Supporting Information

## Tailoring the oxygenated groups of graphene hydrogels for high-performance supercapacitors with large areal mass loadings

Hongyun Ma,<sup>a</sup> Qinqin Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Mingmao Wu,<sup>a</sup> Miao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Bowen Yao,<sup>a</sup> Tiantian Gao,<sup>a</sup> Haiyan Wang,<sup>a</sup> Chun Li,<sup>\*a</sup> Dong Sui,<sup>b</sup> Yongsheng Chen,<sup>b</sup> Gaoquan Shi<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, MOE Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Phosphorus Chemistry & Chemical Biology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China.

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Functional Polymer Materials and Center for Nanoscale Science & Technology, Institute of Polymer Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China.

\*Corresponding author: chunli@tsinghua.edu.cn, gshi@tsinghua.edu.cn



Fig. S1 Typical SEM images of HRGH-0 with different magnifications.



Fig. S2 Typical SEM images of HRGH-0.1 with different magnifications.



Fig. S3 Typical SEM images of HRGH-0.2 with different magnifications.



Fig. S4 Typical SEM images of HRGH-0.4 with different magnifications.



**Fig. S5** Optical microscope photographs of GO solutions (2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) containing different concentrations of H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>: a) 0, b) 0.1, c) 0.2, and d) 0.4 mol L<sup>-1</sup>.

The optical microscope photographs were taken from GO solutions (2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) containing different concentrations of  $H_3PO_4$ . Considering these four photographs were taken by using the same light source with identical intensity, their colors and/or brightness can be used to probe the formation of GO aggregates. Obviously, after adding  $H_3PO_4$  to GO solution, floc-like shadows were gradually appearing, implying the formation of GO aggregates in the  $H_3PO_4$ -contianing GO solutions.



Fig. S6 XRD patterns of HRGH-n.



**Fig. S7** SSA measurements of HRGH-*n* by a standard methylene blue (MB) adsorption method. a) UV-visible spectra of MB aqueous solutions with different concentrations. b) Standard curve of MB aqueous solutions derived from the peak absorbance at 664 nm. c) UV-visible spectra of MB aqueous solutions after adsorbed by HRGH-*n*. d) SSAs of the HRGH-*n* calculated from MB adsorption method.



**Fig. S8** Schematic illustration of forming HRGH by using phosphorus acid as a protecting agent of hydroxyl groups.

GO sheets have a large amount of oxygenated groups including epoxies, hydroxyls, ketones, and phenols on basal planes, and carboxyls, anhydrates, lactones, phenols, lactols, pyrones and ketones on edges.<sup>S1</sup> According to the observation of Cai *et al.*, <sup>S2</sup> the main oxygenated groups on

GO basal plane are epoxies and hydroxyls, and a hydroxyl group bonded to carbon atom is accompanied by an epoxy group bonded to a neighboring carbon atom. The epoxy groups on GO sheet are easily convered to hydroxyl groups in an acidic medium *via* ring opening reactions; thus, in our case, the main oxygenated groups on GO basal plane can be viewed as hydroxyls. During the  $H_3PO_4$  assisted hydrothermal reduction process,  $H_3PO_4$  reacted with hydroxyl to form phosphate ester and thus restricted the elimination of hydroxyl groups on GO sheets. After hydrothermal reaction, the inverse process, *i.e.* the cleavage of phosphate ester occurred when dialysing the obtained hydrogel in deionized water and the residual  $H_3PO_4$  can be easily removed. As a result, the hydroxyl-rich graphene hydrogel (HRGH) was successfully obtained. As described above, the original hydroxyls and epoxies on GO sheet are bonded to neighboring carbon atoms; thus there are abundant 1,2- or 1,4-phenol structures on the obtained rGO sheets.



**Fig. S9** Electrochemical performances of HRGH-*n*-SCs in neutral electrolyte of 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. a) CV curves at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. b) Nyquist plots. c) GCD curves at a current density of 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. d)  $C_{g}$ s at current densities ranging from 1 to 20 A g<sup>-1</sup>.

