Supporting information

A two-photon ratiometric fluorescent probe for the synergistic detection of mitochondrial SO₂/HClO crosstalk in cells and in vivo

Kun Dou,^{a,b} Guang Chen,^{a,b} Fabiao Yu,^{*a,b} Zhiwei Sun,^a Guoliang Li,^a Xianen Zhao,^a

Lingxin Chen, *a,b and Jinmao You*a,b

- ^a Key Laboratory of Life-Organic Analysis, Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Intermediates and Analysis of Natural Medicine, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China.
- ^b Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation; Research Centre for Coastal Environmental Engineering and Technology, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai 264003, China.

Table of contents

| 1. General methods | S3-S6 |
|--|---------|
| 2. Synthesis route of probe | S6 |
| 3. Synthesis of SO ₂ donor | S6 |
| 4. Quantum yield calculation | S7 |
| 5. Determine of detection limits | S7 |
| 6. Measurement of two-photon cross section | S8 |
| 7. Fig. S1 | S7-S9 |
| 8. Fig. S2 | S9-S10 |
| 9. Fig. S3 | S11-S12 |
| 10. Fig. S4 | S13 |
| 11. Fig. S5 | S13 |
| 12. Fig. S6 | S13 |
| 13. Fig. S7 | S14 |
| 14. Fig. S8 | S14 |
| 15. Fig. S9 | S15 |
| 16. Fig. S10 | S15-S17 |
| 17. Fig. S11 | S18 |

| 18. | Fig. | S12 | | S1 | 8 |
|-----|------|-----|--|----|---|
|-----|------|-----|--|----|---|

1. General methods

Materials and reagents: 6-Hydroxy-2-naphthaldehyde, (4-bromobutyl)triphenyl phosphonium bromide and malononitrile were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (Steinheim, Germany). Tert-butylhydroperoxide (t-BuOOH, 70%), Fe^{II} (EDTA), sodium hypochlorite (NaClO, 10% available chlorine), and hydrogen peroxide solution (30%) were purchased from Aladdin Chemistry Co. (Shanghai, China). Anion (Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, HSO₃⁻, CH₃COO⁻, ClO₄⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HCO₃⁻, HS⁻, SCN⁻, S₂O₃²⁻, SO₄²⁻) were prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of pure salts in deionized water and stored at 4 °C. Various ROS and RNS including HClO, \cdot OH, H₂O₂, TBHP, TBO⁻, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, NO, ONOO⁻ and O₂⁻⁻ were obtained according to reported methods (See details in following information). GSH, Cys, Hcy, Rhodamine123, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), fluorescein, N-ethylmaleimide (NEM), 4-aminobenzoic acid hydrazide (4-ABAH), 3- (4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) and phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (Steinheim, Germany). Acetonitrile, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, methanol were analytical grade without further purification. Water used in all experiments was the double-distilled water.

Instruments: TLC analysis was performed on silica gel plates and column chromatography was conducted over silica gel (mesh 300-400), both of which were obtained from the JianYou Chemical Company (Yan Tai, China). All pH measurements were performed with a pH-3c digital pH-meter (Shanghai Lei Ci Device Works, Shanghai, China). Absorption spectra were obtained on 300 bio UV-vis spectrophotometer (Varian USA). Hitachi F-4600 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi Japan) with a Xenon lamp and 1.0-cm quartz cells was used to collect the fluorescence record. ESI-MS and MS data were recorded on Agilent 1100 Series LC-MSD Trap-SL (ion trap) mass spectrometer. ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectra were measured with Bruker ascend 500 (500.1 MHz, ¹H; 125.8 MHz ¹³C) instrument. The fluorescence images of cells were acquired using the Carl Zeiss LSM880 with a multiphoton laser scanning microscope(Jena, Germany) with an objective lens (× 10/40).

Generation of various ROS, RSS and RNS: The concentration of the commercially available stock H_2O_2 solution was estimated by optical absorbance at 240 nm (43.6 M⁻¹cm⁻¹).¹ The hydroxyl radical (·OH) was generated by Fenton reaction between Fe^{II} (EDTA) and H_2O_2 quantitatively, and the concentrations of Fe^{II} (EDTA) represented the concentrations of ·OH.² T-BuOOH (TBHP) was obtained from Aladdin and was diluted to the

needed concentration. Tert-butoxy radical (TBO·) were generated by Fenton reaction of TBHP with Fe^{II} (EDTA). ³The sources of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ were respectively the NaNO₂ and NaNO₃. Nitric oxide (NO) was generated from diethylamine NONOate.⁴ Peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) was prepared according to the reported methods; the concentration of peroxynitrite was estimated by using an extinction coefficient of 1670 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ (302 nm). ⁵Superoxide (O₂⁻) was prepared from KO₂.

