Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

Non-conventional synthesis and magnetic properties of MAX phases (Cr/Mn)₂AlC and (Cr/Fe)₂AlC

Christin M. Hamm, Joshua D. Bocarsly, Gareth Seward, Ulrike I. Kramm and Christina S. Birkel

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Details about the electron probe micro analyses:

Operating conditions were 40 degrees takeoff angle, and a beam energy of 15 keV. The beam current was 10 nA, and the beam diameter was 0 microns (fully focused). Elements were acquired using analyzing crystals LLIF for Cr k α , Mn k α , Fe k α , and LTAP for Al k α . The standards were Al metal for Al k α , Cr metal for Cr k α , Mn metal for Mn k α , and Fe metal for Fe k α . (Cr_{1-x}Fe_x)₂AlC samples were collected using off-peak background correction methods: The on-peak counting time was 40 seconds for all elements. The off peak correction method was Linear for all elements. (Cr_{1-x}Mn_x)₂AlC samples were collected using Mean Atomic Number (MAN) background correction methods: The on-peak counting time was 30 seconds for all elements. The MAN background intensity data were calibrated and continuum absorption corrected for Al k α , Cr k α , Mn k α (see Donovan and Tingle¹). Element C was calculated by difference from 100% and not directly measured. The matrix correction method was ZAF or Phi-Rho-Z Calculations and the mass absorption coefficients dataset was FFAST according to Chantler *et al.*² The ZAF or Phi-Rho-Z algorithm utilized was Armstrong/Love Scott (see Armstrong³).

The maps were collected at 15 kV and 100 nA beam current. 0.1 s pixel dwell time, 500 x 500 pixels at $1\mu m$ per pixel.

- 1. Donovan JJ, Tingle TN. An Improved Mean Atomic Number Background Correction for Quantitative Microanalysis. *J Microsc.* 1996;2:1-7.
- 2. Chantler C, Olsen T, Dragoset R, et al. X-Ray Form Factor, Attenuation and Scattering Tables. version 2.1; 2005
- 3. Armstrong J. Quantitative Analysis of Silicate and Oxide Materials: Comparison of Monte Carlo, ZAF, and Phi-Rho-Z Procedures. In: *Microbeam Analysis*.; 1988:239-246.