Supporting information

Towards full-colour tunable photoluminescence of monolayer

MoS₂/carbon quantum dots ultrathin films

Weitao Su^{a+}, Yuchan Wang^a, Weiwei Wu^a, Haiying Qin^a, Kaixin Song^b, Xiwei Huang^b, Lijie Zhang^c, and Daqin Chen^a, ++

¹College of Materials and Environmental Engineering, Hangzhou Dianzi University, 310018, Hangzhou, China ² College of electronics and information, Hangzhou Dianzi University, 310018, Hangzhou, China ³College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Wenzhou University, 315201, Wenzhou, China **Email:** <u>suweitao@hdu.edu.cn</u> (W T Su); <u>dqchen@hdu.edu.cn</u> (D Q Chen)

§1 TEM of CQDs



Fig.S1 TEM of YCQDS(a)and BCQDs(b). (c)-(e): Equivalent diameters of RCQDs, YCQDs and BCQDs respectively.

§ 2 AFM image of RCQDs



Fig.S2 (a) AFM topographic image and (b)Phase image of RCQDs on glass; (c) Height profiles along marked line in Fig.S2(a); (d) Equivalent diameter distribution of RCQDs in (a).

§ 3 XRD and FTIR analysis



Fig.S3 XRD(a) and FTIR(b) of RCQDs, YCQDs and BCQDs.

Table S1	Tentative	peak assignment of	FTIR spectra of	RCODS	. YCC	Ds and BCC)Ds
10010 01			1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1.0 2 2 2	,		~~~

Possible groups	Peak position	BCQDs	YCQDs	RCQDs
OH (Stretching)	3444	Strong	Strong	Strong
NH	3367	Strong	Strong	Strong
NH	3201	Strong	Strong	Strong
СН	2773	Weak		
C=O in carboxyl	1728	Strong	Weak	
CONH	1670	Strong	Strong	Strong
C=O in carbonyl	1624	Strong	Strong	Strong



§ 4 PL intensity comparison of different CQDs in red light

Fig. S4 comparison of PL intensity of different CQDs excited with 532nm.

 $\S~5$ Optical images of RCQDs on 1L-MoS_2 and AFM image of pristine 1L-MoS_2.



Fig. S5, Optical images of 1L-MoS₂ coated with different film thickness of RCQDS. (a), RT1; (b), RT2; (c) RT3; (d)RT4 (e), RT5. (f) an optical image of a 1L-MoS₂ without CQDs is shown for comparison; (g), AFM topographic image of a different pristine 1L-MoS₂. Note the scale bars in (a)-(e) are 5μ m while is 3μ m in (f). In (g), a height profile is show along the marked dashed line in S5(g).





Fig.S6, Line profile of thinnest CQDs on 1L-MoS₂

§ 7 PL of 1L-MoS₂ coated with different film thickness of CQDs



Fig. S7 Micro-PL spectra of 1L-MoS₂ coated with different film thickness of RCQDs. Note the excitation wavelength is 532nm, RT1-RT5 refer to flakes in Fig.S5. PL spectra of pristine 1L-MoS₂ (W/O) are also shown for comparison.



§ 8 Fitting of PL of 1L-MoS₂ coated with different film thickness of CQDs

Fig. S8 Fitting of PL spectra of (a) 1L-MoS₂, (b) 1L-MoS₂/RCQDs(4nm), (c) 1L-MoS₂/RCQDs(14nm), (d)1L-MoS₂/RCQDs(30nm)





Fig. S9 (a) excitation and emission of GOQDs; (b) AFM topographic image of GOQDs on 1L-MoS₂ flake; (c), Raman spectrum of 1L-MoS₂/GOQDs sample; (d), PL and normalized PL(inset) of 1L-MoS₂/GOQDs sample in (b). A height profile along dotted line in (b) is shown as insets of (b). The height of GOQD film is 4nm. Note in (c) and (d), 1L-MoS₂ samples without GOQDs coated are marked as "W/O", while with GOQDs are marked as "W". The excitation laser wavelength of Raman(S9(c))and PL(S9(d)) is 532nm.

§ 10 PL spectra CQDs on 1L MoS_2 with 325nm excitation



Fig. S10 PL spectra of different 1L-MoS₂/CQDs samples excited with 325nm laser.