## **Supplementary Information**

## Room Temperature Nanoparticulate Interfacial Layers for Perovskite Solar Cells via solvothermal synthesis.

Achilleas Savva<sup>a</sup>, Ioannis T. Papadas<sup>a</sup>, Dimitris Tsikritzis<sup>a</sup>, Gerasimos S. Armatas<sup>b</sup>, Stella Kennou<sup>c</sup> and Stelios A. Choulis<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Molecular Electronics and Photonics Research Unit, Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science and Engineering, Cyprus University of Technology, Limassol, 3603, Cyprus.
<sup>b</sup>Department of Materials Science and Technology, University of Crete, Heraklion

71003, Greece.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Patras, Patra, 26504 Greece

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> stelios.choulis@cut.ac.cy



Fig. S1: EDS analysis of the as prepared CuO NPs obtained at 100 °C.



**Fig S2:** Representative TEM images for CuO NPs obtained at 100 °C decomposition temperature. The average diameter of the CuO NPs was estimated by counting more than 100 individual NPs in several TEM images. It should be stressed that the processing of the sample for TEM imaging was based on the requirements of the TEM instrumental conditions for the observation of nanoparticles (see Experimental section

within the article) and is not directly related to the processing conditions used on the preparation of CuO interfacial layers for perovskite solar cells.



**Fig. S3:** The  $(\alpha hv)^2$  vs. hv plot for CuO nanoparticulate powders synthesized at 80 °C (black), at 100 °C (red) and at 120 °C (blue line).



**Fig. S4:** Digital photograph of CuO dispersions in DMSO, 20 mg/ml. The dispersions where stable several months after fabrication. The concentrated dispersions where then diluted down to 0.5 mg/ml for the fabrication of CuO HTLs in the range of  $\sim$ 15 nm.



**Fig. S5:** AFM measurements for CuO-NPs thin films spin coated from DMSO despersions at 0.5 mg/ml without any post deposition treatment. **a)** CuO NPs synthesized at 80 °C, **b)** CuO NPs synthesized at 100 °C **c)** CuO NPs synthesized at 120 °C.



**Figure S6:** Current density–Voltage (J-V) characteristics, for p-i-n solar cells comprised of ITO/CuO-NPs/CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>/PC[70]BM/AZO/A1. CuO NPs synthesized at 80 °C with average size 5 nm (black filed squares), CuO NPs synthesized at 100 °C with average size 6 nm (red open circles) and CuO NPs synthesized at 120 °C with average size 9 nm (green filed triangles).



**Fig. S7:** Current density–Voltage (J-V) characteristics, for p-i-n solar cells comprised of ITO/CuO-NPs-100°C/CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>/PC[70]BM/AZO/Al in forward (short circuit -> open circuit) and reverse (open circuit -> short circuit).



**Fig. S8:** Current density–Voltage (J-V) characteristics, for a representative CuO-based p-i-n solar cell, measured after fabrication (black filled squares), after 10 minutes under continues 1 sun ilumination (red open circles) and after 30 minutes of continuous 1 sun illumination (green open triangles).



Fig. S9: Average photovoltaic parameters represented in box plots out of 16 devices of each series of p-i-n perovskite soalr cells under study. PEDOT:PSS-based represented with black box plots and CuO-based with red box plots. a) Open circuit voltage (Voc)
b) current density (Jsc) c) fill factor (FF) and d) power conversion efficiency (PCE).