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## Experimental Section

General information: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a MERCUYRVX300 spectrometer using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent. Mass spectra were acquired on a thermo DSQ II mass spectrometer and a Varian 450 GC \& Varian 320 mass spectrophotometer. Elemental analysis was performed on a Vario EL III microanalyzer. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2700 spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were obtained on a Hitachi F-4600 fluorescence spectrophotometer. The solid state PLQYs were measured on a Quantaurus-QY measurement system (C9920-02, Hamamatsu Photonics) in the host of CBP ( $4 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ). PL decay lifetimes were measured by time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) option on FLS920 spectrometer and the Edinburgh Instruments picoseconds pulsed diode laser (Model: EPL-375) as the light source. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was undertaken with a NEZSCH STA 449C instrument under nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed on a CHI voltammetric analyzer. The measurements were carried out in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution $(\sim 1 \mathrm{mM})$ for anodic sweeping and in DMF solution ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{mM}$ ) for cathodic sweeping at scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ at room temperature by using a three-electrode configuration, which consists of a platinum working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode, and an Ag wire pseudoreference electrode. The ferrocene/ferrocenium $\left(\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$couple was employed as the internal standard. The supporting electrolyte was tetrabutylammonium hexaflorophosphate $\left(\mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}\right)(0.1 \mathrm{M})$. The HOMO and LUMO levels were calculated
according to the formula $\mathrm{HOMO}=-\mathrm{e}\left[4.8 \mathrm{~V}+E_{o x}\right]$ and $\mathrm{LUMO}=-\mathrm{e}\left[4.8 \mathrm{~V}+E_{\text {red }}\right]$, respectively, where $E_{o x}$ and $E_{\text {red }}$ were taken from the onsets of the oxidation and reduction potentials ( $v s \mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$). Quantum chemical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) were performed. The geometry optimization were performed by B3LYP methods with the 6-31G(d) basis set for light atoms and LanL2DZ basis set for Ir atom. TD-DFT calculation were performed at B3LYP/def2-TZVP on the optimized geometry of $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.

Device Fabrication and Measurement: Patterned indium tin-oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrates were cleaned with a standard regiment of deionized water, acetone, and methanol inside an ultrasonic bath. After UV ozone treatment, the substrates were transferred to the vacuum chamber at a bass pressure of $10^{-5} \mathrm{pa}$. Hole-injecting material $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~nm})$ and hole-transporting material CBP ( 35 nm ) were gradually deposited onto the ITO substrates, followed by an emissive layer ( 15 nm ), and electrontransporting material TPBi ( 65 nm ). Finally, a cathode composed of LiF ( 1 nm ) and Al (100 nm) was sequentially deposited. The current density-voltage-luminance ( $J-V-L$ ) characteristics were measured using a HP4140B picoammeter and a Minolta LS-110 luminance meter. The EL spectra were collected by an USB2000-UV-vis Miniature Fiber Optic Spectrometer. All measurements were carried out in an ambient atmosphere and at room temperature.

Synthesis of materials: All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received without further purification unless otherwise stated. Solvents were purified according to the standard procedures before usage. Chromatographic
separations were carried out by using silica gel (200-300 nm).

Synthesis of 4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (ptq): A mixture of 2-(thiophen-2-yl)aniline ( $5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzaldehyde ( $5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and 100 mL of toluene was refluxed for 3 days at 130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooled to room temperature, the mixture was neutralized by NaOH (aq) and extracted with ethyl acetate. The product was obtained by column chromatography on silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to give a white solid with the yield of $54 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.79,146.34,143.95,140.13,132.19$, $130.39,129.33,129.15,128.71,126.91,125.83,125.30,123.61,123.23 ;$ MS (EI, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NS}$ 261.06, found 260.10; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NS}$ (\%): C 78.13, H 4.24, N 5.36; found: C 78.19, H 4.31, N 5.31.

Synthesis of 4-(p-tolyl)thieno[3,2-c]quinoline (ttq): It was prepared in a similar procedure to ptq except using 4-methylbenzaldehyder to replace benzaldehyde. Yield: 47\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.28$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.15 (d, $J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.81$, $143.92,139.13,137.24,132.20,130.30,129.72,129.37,129.26,129.08,128.67$, 128.42, 126.75, 125.69, 125.40, 123.53, 123.19, 21.46; MS (EI, m/z): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NS} 275.08$, found 274.08; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NS}$ (\%): C 78.51, H 4.76, N 5.09; found: C 78.72, H 4.74, N 5.23.

Synthesis of 4-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)thieno[3,2-c]quinoline (tptq): It was prepared in a similar procedure to ptq except using 4-(tert-butyl)benzaldehyde to replace benzaldehyde. Yield: $53 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.28$ (d, $J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.71(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.63-7.54 (m, 4H); $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.71$, $152.14,146.14,143.88,137.18,132.08,130.23,128.89,128.54,126.62,125.58$, $125.35,123.41,123.09,34.72,31.28$; MS (EI, m/z): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NS}$ 317.45, found 317.13; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NS}$ (\%): C 79.45, H 6.03, N 4.41; found: C 79.07, H 6.07, N 4.60 .

