## 2D Free-standing Film-Inspired Electrocatalyst for Highly Efficient Hydrogen Production

Jian Gao, Zhihua Cheng, Changxiang Shao, Yang Zhao\*, Zhipan Zhang and Liangti Qu\*

Key Laboratory of Photoelectronic/Eletrophotonic Conversion Materials, School of

Chemistry, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P. R. China



**Figure S1** (a, b) The SEM images of the as-prepared molybdenum trioxide (MoO<sub>3</sub>) nanoribbons.



**Figure S2** The photograph of the self-standing film prepared via a conversion from the MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoribbon/GO film (claybank color) and Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film (shiny metallic luster).



Figure S3 photographs of the free-standing Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film.



**Figure S4** The SEM images of the cross-section (a, b) and top surface (c, d) of MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoribbon/GO film.



Figure S5 EDS spectrum of the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film.



Figure S6 HR-TEM image of the  $Mo_2C$  nanocrystals on the surface of  $Mo_2C$  nanoribbon/N-G film.

Samples	Mo/XPS	C/XPS	N/XPS	O/XPS
	(wt%)	(wt%)	(wt%)	(wt%)
Mo <sub>2</sub> C				
nanoribbon/N-G	1.07	84.95	8.54	5.44
film				

Table S1 Elemental contents of  $Mo_2C$  nanoribbon/N-G film.

Non-noble metal based free- standing catalysts	Onset overpotential mV	Current density mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	Overpotential mV	Ref.
NiSe <sub>2</sub> nanowall/carbon cloth	120	10	145	1
Mo <sub>2</sub> C nanobeads on				
Graphene-coated carbon	115	10	188	2
nanofibers (G-CNF)				
membrane				
3D graphene/	110	50	200	3
MoS <sub>2</sub> composites				
Cu nanoparticles/carbon	61	10	200	4
nanofibers hybrid				
Monolayer MoS <sub>2</sub> films/3D	118	10	226	5
nanoporous metals				
NiO@C nanobelt	-	10	294	6
3D ReS <sub>2</sub> /carbon foam	-	10	336	7
MosC nanoribbon/N-C film	84	10	162	This
	70			work

 Table S2.
 Summary of representative non-noble metal based free-standing HER

 catalysts in acidic electrolyte.

Mo <sub>2</sub> C-based HER catalyst	Current density	Overpotential	Reference
MoCN	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	145 mV	8
Mo <sub>2</sub> C nanocrystal embedded N-	10 m A am- <sup>2</sup>	147 mV	9
doped carbon nanotubes	10 mA cm <sup>2</sup>		
Mo <sub>2</sub> C nano-rod Ni impregnated	$10 m \Lambda om^{-2}$	150 mV	10
Mo <sub>2</sub> C nano-rod	10 mA cm <sup>2</sup>	130 111	
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/CNT	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	~150 mV	11
Commercial Mo <sub>2</sub> C	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	192 mV	12
Mo <sub>2</sub> C nanoparticles	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	198 mV	13
Mo <sub>2</sub> C nanowires	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	4 cm <sup>-2</sup> 200 mV	
Mo <sub>2</sub> C Nanoparticles Decorated	$10 m \Lambda om^{-2}$	<b>2</b> 10	15
Graphitic Carbon Sheets	10 mA cm <sup>2</sup>	210 111 V	
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-carbon nanocomposite	5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	260 mV	16
Ma C nanaribban/N C film	10 m A cm <sup>-2</sup>	162 mV	This
	iv ma cm -	102 111 V	work

Table S3. Summary of representative  $Mo_2C$ -based HER catalysts in acidic electrolyte.



Figure S7 The Tafel plot of the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film.



**Figure S8** (a) Polarization curves and (b) Tafel plots of Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G, Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_1 and Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_2 in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, respectively. (c) Polarization curves and (d) Tafel plots of Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G at different carbonization temperature, respectively.

The weight percentage of MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoribbon in the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film and calcination temperature play important role in HER properties. As shown in Figure S8a and b, the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G films with lower or higher weight percentage of MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoribbon are investigated. The corresponding samples are labeled as Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_1 (the weight ratio of MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoribbon to GO is 2:1) and Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_2 (the weight ratio of MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoribbon to GO is 8:1), respectively. However, both of the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_1 and Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_2 exhibits poorer HER performance than that of the as-prepared Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G (the weight percentage of MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoribbon is 5), which is probably due to the low electrocatalytic activity for the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_1 and aggregation of Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbons in Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G\_2.

In addition, the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film with different calcination temperatures (700 °C, 800 °C and 900 °C) are also studied. As demonstrated in Figure S8c and d, the Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film shows the increasing HER performance with the increase calcination temperature from 700 °C to 800 °C, probably attributed to efficient crystalline transformation of  $\beta$ -Mo<sub>2</sub>C. However, when the calcination temperature reaches 900 °C, the as-prepared Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G film exhibits a slight decrease of the HER performance, probably due to the loss of N element at high treating temperature.



**Figure S9** The cyclic voltammetry (CV) of the  $Mo_2C$  nanoribbon (a) and N-G (c) with different rates from 20 to 200 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The capacitive current at 0.2 V as a function of scan rate for  $Mo_2C$  nanoribbon (b) and N-G (d).



**Figure S10** (a) HER polarization curves and (b) Tafel plots of Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G, Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon, N-G and Pt plate in the 1 M KOH, respectively. (c) HER polarization curves of Mo<sub>2</sub>C nanoribbon/N-G at different scan rates.

## Reference

- 1. C. Tang, L. Xie, X. Sun, A. M. Asiri and Y. He, Nanotechnology, 2016, 27, 20LT02.
- 2. W. Gao, Y. Shi, L. Zuo, W. Fan and T. Liu, Mater. Today Chem., 2016, 1, 32-39.
- 3. X. Wang, RSC Advances, 2016, 6, 31359-31362.
- J. Wang, H. Zhu, J. Chen, B. Zhang, M. Zhang, L. Wang and M. Du, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.*, 2016, 41, 18044-18049.
- 5. Y. Tan, P. Liu, L. Chen, W. Cong, Y. Ito, J. Han, X. Guo, Z. Tang, T. Fujita and A. Hirata, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 8023-8028.
- 6. Q. Dong, C. Sun, Z. Dai, X. Zang and X. Dong, ChemCatChem, 2016, 8, 3484-3489.
- L. Wang, Z. Sofer, J. Luxa, D. Sedmidubský, A. Ambrosi and M. Pumera, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2016, 63, 39-43.
- 8. Y. Zhao, K. Kamiya, K. Hashimoto and S. Nakanishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 137, 110-113.
- 9. K. Zhang, Y. Zhao, D. Fu and Y. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 5783-5788.
- P. Xiao, Y. Yan, X. Ge, Z. Liu, J.-Y. Wang and X. Wang, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2014, 154, 232-237.
- 11. W.-F. Chen, C.-H. Wang, K. Sasaki, N. Marinkovic, W. Xu, J. Muckerman, Y. Zhu and R. Adzic, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 943-951.
- 12. H. Vrubel and X. Hu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 124, 12875-12878.
- L. Ma, L. R. L. Ting, V. Molinari, C. Giordano and B. S. Yeo, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 8361-8368.
- 14. C. Ge, P. Jiang, W. Cui, Z. Pu, Z. Xing, A. M. Asiri, A. Y. Obaid, X. Sun and J. Tian, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **134**, 182-186.
- 15. W. Cui, N. Cheng, Q. Liu, C. Ge, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 2658-2661.
- 16. N. S. Alhajri, D. H. Anjum and K. Takanabe, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 10548-10556.