The phenol hydroxyls in ortho- or para-positions of 6-memberd carbon rings can electrochemically convert to 1,2- or 1,4-quinones upon oxidation, and thus contribute pseudo-capacitance (Fig. S13). It should be noted that these pseudo-capacitive reactions only take place in acidic system (e.g.  $H_2SO_4$ ). Therefore, the specific capacitances of HRGH-*n*-SCs tested in nutral system (e.g.  $Na_2SO_4$ ) can be assigned only to electric double layer (EDL) capacitances.



**Fig. S10** Electrochemical performances of HRGH-0.2-SC. a) Nyquist plot. b) CV curves at scan rates ranging from 5 to 200 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. c) GCD curves at current densities ranging from 1 to 100 A  $g^{-1}$ . d)  $C_g$ s at current densities ranging from 1 to 100 A  $g^{-1}$ .



**Fig. S11** CV curves (10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) of HRGH-*n* tested in a three-electrode configuration by using 1 mol  $L^{-1}$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as the electrolyte, a Pt plate as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode.



Fig. S12 Nyquist plots of HRGH-*n*-SCs.



**Fig. S13** Reaction mechanism of the reversible electrochemical conversions between 1,2- or 1,4phenol structures and 1,2- or 1,4-quinone structures on rGO sheets.



Fig. S14 First three CV curves of a) NTGH-based supercapacitor or b) HRGH-0.2-SC at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S15** Electrochemical performances of NTGH based supercapacitor in comparison with those of HRGH-0.2-SC. a) CV curves at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. b) CV curves at a scan rate of 200 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. c) Nyquist plots. d) GCD curves at a current density of 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. e) GCD curves at a current density of 50 A g<sup>-1</sup>. f)  $C_g$ s at current densities ranging from 1 to 100 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S16** XPS surveys a) and CV curves b) of HRGH-0.2 before and after 10,000 charge/discharge cycles.

As shown in Fig. S16a, the intensity of O 1s for HRGH-0.2 was getting larger after 10,000 charge/discharge cycles. This might be caused by the different state of surface oxidation (open circuit potential) before and after cycling stability test. Nevertheless, the CV curve after 10,000 charge/discharge cycles almost coincided with the original one, reflecting the excellent cycling stability of HRGH-0.2-SC (Fig. S16b).



**Fig. S17** Preparation of compact HRGH-0.2 electrodes with high areal mass loadings. a) Digital image of the stainless steel mould. b) Digital images of HRGH-0.2 electrodes before and after mechanical compression. c, d) SEM images of the cross section of compressed HRGH-0.2 electrodes: c) 5 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>, and d) 10 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.



**Fig. S18** CV curves of the HRGH-0.2-SC with a) 5 or b) 10 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> mass loading at scan rates ranging from 5 to 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. GCD curves of the HRGH-0.2-SC with c) 5 or d) 10 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> mass loading at current densities ranging from 1 to 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



**Fig. S19**. Electrochemical performances of HRGH-*n*-SCs with a high areal mass loading of 10 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. a) Nyquist plots. b) CV curves at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. c) GCD curves at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. d)  $C_a$ s at current densities ranging from 1 to 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

	$SSA (m^2 g^{-1})$	$I_D/I_G$	$L_D$	Oxygen atomic content (at.%)	Conductivity (S m <sup>-1</sup> )		
HRGH-0	1039	1.11	1.52	15.5	106		
HRGH-0.1	1011	1.09	1.50	15.8	97		
HRGH-0.2	998	1.07	1.48	16.4	92		
HRGH-0.4	979	1.04	1.45	16.8	76		

Table S1. The SSAs,  $I_D/I_G$ s,  $L_D$ s, oxygen atomic contents, and conductivities of HRGH-n.

Table S2. Dynamic water contact angles of HRGH-n.

