Electronic supplementary information

## Influence of 2,2-bithiophene and thieno[3,2-b] thiophene units on the photovoltaic performance of benzodithiophene-based wide-bandgap polymers

Xuexue Pan,<sup>‡a,b</sup> Wentao Xiong,<sup>‡b</sup> Tao Liu,<sup>b</sup> Xiaobo Sun,<sup>\*b</sup> Lijun Huo,<sup>\*b</sup> Donghui Wei,<sup>c</sup> Mingming Yu,<sup>c</sup> Minfang Han<sup>\*a</sup> and Yanming Sun<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, China University of Mining & Technology(Beijing), Beijing 100083, P. R. China. E-mail: hanminfang@sina.com
<sup>b</sup> Heeger Beijing Research and Development Center, School of Chemistry and Environment, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, P. R. China. E-mail: sunxb@buaa.edu.cn; huolijun@buaa.edu.cn; sunym@buaa.edu.cn

<sup>c</sup> The College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan Province 450001, P. R. China.



**Figure S1.** Theory calculated HOMO and LUMO electron density distributions for **P1** and **P2** by the DFT calculation at B3LYP/6-31G level



**Figure S2**. Map of the DFT electrostatic potential (ESP) surfaces of **P1** (up) and **P2** (down), dark color indicates greater negative charge, while white and grey colors indicate positive charges.



**Figure S3**. *J-V* curves of **P1**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM active layer a) different blend ratios (DIO 2%) and b) different concentration of DIO(**P1**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM=1:1.5).



**Figure S4**. EQE curves of **P1**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM active layer a) different blend ratios (DIO 2%) and b) different concentration of DIO (**P1**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM =1:1.5).

Ratio of D:A (w/w)	DIO (%)	$V_{OC}(\mathbf{V})$	$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE <sub>ave</sub> (%)	PCE <sub>max</sub> (%)
1:1		0.87	8.97±0.01	70.8±0.00	5.49±0.02	5.51
1:1.5	2	0.86	11.00±0.06	72.9±0.00	6.86±0.07	6.93
1:2	_	0.86	9.27±0.01	66.1±0.01	5.26±0.04	5.30
	0	0.92	7.09±0.03	47.2±0.01	3.06±0.05	3.11
	1	0.87	9.43±0.01	70.4±0.00	5.79±0.05	5.84
1:1.5	2	0.86	11.00±0.06	72.9±0.00	6.86±0.07	6.93
	3	0.85	9.57±0.05	70.4±0.00	5.75±0.05	5.80

**Table S1.** Photovoltaic parameters of PSCs with P1:PC70BM active layer in different blend ratios and differentconcentration of DIO



**Figure S5**. *J-V* curves of **P2**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM active layer a) different blend ratios (DIO 1%) and b) different concentration of DIO (**P2**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM=1:1).



**Figure S6**. EQE curves of **P2**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM active layer a) different blend ratios (DIO 1%) and b) different concentration of DIO (**P2**:PC<sub>70</sub>BM =1:1).

Ratio of D:A (w/w)	DIO (%)	$V_{OC}(\mathbf{V})$	$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	$PCE_{ave}(\%)$	$PCE_{max}(\%)$
1.5:1		0.88	7.37±0.03	48.2±0.01	3.14±0.04	3.18
1:1	1	0.85	8.55±0.04	53.1±0.01	3.87±0.05	3.92
1:1.5		0.85	7.91±0.01	50.3±0.00	3.37±0.03	3.40
	0	0.88	5.60±0.01	40.5±0.00	2.00±0.02	2.02
1:1	1	0.85	8.55±0.04	53.1±0.01	3.87±0.05	3.92
	2	0.86	8.51±0.01	51.1±0.00	3.74±0.03	3.77

**Table S2.** Photovoltaic parameters of PSCs with P2:PC<sub>70</sub>BM active layer in different blend ratios and different concentration of DIO

Table S3. The hole mobilities of P1 and P2 for pristine and blend films

sample	Hole moobilities(cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )			
P1	1.62×10 <sup>-3</sup>			
P2	1.17×10 <sup>-3</sup>			
P1:PC <sub>70</sub> BM (1:1.5)	9.86×10 <sup>-4</sup>			
P2:PC <sub>70</sub> BM (1:1)	6.82×10 <sup>-4</sup>			
P1:PC <sub>70</sub> BM (1:1.5, 2%DIO)	$1.22 \times 10^{-3}$			
P2:PC <sub>70</sub> BM (1:1, 1%DIO)	8.60×10 <sup>-4</sup>			



Figure S7. AFM height images of P1 neat film, and b) P2 neat film.



**Figure S8**. GIWAXS patterns of neat polymer and blend films. Out-of-plane linecut profiles of pristine films (a) and blend films (c) and In-plane linecut profiles of pristine films (b) and blend films (d).