chen,<sup>a</sup> Xiaomin Li,<sup>a</sup> Ruijin Zheng,<sup>b</sup> Ruiping Chen,<sup>c</sup> Xuan Sun\*,<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory for Colloid & Interface Chemistry, Shandong University, Ministry of Education, Jinan, 250100, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Functional Crystal Materials and Device, Shandong University, Ministry of Education, Jinan, 250100, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup>Chemistry Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences Fuzhou, 350002 (P.R. China)

\*Email: <u>sunxuan@sdu.edu.cn</u>



**Fig. S1.** TEM of samples before calcined with different Au-Cu deposition. (a) Au, (b) Au8Cu2, (c) Au5Cu5, (d) Au1Cu9, and (d) Cu.



Fig. S2. HRTEM of Au<sub>5</sub>Cu<sub>5</sub>@CeO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S3. STEM-EDX mapping of  $CeO_2$  nanorods,  $Au@CeO_2$ ,  $Au_8Cu_2@CeO_2$ ,  $Au_5Cu_5@CeO_2$ ,  $Au_1Cu_9@CeO_2$  and  $Cu@CeO_2$ .



Fig. S4. EDS spectra of Au@CeO<sub>2</sub>, Au<sub>8</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>@CeO<sub>2</sub>, Au<sub>5</sub>Cu<sub>5</sub>@CeO<sub>2</sub>, Au<sub>1</sub>Cu<sub>9</sub>@CeO<sub>2</sub> and Cu@CeO<sub>2</sub>.

EDS-Catalysts	AuCeO <sub>2</sub>	Au <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>2</sub> CeO <sub>2</sub>	Au <sub>5</sub> Cu <sub>5</sub> CeO <sub>2</sub>	Au1Cu9CeO2	Au <sub>0</sub> Cu <sub>10</sub> CeO <sub>2</sub>
Ce	87.3400	84.9600	88.7600	80.3400	88.5700
Au (x)	12.6600	5.9500	3.8200	3.1100	0.0000
Cu (y)	0.0000	9.0900	8.1300	11.4300	15.8400
y/(x+y) Theoretical/%	0.0000	20.0000	50.0000	90.0000	100.0000
y/(x+y) Virtual/%	0.0000	60.4300	68.0300	78.6100	100.0000

**Table S1.** Ce, Au and Cu contents from EDS results.

ICP-Catalysts	AuCeO <sub>2</sub>	Au <sub>8</sub> Cu <sub>2</sub> CeO <sub>2</sub>	Au <sub>5</sub> Cu <sub>5</sub> CeO <sub>2</sub>	Au1Cu9CeO2	Au <sub>0</sub> Cu <sub>10</sub> CeO <sub>2</sub>
Au (x)	0.0498	0.0354	0.0245	0.0010	0.0000
Cu (y)	0.0000	0.0004	0.0007	0.0020	0.0308
Ce (z)	1.0250	0.9526	0.8378	1.0830	2.9460
y/(x+y)Theoretical/%	0.0000	20.0000	50.0000	90.0000	100.0000
y/(x+y)/Virtual/%	0.0000	0.0112	0.0278	0.6667	1.0000
x/(x+y+z)/%	4.6334	3.5815	2.8389	0.0921	0.0000
y/(x+y+z)/%	0.0000	0.0405	0.0811	0.1842	1.0347
z/(x+y+z)/%	95.3666	96.3780	97.0800	99.7238	98.9653

Table 2. Ce, Au and Cu contents from ICP results.



Fig. S5. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption curve and specific surface area.

Table S3. BET specific surface areas of the monometallic and bimetallic Au– $Cu@CeO_2$  nanocomposites

Catalysts	AuCeO <sub>2</sub>	$Au_8Cu_2CeO_2$	Au <sub>5</sub> Cu <sub>5</sub> CeO <sub>2</sub>	$Au_1Cu_9CeO_2$	CuCeO2
Specific surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /g <sup>-1</sup> )	88	73	70	92	120



Fig. S6. TEM of Au<sub>1</sub>Cu<sub>9</sub>@CeO<sub>2</sub> after the long-running photocatalytic reaction.



Fig. S7. Cu 2p of Au<sub>1</sub>Cu<sub>9</sub>@CeO<sub>2</sub> after photocatalytic oxidation.



**Fig. S8.** ESR spectra of all the samples measured at room temperature in the dark (dashed line), and under the visible light illumination for 10 mins (solid line), respectively.