## Figure S1. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance

Methods: Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) was performed whereby $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}^{-1} \mathrm{Hep}^{-}$
${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ MAm and PEGDA samples were each dissolved in deuterated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Sigma), run on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer at 400 Hz , and analyzed using iNMR software. ${ }^{35}$ Percent modification was determined by dividing the integral of the methacrylamide peak by the heparin peak for Hep ${ }^{-}$ ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ MAm and the acrylate peaks by the PEG peak for PEGDA.

Results: Using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis, PEGDA was determined to be $\sim 55 \%$ functionalized (Figure S1A) and $\mathrm{Hep}^{-\mathrm{N}}$ methacrylamide was determined to be $22-28 \%$ functionalized (Figure S1B).


Figure S1. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR of poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate and $\mathbf{N}$-desulfated heparin methacrylamide. (A) Characteristic peaks for acrylate groups were present in the PEGDA spectra and (B) characteristic peaks for methacrylamide peaks were present in the N -desulfated heparin methacrylamide.

Figure S2. Microparticle size and morphology before and after TSG-6 loading
Methods: TSG-6 was loaded onto microparticles (MPs) using the same method for all other in vitro and in vivo studies. Briefly, $0.6 \mathrm{mg} 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Hep}^{-\mathrm{N}}$ MPs were incubated with $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ TSG-6 for 2 hours at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Phase microscopy and ImageJ software were used to image and quantify the diameter of microparticles before and after the loading protocol.

Results: MPs appear to have similar morphology (Figure S2A-B) and exhibit no difference in size distribution (Figure S2C) before and after loading.


Figure S2. Microparticle size and morphology before and after TSG-6 loading. (A-B) $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ $\mathrm{Hep}^{-\mathrm{N}}$ MPs appeared similar in morphology before and after TSG-6 loading, and (C) were not significantly different in average size distribution. Before loading and after loading group were not significantly different; $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$; two-tailed t -test; $\mathrm{n}>30$ microparticles per group; data shown as mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$.