Synthesis of (ptq) $\mathbf{2}_{2} \mathbf{I r}$ (acac): A mixture of ptq $(650 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{IrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 15 mL of 2-ethoxyethanol, and 5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was refluxed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h under argon atmosphere. The resulting mixture was cooled and filtered, washed by water, ethanol and diethyl ether to obtain the chloride-bridged dimer. Without further purification, a mixture of the dimer ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(210 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pentane-2,4-dione ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into 20 mL of 2-ethoxyethanol. The reaction was refluxed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h under argon atmosphere. The reaction was quenched with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to give a red solid with the yield of $30 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$
$(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{IrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 812.11, found 812.50; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{IrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (\%): C 57.69, H 3.35, N 3.45; found: C 57.72, H 3.33, N 3.42.

Synthesis of (ttq) $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathbf{a c a c}):$ According to the procedure for $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$, $(\mathrm{ttq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ was prepared from $\mathrm{ttq}(685 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{IrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(350$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as red solid with the yield of $38 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 840.15$, found 840.60; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (\%): C 58.62, H 3.72, N 3.33; found: C 58.53, H 3.98, N 3.51.

Synthesis of (tptq) $)_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathbf{a c a c}):$ According to the procedure for $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$, $(\text { tptq })_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ was prepared from $\operatorname{tptq}(790 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{IrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(350$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as red solid with the yield of $38 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 8.53 (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (EI, m/z): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{43} \operatorname{IrN} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 924.24$, found 924.04; Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{IrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (\%): C 61.08 H 4.69 , N 3.03; found: C 61.03, H 4.27, N 3.03 .
$\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=H, p t q \\ & R_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \text { ttq }\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$, tptq
$(p t q)_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$
$(t t q)_{2} \operatorname{lr}(a c a c)$
(tptq) $)_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$

Scheme S1. Synthetic routes and chemical structures of the iridium complexes.


Fig. S1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.
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Fig. S2 GC-MS spectrum of $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.


$(\mathrm{ttq})_{2} \operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{acac})$

Fig. S3 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $(\mathrm{ttq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.
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Fig. S4 GC-MS spectrum of $(\operatorname{ttq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.


Fig. $55{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $(\operatorname{tptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.



Fig. S6 GC-MS spectrum of $(\operatorname{tptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.


Fig. S7 TGA curves for $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac}),(\operatorname{ttq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ and $(\operatorname{tptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$.


Fig. S8 Transient PL decay curves of $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac}),(\operatorname{ttq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ and $(\operatorname{tptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ in deaerated $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at room temperature (excited at 377 nm ).


Fig. S9 Spatial plots of selected frontier molecular orbitals of (ptq) $)_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ obtained from TD-DFT calculation.


Fig. S10 PL spectra of $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac}),(\operatorname{ttq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ and $(\operatorname{tptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ in $4 \mathrm{wt} \%$ doped CBP films.


Fig. S11 Current density versus voltage characteristics with a function of doping concentration for devices with the structure of $\mathrm{ITO} / \mathrm{MoO}_{3} / \mathrm{CBP} / \mathrm{CBP}: \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{wt} \%$ $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac}) / \mathrm{TPBi} / \mathrm{LiF} / \mathrm{Al}$, where $\boldsymbol{x}=2,4$, and 8 .

Table S1. The summary of vacuum-deposited red PhOLEDs based on cyclometalated iridium complexes with EQEs of over than 20\%.

| $\mathbf{C o m p o u n d s}$ | $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ | EQE | CIE | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ | Org. Electron., 2009, 10, 240-246 |  |

Table S2. The top eight excited states of $(\mathrm{ptq})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{acac})$ computed by TD-DFT at the optimized ground-state geometries.

| No. | Excitation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Orbital <br> Composition $^{\mathrm{b}}(\%)$ | Orbital distribution | $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $f^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}$ | 95.0 | $\operatorname{acac} \pi+\operatorname{Ird} \rightarrow \operatorname{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 458 | 0.08 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{H}-5 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} ;$ <br> $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+3$ | 80.8 | $\operatorname{ptq} \pi+\operatorname{Ird} \rightarrow \operatorname{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 351 | 0.08 |


| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2 ; \\ & \mathrm{H}-4 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.1 \\ & 11.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Ir} d \rightarrow \operatorname{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 318 | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+3 ; \\ & \mathrm{H}-7 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.4 \\ & 11.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{ptq} \pi \rightarrow \operatorname{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 309 | 0.20 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2 ; \\ & \mathrm{H}-6 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.9 \\ & 21.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{ptq} \pi \rightarrow \operatorname{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 308 | 0.06 |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+7 \\ \mathrm{H}-6 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.6 \\ & 19.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{ptq} \pi+\mathrm{Ird} \rightarrow \mathrm{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 276 | 0.17 |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}-7 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2 ; \\ \mathrm{H}-11 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.4 \\ & 20.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{ptq} \pi \rightarrow \operatorname{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 267 | 0.14 |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+5 \\ & \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.9 \\ & 12.4 \end{aligned}$ | acac $\pi+\mathrm{Ir} \mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{ptq} \pi^{*}$ | 263 | 0.14 |

a The orbitals involved in the excitation ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{HOMO}$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{LUMO}$ ).
b The orbital pair contribution (\%) to excited states.
c Vertical excitation energy.
d Oscillator strengths.
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