# Realizing battery-like energy density with asymmetric supercapacitors achieved by highly conductive three-dimensional graphene current collectors

Jongha Hwang<sup>2</sup>, Sun-I Kim<sup>2</sup>, Jong-Chul Yoon<sup>1, 2</sup>, Seong-Ji Ha<sup>2</sup> and Ji-Hyun Jang<sup>1, 2,\*</sup>

1) Center for Multidimensional Carbon Materials, Institute for Basic Science, Ulsan 44919,

Republic of Korea

2) School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Low Dimensional Carbon Materials Center,

UNIST, Ulsan 44919, Republic of Korea

# Calculation of specific capacitance

### (1) Gravimetric capacitance

The mass difference of the Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/Ni-GN electrode before and after the deposition of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> carefully measured by a microbalance was 2.0 mg. When we calculated the capacitance value, we considered Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> as the active material of the device (by ignoring the presence of Ni-GN and determined the mass of active materials to be 2.0 mg because the capacitance of the Ni-GN current collector is negligible compared to the specific capacitance value of the Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/Ni-GN electrode (<6.6 vs. 3,179 F/g), as shown in Figure S10.

Weight of active material = Total weight – Weight of Ni – GN electrode

The capacitance was calculated by cyclic voltammograms (CV) curves and galvanostatic charge/discharge curves.

Total of active material = 2 mg

- Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves

$$C_{s} = \frac{i}{m \left( \Delta V / \Delta t \right)}$$

$$C = \frac{(20 \times 10^{-3} A)}{(2 \times 10^{-3} g) \times (0.65 - 0.1 - 0.02597 (IR loss))/(162.14 s)} > C \rightarrow \text{specific capacitance}$$

$$= \frac{10 A/g}{(0.65 - 0.1 - 0.02597 (IR loss))/(162.14 s)} > \Delta V \rightarrow \text{potential window range}$$

$$= 3,179 F/g$$

#### (2) Volumetric capacitance

The volumetric capacitance was calculated by considering a volume of the electrode. The volume of the electrode was calculated after measuring area and height. We supposed that  $Ni(OH)_2$  as an active material was fixed as 1 cm<sup>2</sup> (1 cm × 1 cm). The height of the electrode was measured by a micrometer (Mitutoyo Korea Corporation).

#### Total volume of electrode = active area $\times$ height of electrode

In the case of the Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/Ni-GN electrode with a total height of 266 um.

# Total volume of electrode = $1 \text{ cm}^2 \times 0.0266 \text{ cm}$

## $= 0.0266 \ cm^3$

- Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves

$$C_s = \frac{i}{\nu \left( \Delta V / \Delta t \right)}$$

- $\succ$  C  $\rightarrow$  specific capacitance
- $\succ$  i  $\rightarrow$  current density
- $\succ$  v  $\rightarrow$  volume of electrode
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\triangle$ V  $\rightarrow$  potential window range
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\triangle t \rightarrow$  discharge time

$$C = \frac{(20 \times 10^{-3} A)}{(0.0266 \ cm^3) \times (0.65 - 0.1 - 0.02597 \ (IR \ loss))/(162.14 \ s)}$$

#### $= 232.64 \ F/cm^3$



**Figure S1**. SEM images of commercial nickel foam (a) and Ni-GN (b). (c) Photograph of commercial medical cotton, Ni-GN, carbonized cotton without nickel from the left to right. (d) EDS (Energy dispersive spectrometer) image of each element in Ni-GN



**Figure S2.** Chemical structure of cotton (a) and FT-IR spectra of a commercial medical cotton foam (b).



Figure S3. TGA curve of cotton with anhydrous nickel (a) and EDS data of Ni-GN (b).



Figure S4. Schematic illustration of enhanced electrolyte transport in Ni-GN.



Figure S5. SEM images (a,b) of Ni-GN and TEM images (c,d) of the surface of Ni-GN.



**Figure S6.** Raman spectra (a), electrical conductivity and surface area (b) of Ni-GN carbonized at various temperature.



**Figure S7.** CV curves at various scan rates of (a), GCD curves (b), kinetic property (c) and specific capacitance vs current density (d) of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/Ni-GN carbonized at 600 °C.



**Figure S8.** CV curves at various scan rates of (a), GCD curves (b), kinetic property (c) and specific capacitance vs current density (d) of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/Ni-GN carbonized at 800 °C.

Ni-GN pyrolyzed at the relatively low temperature range (600-800 °C) showed low quality of carbon materials due to insufficient energy to activate the graphitization. This resulted in a bad performance of the supercapacitor due to the low charge transport and low electrical conductivity of the current collector.



Figure S9. Large area (a) and magnified SEM image (b) of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/Ni-GN.

