Supplementary Information for:

A 1,2,3-Triazolate lithium salt with ionic liquid properties at room temperature

D. Flachard,^{a,b} J. Rolland,^b M. M. Obadia,^a A. Serghei,^a R. Bouchet,^{b,*} and E. Drockenmuller^{a,*}

^{*a*} Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, Ingénierie des Matériaux Polymères, UMR 5223, F-69003, Lyon, France

^b Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institute of Engineering Univ. Grenoble Alpes (Grenoble INP), LEPMI, 38000 Grenoble, France

Corresponding authors: R. Bouchet (renaud.bouchet@lepmi.grenoble-inp.fr) E. Drockenmuller (eric.drockenmuller@univ-lyon1.fr)

Materials. Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 99%), copper(I) iodide triethylphosphite (CuIP(OEt)₃, 97%), sodium hydroxyde (98%), hydrogen chloride (32 wt% in H₂O) and lithium carbonate (99.999%) were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Triethylene glycol monomethyl monopropargyl ether 1,¹ and azidomethyl pivalate 2,² were synthesized as described earlier.

Characterization methods

NMR spectroscopy was carried out with a Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for ¹H, 155.5 MHz for ⁷Li and 100 MHz for ¹³C. Spectra were obtained with a 5-mm QNP probe at 363 K. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm in reference to residual hydrogenated solvents for ¹H NMR, to the signal of the deuterated solvent for ¹³C NMR and to an internal standard for ⁷Li NMR.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed using a DSC Q200 (TA Instrument) calibrated with an indium standard. The sample was prepared using an hermetic pan and the experiment was conducted under a helium purge of 25 mL min⁻¹ on ca. 5 mg of lithium 1,2,3-triazolate 5. The sample was first heated to 120 ° C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ and isothermally annealed for 2 min. Then, the temperature was decreased to -80 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ followed by a second heating to 200 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The glass transition temperature (T_g) was measured at the mid-point of the transition (on the second heating cycle) using the TA Thermal Analysis software.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a TGA Q500 apparatus (TA Instruments). A heating ramp from 20 to 600 °C was applied at 10 °C min⁻¹ under a helium purge of 60 mL min⁻¹ to ca. 5 mg of lithium 1,2,3-triazolate **5**.

Broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS). The bulk ionic conductivity of lithium 1,2,3-triazolate 5 was measured by BDS using a high resolution Alpha-Analyzer (Novocontrol GmbH) assisted by a Quatro temperature controller. The sample was prepared by casting 1 mL of a 100 mg mL⁻¹ solution of 5 in dichloromethane onto a freshly polished platinum electrode (diameter $\emptyset = 1$ "), followed by an overnight annealing treatment at 60 °C under vacuum to eliminate solvent residues and moisture. After annealing, the sample was pressed against another platinum electrode ($\emptyset = 2^{\circ}$) and the thickness was controlled by employing 100 µm thick Teflon spacers to build-up a measurement cell in a parallel plate configuration with a well-defined separation distance. The complex conductivity function $\sigma^*(\omega, T) =$ $\sigma'(\omega,T) + i\sigma''(\omega,T)$ was measured several times at 30 °C in the cryostat of the dielectric spectrometer under flow of pure nitrogen, before and during a thermal annealing of 5 hours at 110 °C. Meanwhile, the sample was measured several times under isothermal conditions at temperatures ranging from 110 to -70 °C in steps of 10 °C, using a heating/cooling rate of 2K/min to reach the isothermal plateaus for the dielectric mesurements. The dielectric measurements were carried-out by applying frequency sweeps from 10 MHz to 0.1 Hz using a sinusoidal voltage of 0.1 V. The low value of applied voltage excludes any possible non-linear effects that can take place at the interface with the measurement electrodes. The temperature was controlled by heating the sample under a flow of pure nitrogen, which excluded the presence of oxygen and moisture in the measurement chamber. The thermal stability was set to be better than 0.1 K in absolute values with relative variations less than 0.2 K min⁻¹ for the heating/cooling stabilization process around the setpoint temperature.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The conductivity of a 1 M solution of lithium 1,2,3triazolate 5 in a 1:1 vol./vol. mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) was measured by EIS using a VMP300 (Biologic). The solution was prepared in an argon filled glove box (Jacomex, O_2 , $H_2O < 0.5$ ppm) and a home-made tightened conductimetric cell assembled using a conductivity micro-electrode (Hack Lange). After assembly the cell was placed in a climatic chamber (Vötsch 4002) outside of the glovebox to perform the electrochemical characterizations. For the AC impedance spectroscopy, an excitation signal between 10 and 40 mV in a frequency range between 10⁷ and 1 Hz, was used to determine the electrolyte ionic conductivity, σ . The temperature program consists of a heating scan from 25 to 60 °C in 5 °C steps. A stabilization time of 1 h was used at each step. The electrolyte resistance, R_{el} , was extracted from the impedance spectra fitted by means of an equivalent electrical circuit simply composed of the R_{el} in parallel with the electrolyte pseudocapacitance, CPE_{el} (Constant Phase Element). R_{el} corresponds to the low frequency extrapolation of the semi-circle on the real axis. The conductivity is calculated by dividing the conductivity cell constant by the electrolyte resistance R_{el} . The cell constant was calibrated before and after each experiment using a reference solution of KCl.

