Supporting information

Copper dopants improved hydrogen evolution activity of earth-abundant

cobalt pyrite catalysts by activating the electrocatalysis inert sulfur sites

Jingyan Zhang,[‡] Baorui Xiao,[‡] Xiaoli Liu, Peitao Liu, Pinxian Xi, Wen Xiao, Jun Ding, Daqiang Gao,^{*} and Desheng Xue .^{*}



Figure S1. Optimized atomic structures of CoS₂ and Cu doped CoS₂ (2×2×2 supercell).



Figure S2. (a) XRD results of sample Cu-3 and Cu-4, where the CuS phase appears gradually.(b) XRD results of sample Cu-0, Cu-1, Cu-2 and Cu-3 ranging from 30° to 35°.



Figure S3. Raman results of sample Cu-3 and Cu-4.



Figure S4. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of (a), (b) Cu-1. (c), (d) Cu-3 and (e), (f) Cu-4.



Figure S5. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) results of Cu-1 and Cu-3 : (a) Co 2p, (b) S 2p and (c) Cu 2p regions.



Figure S6. Cyclic voltammetry curves of sample Cu-0, Cu-1, Cu-2 and Cu3 in the region of 0 – 0.2 V vs. RHE.



Figure S7. The time-dependent current density as a function of time at a static overpotential of 200 mV without iR correction for sample Cu-0, Cu-1, Cu-2 and Cu3.



Figure S8. The overpotentials of sample Cu-0, Cu-1, Cu-2 and Cu3 at current density of 100 mV before and after blocking their Co sites and S sites, respectively.



Figure S9. optimized atomic structure of CoS_2 and Cu doped CoS_2 surface with their calculated bader charge for the surface atoms.



Figure S10. Optimized atomic structure of CoS_2 and Cu doped CoS_2 surface with H atom absorption on Co site and their calculated bader charge for the surface atoms.



Figure S11. Optimized atomic structure of CoS₂ and Cu doped CoS₂ surface with H atom absorption on S site and their calculated bader charge for the surface atoms.



Figure S12. Polarization curves recorded for Cu doped CoS_2 catalysts in 1.0 M PBS .



Figure S13. Polarization curves recorded for Cu doped CoS_2 catalysts in 1.0 M KOH.

Catalyst	Onset potential [V <i>vs</i> .RHE]	η at $J = 10$ mA/cm ² [mV]	η at $J = 100$ mA/cm ² [mV]	Tafel slope (mV/dec)	Exchange current density (mA/cm ²)	Reference No.
Cu-2	20	52	133	42	0.68	This work
CoS ₂	101	192	-	52	3.53×10 ⁻³	1
$(Fe_{0.48}Co_{0.52})S_2$	125	196	-	47.5	0.959×10 ⁻³	1
(Co _{0.59} Ni _{0.41})S ₂	148	-	-	50.4	0.0476×10 ⁻³	1
CoSe ₂ (300°C)	70	149	171	31.2	-	2
MoS ₂ /CoS ₂ /CC	-	87	261	73.4	-	3
CoS ₂ -MoS ₂	70	185	-	67.96	-	4
$CoS_{2x}Se_{2(1-X)}$	102	129.5	174	44	-	5
CoS2@WS2/CC	-	97.2	164	66	-	6
Ni _{2.3%} -CoS ₂ /CC	-	181	240	106	-	7
Zn-Co-S/TM	-	188	382	164	-	8
CoS ₂ NPA	61	70	140	64.5	-	9
CoS ₂ /P	25	67	118	50	0.47	10
CoPS NWs	-	61	-	48	0.554	11
CoS/P/CNT	-	48	109	55	1.14	12
CoS2/RGO-5 %	-	143	346	285	-	13

Table S1. Comparison of electrocatalysts for HER in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 .

Reference

- 1. M. S. Faber, M. A. Lukowski, Q. Ding, N. S. Kaiser, S. Jin, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 21347-21356.
- 2. H. Zhang, B. Yang, X. Wu, Z. Li, L. Lei, X. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Intersfaces, 2015, 7, 1772-1779.
- 3. J. Huang, D. Hou, Y. Zhou, W. Zhou, G. Li, Z. Tang, S. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, **3**, 22886-22891.
- 4. J. Huang, D.Hou, Y. Zhou, W. Zhou, G. Li, Z. Tang, S. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 22886-22891.
- 5. K. Liu, F. Wang, K. Xu, T. A. Shifa, Z. Cheng, X. Zhan, J. He, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 4699-4704.
- 6. X. Zhou, X. Yang, H. Li, M. N. Hedhili, K. W. Huang, L. J. Li, W. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017.
- 7. W. Fang, D. Liu, Q. Lu, X. Sun, A. M. Asiri, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2016, 63, 60-64.
- 8. Y. Liang, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, X. Sun, Y. He, A. M. Asiri, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **190**, 360-364.
- 9. H. Zhang, Y. Li, G.Zhang, T. Xu, P. Wan, X. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, **3**, 6306-6310.
- 10. C. Ouyang, X. Wang, S. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 14160-14163.
- 11. M. Cabán-Acevedo, M. L. Stone, J. R. Schmidt, J. G. Thomas, Q. Ding, H. C. Chang, S. Jin, Nat. Mater., 2015, 14, 1245-1251.
- 12. W. Liu, E. Hu, H. Jiang, Y. Xiang, Z. Weng, M. Li, H. Wang, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7.
- 13. W. Xing, Y. Zhang, Q. Xue, Z. Yan, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2015, 10, 488.