The prepared Ni-GN electrode, Pt mesh and Ag/AgCl (sat.) electrode was used as a working electrode, counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. Electrodeposition was performed at -5 mA of current on the working electrode for 5 mins at 25 °C in a 100 mM nickel nitrate hexahydrate solution. And then Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/Ni-GN electrode was washed using DI water and ethanol and dried at 120 °C for 12 hours in a vacuum oven.



**Figure S10.** CV curves (a) and the capacitance value (b) of Ni-GN only (without active materials) at various scan rates.



Figure S11. SEM and EDS images of Ni<sub>1</sub>-GN<sub>9</sub> (a) and Ni<sub>9</sub>-GN<sub>1</sub> (b).



**Figure S12.** Electrical conductivity of Ni-GN by the increment of Ni-NPs (a) and surface area and electrical conductivity vs the nickel contents (b).

The highest capacitance value was obtained from the Ni<sub>3</sub>-GN<sub>7</sub> electrode where two important factors, the conductivity and the surface area, are optimized (Figure 5 and Table 1). Ni<sub>3</sub>-GN<sub>7</sub> optimally exhibits large surface area (16.4 vs 1.21 m<sup>2</sup>/g of Ni<sub>3</sub>-GN<sub>7</sub>, and Ni<sub>9</sub>-GN<sub>1</sub>) and high electrical conductivity (107 S/m vs 39 S/m of Ni<sub>3</sub>-GN<sub>7</sub>, and Ni<sub>1</sub>-GN<sub>9</sub>).



**Figure S13.** CV curves (a) at various scan rates and GCD curves (b) of MnO<sub>2</sub>@Ni-GN of Ni-GN. Kinetic property (c) and specific capacitance vs current density (d) of MnO<sub>2</sub>@Ni-GN of Ni-GN.



**Figure S14.** (a) CV curves of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>@Ni-GN and MnO<sub>2</sub>@Ni-GN devices at different scan rates. (b) Energy efficiency of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>@Ni-GN and MnO<sub>2</sub>@Ni-GN devices at different scan rates.



Figure S15. GCD curves (a) and specific capacitance (b) of our  $Ni(OH)_2@Ni-GN$  and  $MnO_2@Ni-GN$  electrode.



Figure S 16. Compressive stress and compressive strain curve of Ni-GN.

The mechanical strength of the Ni-GN current collector was measured by Dual Column Floor Frames (Instron Co. 5982). A compression force of 9,000 N was applied on the Ni-GN current collector at a rate of 0.5 mm/min. The Young's modulus of Ni-GN is 31 GPa, which is much higher than that of a similar electrode ( $\sim 0.81$  GPa)<sup>1</sup> due to the formation of the welded 3D-network in Ni-GN.

Materials/current collector	Specific capacitance	Retention	Electrolyte	Reference
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> //rGO	1,717 F/g at 0.5 A/g	89 % after 10,000 cycles	2 M KOH	[13]
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> //Ni foam	2,384 F/g at 1 A/g	75 % after 3,000 cycles	2 M KOH	[18]
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> //NPG	3,168 F/g at 5 A/g	90 % after 30,000 cycles	1 M KOH	[19]
Ni(OH)2//hexagonal platelets-Ni foam	2,534 F/g at 1mV/s	97 % after 2,000 cycles	2 M KOH	[21]
Porous Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes/graphene sheet//Ni foam	2,194 F/g at 2mV/s	95 % after 2,000 cycles	6 M KOH	[32]
Our work	3,179 F/g at 10 A/g	90 % after 10, 000 cycles	1 M KOH	

**Table S1.** Capacitance of previously reported Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-based supercapacitors tested in the aqueous electrolyte.

**Table S2.** Energy density and power density of previously reported Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>-based supercapacitors tested in the aqueous electrolyte.

Materials	Energy density (Wh/kg)	Power density (W/kg)	Electrolyte	Reference
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /graphite//AC	35.7	490	1 M KOH	[35]
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /CNT&				
PEDOT:PSS//rGO/CN	58.5	780	1 M KOH	[14]
Т				
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /graphene//	77.8	174.7	6 М КОН	[12]
porous graphene			0 10 10011	[12]
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /CNT/NF//AC	50.6	95	6 M KOH	[36]
Our work	189	1460	1 M KOH	

Materials	Energy density (Wh/kg)	Power density (W/kg)	Electrolyte	Reference
VN/graphene	162	200	1 M LiPF <sub>6</sub>	[37]
B doped Si/SiO <sub>2</sub> /Carbon	128	1229	1 M LiPF <sub>6</sub>	[38]
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /graphene	147	150	1 M LiPF <sub>6</sub>	[39]
N doped AC	230	1747	1.2 M LiPF <sub>6</sub>	[40]
TiNb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> /Carbon	110	99.58	1 M LiPF <sub>6</sub>	[41]

**Table S3.** Energy density and power density of various supercapacitors tested in the organic electrolyte.