Electronic Supporting Information

Highly selective adsorption for uranium in strong HNO₃ media achieved on phosphonic acid functionalized nanoporous polymer

Dingzhong Yuan^{*a b}, Yun Wang ^b, Yong Qian ^b, Yan Liu ^b, Gang Feng^{*c}, Bin Huang ^b and Xiaohong Zhao ^{*c}

^a Key Laboratory of Applied Chemistry of Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310028, China, E-mail: ydz3214@126.com.

^b Jiangxi Province Key Laboratory of Polymer Micro/Nano Manufacturing and Devices, East China University of Technology, Nanchang, 330013, China.

^c College of Chemistry, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China, E-mail: zhaoxh@ncu.edu.cn; fenggang@ncu.edu.cn.

Synthesis of carboxyl functionalized nanoporous polymer adsorbent P1

Nanoporous polymer adsorbent P1 was prepared according to the following steps. In a typical synthesis, 1.5 g ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and 1.5 g acrylic acid (AA) were dissolved in 5 mL ethyl acetate, and then 0.05 azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was added. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, the solution was put in an autoclave with 25 mL of Teflon liner and then treated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. The system was cooled to room temperature and a solid monolith P1 was obtained after the slow evaporation of ethyl acetate.

Synthesis of amide functionalized nanoporous polymer adsorbent P2

Nanoporous polymer adsorbent P2 was prepared according to the following steps. In a typical synthesis, 1.5 g ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and 1.5 g acrylamide (AM) were dissolved in 5 mL ethyl acetate, and then 0.05 azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was added. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, the solution was put in an autoclave with 25 mL of Teflon liner and then treated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. The system was cooled to room temperature and a solid monolith P2 was obtained after the slow evaporation of ethyl acetate.

Synthesis of hydroxyl functionalized nanoporous polymer adsorbent P3

Nanoporous polymer adsorbent P3 was prepared according to the following steps. In a typical synthesis, 1.5 g ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and 1.5 g Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) were dissolved in 5 mL ethyl acetate, and then 0.05 azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was added. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, the solution was put in an autoclave with

25 mL of Teflon liner and then treated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. The system was cooled to room temperature and a solid monolith P3 was obtained after the slow evaporation of ethyl acetate.

Synthesis of phosphonic acid functionalized nanoporous polymer adsorbent P4

Nanoporous polymer adsorbent P4 was prepared according to the following steps. In a typical synthesis, 2.0 g ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and 1.0 g vinylphosphate (VPA) were dissolved in 5 mL ethyl acetate, and then 0.05 azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was added. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, the solution was put in an autoclave with 25 mL of Teflon liner and then treated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. The system was cooled to room temperature and a solid monolith P2 was obtained after the slow evaporation of ethyl acetate.

Synthesis of phosphonic acid functionalized nanoporous polymer adsorbent P5

Nanoporous polymer adsorbent P5 was prepared according to the following steps. In a typical synthesis, 1.0 g ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and 2.0 g vinylphosphate (VPA) were dissolved in 5 mL ethyl acetate, and then 0.05 azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was added. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, the solution was put in an autoclave with 25 mL of Teflon liner and then treated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. The system was cooled to room temperature and a solid monolith P4 was obtained after the slow evaporation of ethyl acetate.

Selectivity experiments for P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5

When studying selective adsorption of polymer adsorbent P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5, the concentrations of U(VI) and other metal ions in supernatants were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES).



Fig. S1 The calibration curve



Fig. S2 UV-Vis spectra for standard solutions



Fig. S3 Pore size distribution of POP-EDVP



Fig. S4 XRD pattern of POP-EDVP



Fig. S5 FTIR spectra of POP-EDVP



Fig. S6 ³¹P MAS NMR spectra of POP-EDVP



Fig. S7 Effect of contact time for the sorption of uranium onto POP-EDVP ($C_0=100 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$, V=25 mL,

T=298 K, *c*(H⁺) =4 M, m=10mg)



Fig. S8 Effect of initial uranium concentration on the sorption of U(VI) onto POP-EDVP (t = 180 min, c(H+) = 4 M, V = 25 mL, T = 298 K, and m = 10 mg)



Fig. S9 Effect of initial uranium concentration on the value of R_L (t = 180 min, $c(H^+)$ =4 M, V = 25 mL, T = 298 K, and m = 10 mg)



Fig. S10 Effect of temperature on the U(VI) sorption on POP-EDVP ($C_0 = 100 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$, c (H⁺) =4 M, V = 25 mL, t = 180 min, and m = 10 mg)



Fig. S11 Effect of concentration of NaCl on the U(VI) sorption on POP-EDVP ($C_0 = 100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, c (H⁺) =4 M, V = 25 mL, t = 180 min, T=298K, and m = 10 mg).