Acid/base titration, pK_a and dissociation ratio. Before pH titrations, the pH meter MeterLab PHM210 was calibrated according to the instructions supplied by the manufacturer. 0.01 M Aqueous solutions of 1,2,3-triazolate 5 were titrated using a 0.1 M aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid. During the titrations, the titrant was added in increments of 0.1 mL and the pH values were recorded. The titration was repeated three times. pK_a was taken as the pH of the titration solution at $V_{eq}/2$. The protonation ratio (α) was calculated as follows assuming that the activity is equal to the concentration:

Considering the following reaction

	TN-	+	H_2O	\rightarrow	TNH	+	OH-
t_0	C_0		/		0		0
t_{∞}	$C_0(1-\alpha)$		/		$C_0 \alpha$		$C_0 \alpha$

with TN⁻ the 1,2,3-triazolate anion, TNH the protonated 1,2,3-triazole 4, C_0 the concentration of 5 at time t₀, and α the protonation ratio.

 α was calculated by resolving the quadratic equation in α resulting from the ICE table, using the acidity constant and the equation below:

 $\alpha = (1 + (1 + 4(K_{a}.C/K_{w})^{0.5})/(2(K_{a}/K_{w}.C))$

with $K_a = 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$, $K_w = 1.0 \times 10^{-14}$ the ionic product of water and C = 1 M.

For a 1 M aqueous solution of **5** the dissociation ratio $\alpha = 2 \times 10^{-3}$.

Ionic conductivity in water. Ionic conductivity of 1 M aqueous solutions of 1,2,3-triazolate **5** were measured using a high resolution Alpha – Analyzer (Novocontrol GmbH). In a typical procedure, solutions (200 μ L) were introduced between two brass electrodes ($\emptyset = 2$ cm) using 300 μ m thick teflon spacers. Isothermal frequency sweeps were then performed from 10 MHz to 0.1 Hz by applying a sinusoidal voltage of 0.1 V at room temperature. The conductivity was derived from the plateau-value observed in the spectral dependence of the conductivity function ($\sigma' = \omega \epsilon'' \epsilon_0$, where ω is the frequency, ϵ_0 the vacuum permittivity and ϵ'' the dielectric loss).

Cyclic voltamperometry (CV). A 1 M solution of lithium 1,2,3-triazolate **5** in a 1:1 (vol./vol.) mixture EC and DMC was soaked into a Celgard separator and sandwiched between a lithium foil and a working electrode before being assembled in a two electrode coin cell in an argon filled glove box. For the analysis of the oxidation stability a stainless steel electrode was used as working electrode, whereas for the reduction stability a copper electrode was used. The lithium foil served both as reference and counter electrode. After assembly, the coin cells are placed in an oven (Memmert IP30) at 25 °C. The cyclic voltammetry were made thanks to a potentiostat/galvanostat VMP300 from Biologic at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ in the range 3 to 4.2 V vs Li⁺/Li in oxidation and 2 to -0.3 V vs Li⁺/Li in reduction.

Synthesis procedures

Synthesis of 1,2,3-triazole 3.

CuIP(OEt)₃ (0.27 g, 0.74 mmol) and DIPEA (1.92 g, 14.9 mmol) were added to a solution of triethylene glycol monomethyl monopropargyl ether 1 (3.00 g, 14.9 mmol) and azidomethyl pivalate 2 (2.91 g, 18.6 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (30 mL). The mixture was stirred under argon for 24 h at 60 °C before being evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate as eluent to yield, after evaporation under reduced pressure, 3 as a colorless oil (4.10 g, 76.9%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.78 (s, CH=CN, 1H), 6.18 (s, NCH₂OC(O)C(CH₃)₃, 2H), 4.65 (s, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 2H), 3.68-3.56 (m, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 10H), 3.52-3.47 (m, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 2H), 3.32 (s, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 3H), 1.14 (s, NCH₂OC(O)C(CH₃)₃, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 177.54 (CH=CN), $(NCH_2OC(O)C(CH_3)_3),$ 145.62 123.92 (CH=CN), 71.76 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 70.43. 70.36. 69.53 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂. $NCH_2OC(O)C(CH_3)_3)$. 64.34 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 58.87 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 38.62 (NCH₂OC(O)C(CH₃)₃), 26.67 (NCH₂OC(O)C(CH₃)₃). HRMS: (ESI, m/z): [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₉N₃NaO₆, 382.1949; found, 382.1937.



