

Supporting information

Fig. S1 (a) CVs with 50 mV s⁻¹; (b), (c) and (d) RDE polarisation curves at various rpms for different catalysts in O_2 -saturated 0.1M KOH solution.

Samples	С	Н	Ν	O ª
Fru/Gu-HTC-1000	87.26	<0.30	2.43	10.01
Glu/Gu-HTC-1000	81.71	<0.30	2.84	15.15
Cel/Gu-HTC-1000	84.64	<0.30	3.26	11.80

Table S1	Elemental	analysis	(wt. %)
----------	-----------	----------	---------

^a calculated.

Table S2 The relative contents of different nitrogen species determined by XPS

Complex	Pyridinic-N (%)	Graphitic-N (%) Oxidized-N (%)		Total (%) ^a
Samples	398.4 eV	401.1 eV	403.1 eV	
Fru/Gu-HTC-1000	18.3	60.8	20.9	79.1
Glu/Gu-HTC-1000	22.2	46.4	31.4	68.6
Cel/Gu-HTC-1000	28.5	43.2	28.2	71.7

^a The relative total content of graphitic N and pyridinic N.

Table S3 Comparison of carbon-based HER catalysts					
Catalyst	E _{onset}	E _{j=-10}	Tafel slope	Electrolyte	Reference
	(V <i>vs.</i> RHE)	(V <i>vs.</i> RHE)	(V vs. RHE) (mV dec ⁻¹)		
$g-C_3N_4@N-doped$	0.35	> 0.6	-	0.1 M KOH	Nat. Commun.
graphene	0.55				2014, 5, 3783
N, P-doped porous	0.25	> 0.6	-	0.1 M KOH	ACS Nano
graphene	0.55				2014, 8, 5290
N, P-doped porous	0.25	0.42	91	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	ACS Nano
graphene	0.23				2014, 8, 5290
N-doped holely	0.20	0.51	157	0.1 M KOU	Nano Energy
graphene	0.50	0.51	121		2015, 15, 567
N, P-doped carbon	0.29	0.47	-	0.1 M KOH	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
					2016, 128, 2270
N, P, F-doped	0.4	0.52	-	0.1 M KOH	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
graphene					2016, 55, 13296
Defect graphene	0.1	0.22	110		Adv. Mater.
	0.1	0.52	110	1 M KOH	2016, 28, 9532
N-doped graphene					Nano Bosoarch 2016
microtubes	0.30	0.43	117	1 M KOH	
					9,2000
N, P, O-doped porous					
graphitic	0.25	0.45	154		Energy Environ. Sci.
carbon@oxidized	0.23	0.43	134	IMKON	2016, 9, 1210
carbon cloth					
N, S-doped carbon	0.22	0.22	00		Nano Energy
nanofiber	0.23	0.33	55	0.5 IVI $\Pi_2 S U_4$	2017, 32, 336
N -doped Fru/Gu-HTC-	0.12	0.25	100	1 М КОН	This work
1000	0.12	0.55	100		