Regioisomerism of alkyl-substituted bithiophene comonomer in (3*E*,8*E*)-3,8bis(2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)naphtho-[1,2-*b*:5,6-*b'*]difuran-2,7(3*H*,8*H*)-dione (INDF) based D-A polymers for organic thin film transistors

Jesse T. E. Quinn, Chang Guo, Fezza Haider , Haritosh Patel, Daid A. Khan and Yuning Li*

Department of Chemical Engineering and Waterloo Institute for Nanotechnology (WIN), University of Waterloo, 200 University Ave W, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 519 888 4567x31105 E-mail address: yuning.li@uwaterloo.ca (Y. Li)



Fig. S1 ¹H NMR spectrum of 1,6-bis((*E*)-6-bromo-1-(2-decyltetradecyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-1,6-dihydronaphtho[1,2-*b*:5,6-*b*']difuran-2,7-dionein CDCl₃.



Fig. S2 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1,6-bis((*E*)-6-bromo-1-(2-decyltetradecyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-1,6-dihydronaphtho[1,2-b:5,6-b]difuran-2,7-dionein CDCl₃.



Fig. S3 GPC traces of **PINDFBT-(TT)** (left) and **PINDFBT-(HH)** (right) measured at 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene at 140 °C with polystyrene as standards.



Fig. S4 TGA traces of **PINDFBT-(TT)** (left) and **PINDFBT-(HH)** (right) with a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen.



Fig. S5 DSC traces of **PINDFBT-(TT)** (left) and **PINDFBT-(HH)** (right) measured at a rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen.



Fig. S6 Cyclic voltammograms of **PINDFBT-(TT)** (left) and **PINDFBT-(HH)** (right) thin films measured in dry acetonitrile containing 0.1 M n-Bu₄NPF₆ as an electrolyte under nitrogen atmosphere at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. The frontier energy levels are -3.78 (LUMO) and -5.76 eV (HOMO) for TT and -3.77 (LUMO) and -5.68 eV (HOMO) for HH. Ferrocene (Fc) was used as the reference, which has a HOMO energy value of -4.8 eV.¹

	Annealing Temperature (°C)	Hole			Electron		
Polymer		Mobility ^a (10 ⁻² cm ² V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	Average $V_{th}(V)$	I _{ON} /I _{OFF}	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mobility}^{a} \\ (10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{2} \\ \text{V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) \end{array}$	Average V _{th} (V)	I _{ON} /I _{OFF}
PINDFBT- (TT)	100	$2.17 (2.06 \pm 0.11)$	-33.16	~102	$5.41 (5.01 \pm 0.28)$	26.87	~102
	150	$2.04 (1.90 \pm 0.12)$	-40.90	~10²	$6.51 (6.09 \pm 0.28)$	26.39	~10²
	200	$2.13(1.88 \pm 0.18)$	-42.71	~10²	$6.31 (5.80 \pm 0.39)$	25.37	~102
	250	$2.17(2.08 \pm 0.09)$	-47.19	~102	$7.17 (6.61 \pm 0.52)$	29.57	~102
	300	2.62(2.49) $\pm 0.11)$	-53.05	~102	5.09 (4.81 ± 0.24)	32.87	~10 ³
PINDFBT- (HH)	100	11.08 (10.3 ± 0.68)	-28.86	~10 ²	24.94 (20.6 ± 2.59)	36.19	~10 ²
	150	12.68 (11.6 ± 0.91)	-31.05	~10- 10 ²	26.66 (24.2 ± 1.94)	32.61	~10 ²
	200	14.30 (13.0 ± 1.08)	-32.64	~10- 10²	29.71 (27.4 ± 2.29)	35.03	~10 ²
	250	14.72 (13.4 ± 1.05)	-36.13	~10 ²	33.20 (30.5 ± 2.78)	39.01	~10 ²
	300	15.50 (14.1 ± 1.16)	-40.43	~10 ²	33.16 (29.6 ± 4.02)	39.67	~10 ²

Table S1 The summary of OTFT device performances for polymers **PINDFBT-(TT)** and **PINDFBT-(HH)**.

^a The maximum (average ± standard deviation) mobility was calculated from the saturated regime of at least five devices for each condition.

References

J. Pommerehne, H. Vestweber, W. Guss, R. F. Mahrt, H. Bässler, M. Porsch and J. Daub, *Adv. Mater.*, 1995, **7**, 551–554.