	0 s	20 s	40 s	60 s	80 s	100 s	120s
HRGH-0	79.5°	72.3°	62.6°	46.9°	31.9°	19.3°	0
HRGH-0.1	68.4°	60.5°	48.3°	33.5°	17.6°	0	0
HRGH-0.2	45.8°	32.2°	15.5°	0	0	0	0
HRGH-0.4	31.3°	16.6°	0	0	0	0	0

Material	Electrolyte	System	Rate	$C_g$ (F g <sup>-1</sup> )	$C_{v} ({ m F} { m cm}^{-3})$	Ref.
HRGH-0.2	1 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	A	1 A g <sup>-1</sup>	260	312	This work
Carbon sphere	30% KOH	A	$2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	164	170	S3
Activated carbon	6 M KOH	A	$1 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	339	171	S4
N-doped carbon fiber	6 M KOH	В	$1 \mathrm{A} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$	202	200	S5
N-doped porous carbon	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	В	$0.2 \ A \ g^{-1}$	298	161	S6
B-/N-porous carbon	6 M KOH	В	$0.5 \ A \ g^{-1}$	247	101	S7
N,P co-doped carbon	$1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{SO}_4$	В	$0.5 \ A \ g^{-1}$	206	261	<b>S</b> 8
CNFs <sup>a</sup>	6 M KOH	В	$0.5 \ A \ g^{-1}$	280	88	S9
3D porous carbon	6 M KOH	В	$0.5 \ A \ g^{-1}$	318	118	S10
Porous carbon films	$0.5 \text{ M} \text{H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	В	$10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	180	220	S11
MCMB <sup>b</sup>	6 M KOH	A	$0.2 \ A \ g^{-1}$	306	160	S12
Carbon aerogel	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	В	$0.2 \ A \ g^{-1}$	251	166	S13
ACM <sup>c</sup>	6 M KOH	A	$0.05 \ A \ g^{-1}$	348	162	S14
Porous carbon	6 M KOH	В	$2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	271	252	S15
Porous carbon	$1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{SO}_4$	A	$2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	198	180	S16
Porous carbon	6 M KOH	A	$0.05 \ A \ g^{-1}$	262	214	S17
$CDC^{d}$	$1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{SO}_4$	A	$2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	190	140	S18
MWNTs <sup>e</sup>	$1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{SO}_4$	В	$50 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	159	132	S19
$\operatorname{EM-CCG}^{f}$	$1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{SO}_4$	A	$0.1 \ A \ g^{-1}$	203	256	S20
NS-rGO <sup>g</sup>	6 M KOH	A	$1 \mathrm{A} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$	237	51.4	S21
Graphene film	6 M KOH	A	$0.1 \ A \ g^{-1}$	226	174	S22
VArGO <sup>h</sup>	6 M KOH	A	$0.05 \ A \ g^{-1}$	145	171	S23
3D HPG <sup><i>i</i></sup>	6 M KOH	A	$0.5 \ A \ g^{-1}$	305	177	S24
3D graphene	$1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{SO}_4$	A	$1 \mathrm{A} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$	250	30	S25
RGO-HD <sup>j</sup>	6 M KOH	A	$1 {\rm A} {\rm g}^{-1}$	182	255	S26
HPGM <sup>k</sup>	6 M KOH	A	$0.1 \ A \ g^{-1}$	238	376	S27
Holey graphene	6 M KOH	A	$1 \mathrm{A} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$	310	221	S28
S-carbon/graphene	6 M KOH	A	$0.05 \ A \ g^{-1}$	109	65	S29

**Table S3**. Comparison of the  $C_{vs}$  of reported carbon- or graphene-based materials.

A or B refers to two- or three-electrode system.

<sup>*a*</sup> CNFs: mesoporous carbon nanofibers; <sup>*b*</sup> MCMB: coal-tar pitch derived mesocarbon microbeads; <sup>*c*</sup> ACM: amphiphilic carbonaceous material; <sup>*d*</sup> CDC: carbide derived carbons; <sup>*e*</sup> MWNTs: multiwall carbon nanotube thin films; <sup>*f*</sup> EM-CCG: electrolyte-mediated chemically converted graphene films; <sup>*g*</sup> NS-rGO: non-stacked reduced graphene oxide powders; <sup>*h*</sup> VArGO: vertically-aligned reduced graphene oxide electrodes; <sup>*i*</sup> 3D HPG: three-dimensional hierarchical porous graphene-like networks; <sup>*j*</sup> RGO-HD: high density reduced graphite oxide; <sup>*k*</sup> HPGM: high density porous graphene macroform.

