Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

Supporting Information

Mechanochemical route toward rational, systematic, and costeffective green synthesis of strongly luminescent copper iodide based hybrid phosphors

Wei Liu^a, Kun Zhu^a, Simon J. Teat^b, Benjamin J. Deibert^a, Wenbing Yuan^{a,c}, and Jing Li^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers University, 610 Taylor Road, Piscataway, NJ, 08854, USA

^b Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^c Key Laboratory of Tropical Biological Resources of the Ministry of Education, School of Materials and Chemical Engineering, Hainan University, 58 Renmin Road, Haikou, 570228, China

TABLE OF CONTENT

S1. Crystal images and structure drawings of selected compounds.

S2. PXRD analysis of compounds 1-11.

S3. Optical band gaps, emission energies, IQYs and thermal stability of compounds 1-10.

S4. Molecular orbital (MO) energy calculations of the ligands and Density of States (DOS) calculation of selective structure.

S5. Elemental analysis results of selected compounds.

S6. References.

S1. Crystal images and structure drawings of selected compounds.



Fig. S1. Crystal image of 0D-CuI(*tpp*)₂(4-me-pm).



Fig. S2. Crystal image of 0D-Cu₂I₂(*tpp*)₂(*3-Br-py*)₂



Fig. S3. Crystal image of 1D-Cu₂I₂(*tpp*)₂(2,5-*dm-pz*).



Fig. S4. Crystal image of 1D-Cu₂I₂(tpp)₂(2-me-pz).



Fig. S5. Structure plot of 0D-CuI(*tpp*)₂(*3-Br-py*)₂.



Fig. S6. Structure plot of 1D-Cu₂I₂(*tpp*)₂(2-*me*-*pz*).

S2. PXRD analysis of compounds 1-11.



Fig. S7. PXRD patterns of 1-6. From bottom to top: simulated 1, as made 1, simulated 2, as made 2, simulated 3, as made 3, simulated 4, as made 4, simulated 5, as made 5, simulated 6, as made 6.



Fig. S8. PXRD patterns of 7-11. From bottom to top: simulated 7, as made 7, simulated 8, as made 8, simulated 9, as made 9, simulated 10, as made 10, simulated 11, as made 11.



Fig. S9. PXRD patterns. From bottom to top: simulated **5**, as made **5**, **5** after immersed in water for 24 h, **5** after exposed to UV for 24 h, simulated **7**, as made **7**, **7** after immersed in water for 24 h, **7** after exposed to UV for 24 h,

S3. Optical band gaps, emission energies, IQYs and thermal stability assessment of compounds 1-10.



Fig. S10. Plot of optical band gaps of compounds 1-10 and their emission wavelengths.



Fig. S11. Emission wavelengths and IQY values of compounds 1-10.



Fig. S12. TG profiles of 2 (blue), 6 (black), 10 (red).



Fig. S13. Thermal decomposition temperatures for **1-10**.



Fig. S14. Mixture of CuI, *tpp* and *4,6-dm-pm* without applying mechanochemical force. Image was taken under UV bar (365nm) after the liquid N-ligand was completely dried.



Fig. S15. PXRD patterns of simulated **11** (black) and **11** obtained after (solvent-free) manual grinding for 10 min (red).



Fig. S16. Top: Product of **2** prepared by ball-milling under UV radiation. Bottom: PXRD patterns of **2**, from bottom to top: simulated pattern, sample prepared by manual grinding, and sample prepared by ball milling.



Fig. S17. PXRD pattern of simulated **2** (black), simulated **8** (red) and white phosphor composite **12** (blue).



Fig. S18. Photoluminescence intensity as a function of temperature for selected compounds. Each point represents measured internal quantum yield value after sample being heated at the given temperature for some time. Blue: $0D-Cu_2I_2(tpp)_2(3-pc)_2$, Green: $1D-Cu_2I_2(tpp)_2(pm)$.

S4. Molecular orbital (MO) energy calculations of the ligands and Density of States (DOS) calculation of selective structure

DGDZVP^{1,2} and $6-311++G(3df,3pd)^{3-10}$ were used for the calculation of the HUMO/LUMO energies of the ligands and the results are listed in Table S1.

Basis Set		DGDZVP		6-311++G(3df,3pd)	
Name	Structure	НОМО	LUMO	НОМО	LUMO
		(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)
Triphenylphosphine (<i>tpp</i>)		-5.962	-0.874	-6.032	-0.985
4-picoline (<i>4-pc</i>)		-7.089	-0.872	-7.117	-0.965
3-picoline (3-pc)		-7.056	-0.982	-7.089	-1.073
pyridine (<i>py</i>)		-7.175	-1.041	-7.213	-1.120
4,6-dimethyl-pyridimine (4,6- <i>dm-pm</i>)	N N	-7.001	-1.208	-7.021	-1.257

Table S1.	Calculated	HOMO-LU	MO energy	levels c	of ligands.