Absorption and Fluorescence Analysis: Absorption spectra were obtained with 1.0-cm glass cells. Fluorescence emission spectra were obtained with a Xenon lamp and 1.0-cm quartz cells. Fluorescent spectra of probe to HClO were recorded at the excitation wavelength of 420 nm, with the excitation / emission slit width of 10 nm/10 nm. Fluorescent spectra of probe to SO₂ were recorded at the excitation wavelengths of 350 nm and 460 nm, with the excitation / emission slit width of 10 nm/10 nm. All the optical properties were evaluated under the physiological conditions using 2.0 μ M of probe in DMSO/PBS buffer solution (v/v: 10/90; pH = 7.4, 20 mM). The mixture was equilibrated before measurement.



2. Synthesis route of probe (DNB)

Scheme S1

The synthesis route of probe (DNB)

3. Synthesis of SO₂ donor

Benzylamine (0.321 g, 3 mmol) was dissolved in 10ml dry pyridine. To the solution, 0.2 ml Et₃N was added. The solution was cooled to 0 °C. Then, a solution of 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride (0.792 g, 3 mmol) in dry pyridine (5 mL) was slowly added. After stirring for 15 min, the mixture was heated to room temperature and stirred for another 3h. The resultant mixture was poured into 20 ml water and filtered. The crude product was recrystallized in CH₃OH to get yellow solid, N-benzyl-2,4-dini-trophenylsulfonamide (BTSA). ESI-MS m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₁N₃O₆S [M+H]⁺, 338.04, found 338.10. 1H NMR (CD₃Cl, 500MHz), δ (ppm): 8.86 (d, J =2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.364 (s, J, 1H), 8.120 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, H), 7.107 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 6.996 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.709 (s, 2H). 13C NMR (CD3Cl, 125MHz), δ (ppm): (148.6, 146.82, 138.32, 136.13, 131.24, 127.75, 127.69, 126.09, 126.01, 119.70, 44.35).



Scheme S2 The synthesis route of SO₂ donor

4. Quantum yield calculation

The fluorescence quantum yields were determined by comparing the integrated area of the corrected emission spectrum of samples with a reference. In this work, fluorescence quantum yields for DNB, DNB-HSO₃- and BTP were determined in the reference of fluorescein ($\Phi = 0.98$, 0.1 M NaOH).⁶ The quantum yields were calculated using the expression: Φ sample= Φ standard × (Astandard Fsample/Asample Fstandard), where Φ sample and Φ standard are the fluorescence quantum yields of the sample and the standard, respectively; Fsample and

Fstandard are the integrated fluorescence intensities of the sample and the standard spectra, respectively; Asample and Astandard are the optical densities at the excitation wavelength of the sample and the standard, respectively.

5. Determination of limits of detection

To determine the detection limit of probe by fluorescence spectra titration, detection limits for HSO_3^- and HCIO were calculated by the formula: detection limit = 3 SD/ k, where k is the slope of the curve equation and SD represents the standard deviation for the fluorescence intensity ratio responses of probe to HSO_3^- or HCIO.

6. Measurement of two-photon cross section

In this study, Rhodamine B was used to determine the two-photon cross section (δ) by fluorescence measurement technique. The two-photon induced fluorescence intensity was measured at 680–940 nm by using Rhodamine B (5.0 µM) in methanol as the reference, whose two-photon property has been well characterized in the literature.^{7,8} TP absorption cross-section (δ) was calculated by using the following equation: $\delta = \delta r (Ss\Phi r\phi rCr)/(Sr\Phi s\phi sCs)$. In the formula, the subscripts of s and r stand for the probe and Rhodamine B, respectively; S represents the fluorescence intensity; Φ symbolizes the fluorescence quantum yield; ϕ is the overall fluorescence collection efficiency of the experimental apparatus and C is the concentration of the molecules in solution, δr is the two-photon absorption cross section of the reference molecule.

7. ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and MS for probe.





Fig. S1 Characterization of probe DNB: (a) Mass Spectra: m/z 537.2; (b) ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆) and (c) ¹³C NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆).

8. Mass spectra for probe to HClO, HSO_3^- and successive reaction to HClO and HSO_3^- , respectively.



S8



Fig. S2 (a) Mass spectra of DNB-HSO₃^{-;} (b) Mass spectra of reaction product of DNB to HClO (BTP); (c) Mass spectra of HClO added to DNB-HSO₃^{-;} (d) Mass spectra of HSO₃⁻ added to BTP.

9. ¹H NMR titration of probe with HSO₃⁻ and HClO.



S10



Fig. S3 (a) Partial ¹H NMR spectra of DNB in DMSO-d6 and ¹H NMR spectra of DNB in the presence of HSO_3^- in DMSO-d6/D₂O; (b) Partial ¹H NMR spectra of DNB and in the presence of HClO in DMSO-d6/D₂O, and (c) partial ¹H NMR spectra of DNB-HSO₃⁻ in the presence of HClO in DMSO-d6/D₂O.