Material	Mass loading (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Rate	$C_a (\mathrm{mF} \mathrm{cm}^{-2})$	Ref.	
HRGH-0.2	10	1 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	2675	This work	
		50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	2140		
		100 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	1768		
PANI/CNT/paper <sup>a</sup>	3.32	$10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	1506	S30	
		$100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	1298		
Holey Graphene	30	$7.5 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	1300	S31	
Discs		$30 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	860		
N-CNFs/RGO/BC <sup>b</sup>	16	$2 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	920	S32	
		$50 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	755		
3D SMG <sup>c</sup>	6.4	$3.2 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	1280	S33	
		$32 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	~1000		
CNC-MWCNT-PPy <sup>d</sup>	17.8	$2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	2100	S34	
		$100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$	<300		
3D CFG <sup>e</sup>	11.16	$1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$	1160	S35	
		$10 \text{ A g}^{-1}$	~900		
CNFs <sup>f</sup>	60	$1 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	1200	S36	
		$60 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	~900		
PPy@c-NCFs g	9	$1 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	<1620	S37	
		$300 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	1122		
AWC <sup>h</sup>	30	$1 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	3600	S38	
		$20 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$	1300		

**Table S4**. Areal capacitance comparison of the carbon- or graphene-based symmetric supercapacitors with high areal mass loadings.

<sup>*a*</sup> PANI/CNT/paper: the stacking up layers of polyaniline/carbon nanotube composite networks inside air-laid papers; <sup>*b*</sup> N-CNFs/RGO/BC: nitrogen-doped carbon nanofiber networks/reduced graphene oxide/bacterial cellulose freestanding paper; <sup>*c*</sup> 3D SMG: three-dimensional surface-microporous graphene; <sup>*d*</sup> CNC-MWCNT-PPy: the *in situ* polymerized polypyrrole in an aerogel-based current collector composed of cross-linked cellulose nanocrystals and multi-walled carbon nanotubes; <sup>*e*</sup> 3D CFG: three-dimensional cauliflower-fungus-like graphene material; <sup>*f*</sup> CNFs: carbon nanofibers; <sup>*g*</sup> PPy@c-NCFs: polypyrrole@cationic nanocellulose fibers; <sup>*h*</sup> AWC: activated wood carbon.

## **Supplementary References**

- S1 A. Bagri, C. Mattevi, M. Acik, Y. J. Chabal, M. Chhowalla and V. B. Shenoy, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, 2, 581–587.
- S2 W. Cai, R. D. Piner, F. J. Stadermann, S. Park, M. A. Shaibat, Y. Ishii, D. Yang, A. Velamakanni, S. J. An, M. Stoller, J. An, D. Chen and R. S. Ruoff, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 1815–1817.
- S3 X. H. Xia, L. Shi, H. B. Liu, L. Yang and Y. D. He, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2012, 73, 385-390.
- S4 B. Xu, Y. F. Chen, G. Wei, G. P. Cao, H. Zhang and Y. S. Yang, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **124**, 504–509.
- S5 L.-F. Chen, X.-D. Zhang, H.-W. Liang, M. Kong, Q.-F. Guan, P. Chen, Z.-Y. Wu and S.-H. Yu, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 7092–7102.
- S6 L. Hao, B. Luo, X. L. Li, M. H. Jin, Y. Fang, Z. H. Tang, Y. Y. Jia, M. H. Liang, A. Thomas, J. H. Yang and L. J. Zhi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 9747–9751.
- S7 D. C. Guo, J. Mi, G. P. Hao, W. Dong, G. Xiong, W. C. Li and A. H. Lu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 652–659.
- S8 X. Yan, Y. Yu, S.-K. Ryu, J. Lan, X. Jia and X. Yang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, 136, 466–472.
- S9 W. Li, F. Zhang, Y. Q. Dou, Z. X. Wu, H. J. Liu, X. F. Qian, D. Gu, Y. Y. Xia, B. Tu and D. Y. Zhao, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, 1, 382–386.
- S10 L. Qie, W. Chen, H. Xu, X.-Q. Xiong, Y. Jiang, F. Zou, X. Hu, Y. Xin, Z. Zhang and Y. Huang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 2497–2504.
- S11 Z. Lausevic, P. Y. Apel, J. B. Krstic and I. V. Blonskaya, Carbon, 2013, 64, 456-463.
- S12 K. Torchala, K. Kierzek and J. Machnikowski, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, 86, 260–267.
- S13 Z. Zapata-Benabithe, F. Carrasco-Marin, J. de Vicente and C. Moreno-Castilla, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 6166–6173.
- S14 J. Wang, M. M. Chen, C. Y. Wang, J. Z. Wang and J. M. Zheng, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 550–558.
- S15 J. A. Hu, H. L. Wang, Q. M. Gao and H. L. Guo, Carbon, 2010, 48, 3599-3606.
- S16 E. Raymundo-Pinero, F. Leroux and F. Beguin, Adv. Mater., 2006, 18, 1877–1882.
- S17 B. Xu, F. Wu, S. Chen, Z. M. Zhou, G. P. Cao and Y. S. Yang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2009, 54, 2185–2189.
- S18 J. Chmiola, G. Yushin, R. Dash and Y. Gogotsi, J. Power Sources, 2006, 158, 765–772.