Fig. S12 Competitive sorption capacities of coexistent ions on P4 ($C_0=0.5 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ for all cations, c (H⁺) =4 M, T=298 K, V= 25 mL, t=180 min, and m=10 mg).



Fig. S13 Competitive sorption capacities of coexistent ions on P5 ($C_0=0.5$ mmol L⁻¹ for all cations, c (H⁺) =4 M, T=298 K, V= 25 mL, t=180 min, and m=10 mg).

Table S1

Kinetic model	Parameter	Value	
Pseudo-first-order	$k_1 (\min^{-1})$	0.03813	
	$q_{e. cal} (mg g^{-1})$	30.5126	
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.8705	
Pseudo-second-order	k_2 (g mg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	0.00388	
	$q_{e. cal} (mg g^{-1})$	102.45	
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.9999	
Intraparticle diffusion	K_{int} (mg g ⁻¹ min ^{-1/2})	2.74619	
	c (mg g ⁻¹)	71.26477	
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.77369	

Kinetic parameters for uranium adsorption on POP-EDVP.

A 1 1 /	17. (* 1.1	D (X 7 1
Adsorbent	Kinetic model	Parameter	Value
		b (L mg ⁻¹)	0.0149
	Langmuir	$q_{max} (mg g^{-1})$	215.9
POP-EDVP		\mathbb{R}^2	0.9882
		$K_F(\text{mg g}^{-1})$	9.76515
	Freundlich	n	1.8448
		R ²	0.96556

Table S2Adsorption isotherms parameters for uranium on POP-EDVP.

$ riangle \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ (KJ	$\triangle S^{\circ} (J \text{ mol} {}^{-1}$		\triangle	.G° (KJ mol	-1)	
mol ⁻¹)	K ⁻¹)					
17.1684	110.58	293 K	298 K	303 K	308 K	313 K
	119.38	-17.86854	-18.46644	-19.06434	-19.66224	-20.26014

Table S3Thermodynamic parameters of uranium adsorption on POP-EDVP.

Adsorbents	S_u	c (H ⁺) (mol/L)
POP-EDVP	94.2%	4
P1	0	4
P2	0	4
Р3	0	4

Table. S4Comparison of selectivity of POP-EDVP, P1, P2 and P3 in strong HNO3 media a

^a Competitive sorption capacities of coexistent ions on adsorbents ($C_0=0.5 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ for all cations, c (H⁺)= 4 M, T=298 K, V= 25 mL, t=180 min, and m=10 mg).

Table. S5	Table. S5
The porosity of POP-EDVP, P4 and P5	The porosit

Adsorbents	S _{BET} (m^2/g)	Pore Size (nm)	V (ml/g)
POP-EDVP	61.8	42.7	0.41
P4	114.9	27.8	0.64
P5	0.02	465.2	Not detected

Table. S6Comparison of selectivity of POP-EDVP, P4 and P5 in strong HNO3 media a

Adsorbents	S_u	<i>c</i> (H ⁺) (mol/L)
POP-EDVP	94.2 %	4
P4	93.2 %	4
Р5	94.7 %	4

^a Competitive sorption capacities of coexistent ions on adsorbents ($C_0=0.5 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ for all cations, c (H⁺)= 4 M, T=298 K, V= 25 mL, t=180 min, and m=10 mg).

Table S7

Calculated interaction energy parameters (in kcal mol⁻¹) for the complexation of $UO_2(NO_3)_2$ with POP-EDVP with two P=O / $UO_2(NO_3)_2$.

Ratio of P=O: UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂	ΔE_{gas}
1:1	-48.94
2:1	-40.66