10. Absorption spectra of probe to HClO and HSO₃, respectively.



Fig. S4 (a) UV-vis of probe DNB (2 μ M) upon addition of HSO₃⁻ (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (v:v=10/90, pH = 7.4, 20 mM); (b) UV-vis of probe DNB (2 μ M) upon addition of HClO (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (v:v=10/90, pH = 7.4, 20 mM);

11. Time-dependence change of fluorescence intensity of probe to HSO₃- and HClO



Fig. S5 (a) Time-dependent fluorescence intensity of probe (2 μ M) in the presence of HSO₃⁻ 15 μ M) (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (10/90, v: v, pH = 7.4, 20 mM) λ ex=460 nm); (b) Time-dependent fluorescence intensity of probe (2 μ M) in the presence of HClO (15 μ M) (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (10/90, v: v, pH = 7.4, 20 mM), λ ex= 420 nm.

12. Effect of pH Values to probe and probe derivatization.



Fig. S6 (a) Fluorescence emission ratio ($I_{425 \text{ nm}}/I_{600 \text{ nm}}$) of DNB (2 µM) in the absence (\blacktriangle) and presence (\blacksquare) of sulfite (15 µM) under different pH conditions (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (10/90, v: v, pH = 7.4, 20 mM), $\lambda ex=350 \text{ nm}, \lambda ex=460 \text{ nm}$); (b) Fluorescence emission ratio ($I_{525 \text{ nm}}/I_{600 \text{ nm}}$) of DNB (2 µM) in the absence (\bigstar) and presence (\blacksquare) of sulfite (15 µM) under different pH conditions (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (50/50, v: v, pH = 7.4))

7.4, 20 mM), λex=420 nm).



13. Temperature-dependence change of fluorescence intensity of probe to HSO3⁻ and HClO

Fig. S7. (a) The effect of temperature on the fluorescence intensity ratio ($I_{425 nm}/I_{600 nm}$) of the probe DNB (2 μ M) to HSO₃⁻ (15 μ M), (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (10/90, v: v, pH = 7.4, 20 mM), λ ex=350 nm, λ ex=460 nm); (b) The effect of temperature on the fluorescence intensity ratio ($I_{525 nm}/I_{600 nm}$) of the probe DNB (2 μ M) to HClO (15 μ M), (DMSO/PBS buffer solution (10/90, v: v, pH = 7.4, 20 mM), λ ex=420 nm).

14. MTT assay for probe.

To evaluate the cytotoxicity of probe DNB, HeLa cells and Raw 264.7 cells were were planted into 96-well microtiter plates in DMEM or RPMI 1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum incubator containing 5% CO₂ gas at 37°C for 24 h. Then the cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂/95% air upon different concentrations probe of 0 μ M to 50 μ M respectively. Following, 25 μ L methylthiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) (5 mg/mL) was added to each well and incubated for 4 h. The cytotoxicity tests were performed using MTT assays in compliance with ISO standard 10993–5. All experiments were performed in eight replicates. The cell viability was evaluated using a MTT assay; the results were expressed as mean values ± standard deviation (S.D.).



Fig. S8. (a) Cell viability of HeLa cells treated with different concentrations of probe DNB (1~6: 0, 5, 10, 20, 30 and 50 μ M) for 24 h in fresh medium; (b) Cell viability of Raw 264.7 cells treated with different concentrations of probe (1~6: 0, 5, 10, 20, 30 and 50 μ M) for 24 h in fresh medium.

15. SO₂ generation by BTSA in the presence of Cys.



Fig. S9. Reaction process of SO_2 donor with thiols.

16 . $^1\!H$ NMR, $^{13}\!C$ NMR of BTP and SO_2 donor





Fig. S10. ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR of BTP and SO₂ donor

17. ¹H NMR spectra of BTP in the presence of HSO₃-



Fig. S11, partial 1H NMR spectra of BTP in the presence of HSO3- in DMSO-d6/D2O

18. Investigate the transform from BTP-HSO₃⁻ to BTP



Fig.S12: Investigate the transform from BTP-HSO₃⁻ to BTP. Fluorescence response of BTP-HSO₃⁻ (2 μ M) to various concentration of HClO from (0 μ M to 100 μ M), (λ ex = 380 nm).

References:

- 1 Y. Huang, P. Zhang, M. Gao, F. Zeng, A. Qin, S. Wu and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 7288-7291.
- 2 K. Setsukinai, Y. Urano, K. Kakinuma, H. J. Majima and T. Nagano, J. Bio. Chem., 2003, 278, 3170.
- 3 G. Chen, X. Peng and, F. Song, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2949-2951.
- 4 P. Zhang, J. Li, B. Li, J. Xu, F. Zeng, J. Lv and S. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 4414-6.
- 5 R. M. Uppu and W. A. Pryor, Anal. Biochem., 1996, 236, 242-249.
- 6 R. A. Velapoldi and H. H. Tønnesen, J. Fluoresc., 2004,14, 465-472.
- 7 D. E. Kang, C. S. Lim, J. Y. Kim, E. S. Kim, H. J. Chun and B. R. Cho, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 5353-5359.
- 8 T. V. Truong, W. Supatto, D. S. Koos, J. M. Choi, and S. E. Fraser, Nat. Meth., 2011, 8, 757-760.