- S19 S. W. Lee, B. S. Kim, S. Chen, Y. Shao-Horn and P. T. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 671–679.
- S20 X. Yang, C. Cheng, Y. Wang, L. Qiu and D. Li, Science, 2013, 341, 534–537.
- S21 Y. Yoon, K. Lee, C. Baik, H. Yoo, M. Min, Y. Park, S. M. Lee and H. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4437–4444.
- S22 Z. Lei, L. Lu and X. S. Zhao, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 6391-6399.
- S23 Y. Yoon, K. Lee, S. Kwon, S. Seo, H. Yoo, S. Kim, Y. Shin, Y. Park, D. Kim, J.-Y. Choi and H. Lee, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 4580–4590.
- S24 Y. Li, Z. Li and P. K. Shen, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 2474–2480.
- S25 X. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Zhi, X. Wang, D. Tang, Y. Xu, Q. Weng, X. Jiang, M. Mitome, D. Golberg and Y. Bando, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2905.
- S26 Y. Li and D. Zhao, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5598-5601.
- S27 Y. Tao, X. Xie, W. Lv, D.-M. Tang, D. Kong, Z. Huang, H. Nishihara, T. Ishii, B. Li, D. Golberg, F. Kang, T. Kyotani and Q.-H. Yang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3, 2975.
- S28 Y. X. Xu, Z. Y. Lin, X. Zhong, X. Q. Huang, N. O. Weiss, Y. Huang and X. F. Duan, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 4554.
- S29 M. Seredych and T. J. Bandosz, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 11717–11727.
- S30 L. Dong, G. Liang, C. Xu, D. Ren, J. Wang, Z.-Z. Pan, B. Li, F. Kang and Q.-H. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 19934–19942.
- S31 E. D. Walsh, X. Han, S. D. Lacey, J.-W. Kim, J. W. Connell, L. Hu and Y. Lin, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 29478–29485.
- S32 L. Ma, R. Liu, H. Niu, L. Xing, L. Liu and Y. Huang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 33608–33618.
- S33 L. Chang, D. J. Stacchiola and Y. H. Hu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 24655–24661.
- S34 K. Shi, X. Yang, E. D. Cranston and I. Zhitomirsky, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 6437–6445.
- S35 L. Chang, W. Wei, K. Sun and Y. H. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 10183–10187.
- S36 J. R. McDonough, J. W. Choi, Y. Yang, F. La Mantia, Y. Zhang and Y. Cui, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 243109.
- S37 Z. Wang, D. O. Carlsson, P. Tammela, K. Hua, P. Zhang, L. Nyholm and M. Stromme, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 7563–7571.
- S38 C. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, J. Dai, J. Song, Y. Yao, Y. Gong, I. Kierzewski, J. Xie and L. Hu,

Energy Environ. Sci., 2017, 10, 538–545.