

Supporting Information:

On the decoupling of molecules at metal surfaces

Xiaosheng Yang,^{†,‡} Ina Krieger,[¶] Daniel Lüftner,[§] Simon Weiß,^{†,‡}
Timo Heepenstrick,[¶] Michael Hollerer,[§] Philipp Hurdax,[§] Georg Koller,[§]
Moritz Sokolowski,[¶] Peter Puschnig,[§] Michael G. Ramsey,[§] F. Stefan Tautz,^{†,‡}
and Serguei Soubatch^{*,†,‡}

[†]*Peter Grünberg Institut (PGI-3), Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany*

[‡]*Jülich Aachen Research Alliance (JARA)–Fundamentals of Future Information
Technology, 52425 Jülich, Germany*

[¶]*Institut für Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie, Universität Bonn, 53115 Bonn,
Germany*

[§]*Institute of Physics, University of Graz, NAWI Graz, 8010 Graz, Austria*

E-mail: s.subach@fz-juelich.de

Sample Preparation

A bilayer of MgO(100) was grown on Ag(100) following the recipe from Pal *et al.*^{S1} (*cf.* Ref. S2 for details). A $(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2})R45^\circ$ -2O Cu(100) missing-row reconstruction was prepared by exposing the Cu(100) surface to 750 L of O₂ at the temperature of 500 K^{S3–S5} and confirmed by the appearance of $\frac{1}{4}$ superstructure reflexes in the low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) pattern. Subsequently, a submonolayer of PTCDA was deposited from a pre-calibrated evaporator in ultra-high vacuum conditions onto the two surfaces mentioned above which were kept at room temperature.

Photoemission Experiments

The photoemission experiments were carried out using a toroidal electron analyzer^{S6} (photon energy $h\nu = 21$ eV, angle of incidence $\alpha = 40^\circ$) at the BESSY II storage ring, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin (HZB), Germany. This type of electron analyzer detects photoemission at polar angles between -85° and 85° simultaneously in the plane of incidence. The energy

distribution curves were generated from measured $E(k_{||})$ band maps along chosen azimuths where maxima or minima of molecular orbitals are expected by integrating the photoemission intensity in a $k_{||}$ range from $1.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ to $1.8 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ as shown in Fig. 1c,e in the main text.

Normal Incidence X-ray Standing Wave Experiments

The NIXSW experiments were performed at the beamline I09 of the Diamond Light Source, Didcot, UK. Photoelectron yield data were fitted with the program TORRICELLI.^{S7} The yield signal was integrated over the acceptance angle of analyzer. Angle-dependent non-dipolar correction parameters^{S8–S10} were calculated for a weighted average of the detection angles (74.8°). The energy of the (200) Bragg reflection in the experimental geometry with a 3.5° tilt angle against normal incidence was 3436 eV. Coherent positions P_c carries information about the height of the corresponding atoms above the crystal Bragg planes, while coherent fractions F_c specifies their vertical scatter. Coher-

Table S1: Coherent positions P_c and coherent fractions F_c from the NIXSW analysis and adsorption heights d of different chemical species with respect to the topmost copper layer. The adsorption height d with respect to the Bragg plane is given by $(n + P_c)d_{200}$ where $n = 0$ for O_{surf} , $n = 1$ for O_{an} , C_1 , and C_{234} , $n = 2$ for O_{carb} .

	P_c	F_c	$d(\text{\AA})$
O/Cu(100)			
O_{surf}	0.180(4)	1.16(7)	0.19(1)
PTCDA/O/Cu(100)			
O_{surf}	0.18(1)	1.13(3)	0.18(1)
O_{carb}	0.01(1)	0.41(1)	3.49(2)
O_{an}	0.96(1)	0.52(2)	3.41(2)
C_1	0.92(2)	0.73(8)	3.33(2)
C_{234}	0.927(3)	0.79(1)	3.342(5)

ent positions and coherent fractions, averaged over three data sets, are given in Table S1.

Density Functional Theory Calculations

Two types of calculations within the framework of DFT were performed: (1) for the gas-phase PTCDA molecule the ABINIT code^{S11} was used. The simulated momentum maps of the gas-phase molecule were obtained as the Fourier transforms of the respective Kohn–Sham orbitals.^{S12} (2) for PTCDA monolayers adsorbed on the oxygen-reconstructed Cu(100) as well as on the bare Cu(100) surface, the VASP code^{S13,S14} was used.