Figure S1. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) of 1,2,3-triazole 3.



Figure S2. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) of 1,2,3-triazole 3.

Synthesis of 1H-1,2,3-triazole 4.

NaOH_{aq} (1 M, 43.9 mL, 43.9 mmol) was added to a solution of **3** (6.30 g, 17.5 mmol) in methanol (80 mL). The reaction was stirred at 60 °C for 18 h or until the total disapearance of the intermediate derivative having a CH₂OH substituent at the N-1 position of the 1,2,3-triazole ring (the disapearance of the CH₂ singlet signal at 5.77 ppm in CDCl₃ could be monitored by ¹H NMR). HCl_{aq} (1 M, 43.9 mL, 43.9 mmol) was then added and the solution was evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The remaining solid was washed several times with dichloromethane to extract the crude product as a slightly colored oil after solvent evaporation. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate to yield, after evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, 4 as a colorless oil (3.38 g, 78.7%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 15.29-11.34 (bs, NH, 1H), 7.63 (s, CH=CN, 1H), 4.66 (s, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 2H), 3.82-3.56 (m, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 10H), 3.56-3.44 (m, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 2H), 3.31 (s, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 142.11 (CH=CN), 129.73 (CH=CN), 71.64 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 70.37. 70.30. 70.23 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 69.41 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 63.69 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 58.77 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂). HRMS: (ESI, m/z): $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{10}H_{20}N_3O_4$, 246.1448; found, 246.1444.



Figure S4. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) of 1H-1,2,3-triazole 4.

Synthesis of lithium 1,2,3-triazolate 5.

Lithium hydride (50 mg, 6.29 mmol) was added to a solution of **4** (1.54 g, 6.29 mmol) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (10 mL). The reaction was stirred at 25 °C for 2 h. The solution was filtered through 0.45 μ m PTFE filters and was evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in acetone, maintained at -40 °C overnight, centrifuged and the liquid phase was evaporated to dryness under reduced presure. This procedure was repeated using chloroform and dichloromethane. The final solution was passed through a short plug of calcium chloride to yield, after evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, **5** as a colorless oil (1.56 g, 99.0%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.40 (s, CH=CN, 1H), 4.48 (s, CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH

3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 140.98 (CH=CN), 130.60 (CH=CN), 71.38 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 70.13, 70.03, 69.97, 69.79 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 67.72 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 64.31 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), 58.68 (CH₃OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂), ⁷Li NMR (155.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -0.863 (Li⁺). HRMS: (ESI, m/z): [M]⁻ calcd for C₁₀H₁₈N₃O₄, 244.1303; found, 244.1307.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) of lithium 1,2,3-triazole 5.



Figure S6. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) of lithium 1,2,3-triazole 5.



Figure S7. ⁷Li NMR (CDCl₃, 155.5 MHz) of lithium 1,2,3-triazole 5.



Figure S8. DSC trace of lithium 1,2,3-triazolate 5.





Figure S10. Ionic conductivity (σ_{Bulk}) at 30 °C measured by BDS in bulk and under anhydrous conditions versus annealing time at 110 °C under nitrogen within the spectrometer for lithium 1,2,3-triazolate **5**. Data point circled in red corresponds to experimental results given in Table 1, Figure 2 and Figure S8.



Figure S11. Conductivity σ' as a function of frequency at temperatures ranging from 110 to -70 °C for lithium 1,2,3-triazolate **5**. Measurements were performed after annealing the sample for ca. 5h at 110 °C which correspond to the data circled in red in Figure S7.



Figure S12. Impedance spectra in Nyquist coordinates obtained at temperatures ranging from 25 °C to 60 °C of a 1 M solution of 1,2,3-triazolate **5** in a 1:1 (vol/vol) mixture of EC and DMC.



Figure S13. Conductivity σ ' as a function of frequency at 25 °C for a 1 M aqueous solution of lithium 1,2,3-triazolate 5.

Table S1. Solu	ubility of lithium	1,2,3-triazolate 5
----------------	--------------------	--------------------

H ₂ O ^[a]	Heptane	МеОН	EtOAc	Acetone	Et ₂ O	THF	CH_2Cl_2	CHCl ₃	CH ₃ CN	EC	DMC	PhCH ₃	DMF	DMSO
++	_	++	_	++	_	++	++	++	++	++	++	_	++	++

^[a]: "++" indicates solubility at 10 mg mL⁻¹ while "-" indicates no detectable solubility at 1 mg mL⁻¹.

References

Y. Feng, J. Li, L. Jiang, Z. Gao, W. Huang, F. Jiang, N. Luo, S. Han, R. Zeng and D. Yang, *Eur. J. Chem.*, 2011, 2011, 562.
J. C. Loren, A. Krasinski, V. V. Fokin and K. B. Sharpless, *Synlett.*, 2005, 18, 2847.