Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Analytical Methods. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

## **Electronic supplementary material**

Development and comparison of regression models for determination of quality parameters

## in margarine spread samples using NIR spectroscopy

Anita Rácz<sup>1,\*</sup>, Marietta Fodor<sup>2</sup>, Károly Héberger<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plasma Chemistry Research Group, Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungarian Academy

of Sciences, H-1117 Budapest XI., Magyar tudósok körútja 2, Hungary

<sup>2</sup> Szent István University, Faculty of Food Science, Department of Applied Chemistry, H-1118

Budapest XI., Villányi út 29-43, Hungary

\* E-mail address: racz.anita@ttk.mta.hu

**Table S1:** Range and average values of the reference measurements for the four cases.

		Range	Average		
Spectral Mode	Fat (%)	Dry material (%)	Fat (%)	Dry material (%)	
Reflectance	19.3 - 61.3	39.7 - 80.7	39.2	60.5	
Transmission	17.7 - 57.5	19.5 - 59.7	35.3	38.1	

**Table S2:** Summary of the performance parameters of the models for the four datasets. Average values were calculated in all cases. RMSEC, RMSECV and RMSEP values are in %. Y means the measured content (dependent variable). RSD is the relative standard deviance in %.

Spectral mode	Y	R <sup>2</sup>	Q <sup>2</sup>	RMSEC	RMSECV	LVs	Q <sup>2</sup> ext	RMSEP	RSD (RMSEP, %)
Reflectance	Fat	0.9846	0.9772	1.6214	1.9955	4	0.7735	3.1525	20.30
	Dry material	0.9809	0.9730	1.8140	2.2245	5	0.8824	2.4370	11.53
Transmission	Fat	0.9543	0.9245	2.3378	2.8545	5	0.8507	3.3803	61.75
	Dry material	0.9704	0.9488	1.8676	2.2399	4	0.9199	2.8145	62.66

**Figure S1:** One example of the evaluated regression models. PLS regression with interval selection (50 splits) was used. The number of PLS components were 5 and random 5-fold CV was applied. Predicted Y values were plotted against the reference Y values. Blue dots are assigned to the calibration and red dots are assigned to the validation set.