A repeated slab calculation was performed, where the metallic substrate was modeled by five metallic layers and a vacuum layer of ~ 15 Å was added between the slabs. To avoid spurious electrical fields, a dipole layer was inserted in the vacuum region.^{S15} In both cases the exchange-correlation effects have been calculated with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA).^{S16} We used a Monkhorst–Pack $3 \times 3 \times 1$ grid of k-points^{S17} and the projector augmented wave approach,^{S18} allowing a relatively low kinetic-energy cutoff of ~ 500 eV.

The super cell geometry has been constructed according to the experimental LEED structure of the PTCDA/Cu(100) interface.^{S19} On both surfaces, the adsorption height has been fixed to the experimentally obtained value of PTCDA on the oxygen-reconstructed Cu(100) surface (3.34 Å). The vdW-surf method according to Tkatchenko *et al.*^{S20,S21} was applied to account for van der Waals interactions.

References

- (S1) Pal, J.; Smerieri, M.; Celasco, E.; Savio, L.; Vattuone, L.; Rocca, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *112*, 126102.
- (S2) Hollerer, M.; Lüftner, D.; Hurdax, P.; Ules, T.; Soubatch, S.; Tautz, F. S.; Koller, G.; Puschnig, P.; Sterrer, M.; Ramsey, M. G. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11*, 6252–6260.
- (S3) Zeng, H.; McFarlane, R.; Mitchell, K. *Surf. Sci.* **1989**, *208*, L7–L14.
- (S4) Wuttig, M.; Franchy, R.; Ibach, H. *Surf. Sci.* **1989**, *213*, 103–136.
- (S5) Kittel, M.; Polcik, M.; Terborg, R.; Hoeft, J.-T.; Baumgärtel, P.; Bradshaw, A.; Toomes, R.; Kang, J.-H.; Woodruff, D.; Pascal, M.; Lamont, C.; Rotenberg, E. *Surf. Sci.* **2001**, *470*, 311–324.
- (S6) Broekman, L.; Tadich, A.; Huwald, E.; Riley, J.; Leckey, R.; Seyller, T.; Emtsev, K.; Ley, L. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **2005**, *144–147*, 1001–1004.
- (S7) Bocquet, F.; Mercurio, G.; Franke, M.; van Straaten, G.; Weiß, S.; Soubatch, S.; Kumpf, C.; Tautz, F. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2018**, in press.
- (S8) Fisher, C. J.; Ithin, R.; Jones, R. G.; Jackson, G. J.; Woodruff, D. P.; Cowie, B. C. C. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1998**, *10*, L623.

- (S9) van Straaten, G.; Franke, M.; Bocquet, F. C.; Tautz, F. S.; Kumpf, C. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **2018**, *222*, 106–116.
- (S10) Weiß, S.; Krieger, I.; Heepens-trick, T.; Soubatch, S.; Sokolowski, M.; Tautz, F. S. *Phys. Rev. B* **2017**, *96*, 075414.
- (S11) Gonze, X.; Amadon, B.; Anglade, P.-M.; Beuken, J.-M.; Bottin, F.; Boulanger, P.; Bruneval, F.; Caliste, D.; Caracas, R.; Côté, M.; Deutsch, T.; Genovese, L.; Ghosez, P.; Giantomassi, M.; Goedecker, S.; Hamann, D.; Hermet, P.; Jollet, F.; Jomard, G.; Leroux, S.; Mancini, M.; Mazevet, S.; Oliveira, M.; Onida, G.; Pouillon, Y.; Rangel, T.; Rig-nanese, G.-M.; Sangalli, D.; Shaltaf, R.; Torrent, M.; Verstraete, M.; Zerah, G.; Zwanziger, J. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2009**, *180*, 2582 – 2615.
- (S12) Puschnig, P.; Berkebile, S.; Flem-ing, A. J.; Koller, G.; Emtsev, K.; Seyller, T.; Riley, J. D.; Ambrosch-Draxl, C.; Netzer, F. P.; Ramsey, M. G. *Science* **2009**, *326*, 702–706.
- (S13) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1993**, *47*, 558–561.
- (S14) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1999**, *59*, 1758–1775.
- (S15) Neugebauer, J.; Scheffler, M. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1992**, *46*, 16067–16080.
- (S16) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- (S17) Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1976**, *13*, 5188–5192.
- (S18) Blöchl, P. E. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1994**, *50*, 17953–17979.
- (S19) Gärtner, S.; Fiedler, B.; Bauer, O.; Marele, A.; Sokolowski, M. M. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *10*, 2055–2064.
- (S20) Tkatchenko, A.; Scheffler, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, *102*, 073005.
- (S21) Ruiz, V. G.; Liu, W.; Zojer, E.; Scheffler, M.; Tkatchenko, A. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 146103.