4-methyl-pyrimidine (4-me- pm)		-7.112	-1.381	-7.128	-1.429
3-bromo-pyridine (3-Br-py)	N Br	7.228	-1.441	-7.264	-1.492
2-methyl-pyrazine (2-me-pz)		-6.971	-1.668	-6.988	-1.726
Pyrimidine (<i>pm</i>)		-7.237	-1.549	-7.255	-1.599
2,5-dimethyl-pyrazine (2,5- <i>dm-pz</i>)		-6.830	-1.560	-6.868	-1.583
1-methyl-benzimidazole (<i>1-</i> <i>me-bzim</i>)		-6.249	-0.739	-6.260	-0.771
2-propyl-pyrazine (2-pr-pz)		-6.925	-1.623	-6.945	-1.683



Fig. S19. Calculated density of states (DOS) of **2** by DFT method: total DOS (dotted black); Cu 3d orbitals (light blue); I 5p orbitals (red); C 2p orbitals (black); N 2p orbitals (blue); P 3p orbitals (orange).

S5. Elemental analysis results of selected compounds.

Compound		C%	H%	N%
$0D-Cu_2I_2(tpp)_2(4-pc)_2$	Calculated	52.7	4.0	2.6
	Experimental	52.6	3.8	2.5
$0D-Cu_2I_2(tpp)_2(3-pc)_2$	Calculated	52.7	4.0	2.6
	Experimental	54.3	3.4	2.2
0D-Cu ₂ I ₂ (<i>tpp</i>) ₂ (<i>py</i>) ₂	Calculated	51.9	3.8	2.6
	Experimental	52.4	3.7	3.0
$\frac{\text{OD-Cu}_2\text{I}_2(tpp)_2(4,6-dm-pm)_2}{pm)_2}$	Calculated	51.4	4.1	5.0
	Experimental	48.6	4.1	5.2
0D-Cu ₂ I ₂ (<i>tpp</i>) ₂ (4- <i>me</i> - <i>pm</i>) ₄	Calculated	50.3	3.8	5.1
	Experimental	49.8	3.9	3.7
0D-Cu ₂ I ₂ (<i>tpp</i>) ₂ (<i>3-Br-py</i>) ₂	Calculated	45.2	3.1	2.3
	Experimental	45.7	3.7	1.7
1D-Cu ₂ I ₂ (<i>tpp</i>) ₂ (<i>pm</i>)	Calculated	48.7	3.4	2.8
	Experimental	51.9	3.2	2.7
$1D-Cu_2I_2(tpp)_2(2,5-dm-pz)$	Calculated	49.7	3.7	2.7
	Experimental	47.0	3.5	2.8
$1D-Cu_2I_2(tpp)_2(2-pr-pz)$	Calculated	50.9	4.0	2.3
	Experimental	50.4	3.6	2.4
$1\text{D-Cu}_2\text{I}_2(tpp)_2(2\text{-}me\text{-}pz)$	Calculated	51.8	3.8	2.8
	Experimental	51.2	4.1	2.7

Table S2. Summary of elemental analysis of compounds **1-10**.

S6. References.

(1) Godbout, N.; Salahub, D. R.; Andzelm, J.; Wimmer, E. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* **1992**, *70*, 560.

(2) Sosa, C.; Andzelm, J.; Elkin, B. C.; Wimmer, E.; Dobbs, K. D.; Dixon, D. A. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1992**, *96*, 6630.

(3) Gordon, M. S. Chemical Physics Letters 1980, 76, 163.

(4) Francl, M. M.; Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Gordon, M. S.; DeFrees, D. J.; Pople, J. A. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1982**, *77*, 3654.

(5) Clark, T.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Spitznagel, G. W.; Schleyer, P. V. R. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **1983**, *4*, 294.

(6) Frisch, M. J.; Pople, J. A.; Binkley, J. S. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1984**, *80*, 3265.

(7) Binning, R. C.; Curtiss, L. A. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **1990**, *11*, 1206.

(8) Blaudeau, J.-P.; McGrath, M. P.; Curtiss, L. A.; Radom, L. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1997**, *107*, 5016.

(9) Rassolov, V. A.; Pople, J. A.; Ratner, M. A.; Windus, T. L. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1998**, *109*, 1223.

(10) Hehre, W. J.; Ditchfield, R.; Pople, J. A. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1972**, *56*, 2257.