Supporting Information

Water solubility is essential for fluorescent probes to image hypochlorous acid in live cells

Panfei Xing,^{‡a} Zhe Zhang,^{‡a} Yiming Niu,^a Yaning Qi,^b Lei Dong^{*c} and Chunming Wang^{*a}

Table of Contents

Experimental section
The calculation of LOD
Cell Culture
Supplemental figures
Fig. S1
Fig. S24
Fig. S34
Fig. S45
Fig. S55
Fig. S66
Fig. S76
Fig. S86
Fig. S97
Fig. S107
Fig. S118
Fig. S129
Fig. S1310
Characterization
Fig. S14 ¹ H NMR11
Fig. S15 ¹ H NMR11
Fig. S16 ¹³ C NMR12
Fig. S17 ¹ H NMR12
Fig. S18 ¹³ C NMR13
Fig. S19 ¹ H NMR13
Fig. S20 ¹³ C NMR14
Fig. S21 HRMS14
Fig. S22 HRMS15
Fig. S23 HRMS15
Fig. S24 HRMS16
Fig. S25 HRMS16
Reference

Experimental section

Materials and Instruments. All the solvents and chemical reagents were commercial source, analytical grade and used without further purification. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 600 MHz AVANCE III spectrometer with chemical shifts reported in ppm at room temperature. Mass spectra were obtained with Thermo Scientific MSQ Plus mass spectrometer (USA). Absorption spectra were collected by using HACH DR6000 UV/VIS Spectrophotometer (USA). Fluorescence spectra were measured with a Horiba Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorometer. The fluorescence imaging of cells was performed using a Leica SP8 TCS confocal laser scanning microscope.

NDMTC was synthesized according to our reported paper.¹ And QCy 7 derivatives and 4-Methylumbelliferone was synthesized according to the reported literature.²

4-Methylumbelliferone. Concentrated phosphoric acid (10 mL, 85%) was added into a mixture of 3-hydroxylphenol (1.1 g, 10 mmol) and ethyl acetoacetate (1.3 mL, 10 mmol). Then the mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After the reaction complete, the mixture was poured into 30 mL water. The crude product was filtered and purified on silica gel (dichloromethane/petroleum ether = 1:1) to afford a white solid with a yield of 79%. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.58 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (dd, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (dd, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (d, 3H).

Synthesis of CAMTC. A mixture of 4-Methylumbelliferone (176 mg, 1.0 mmol) and N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (150 µL) was dissolved in dichloromethane (30 mL), stirring for 15 mins. Then, dimethylcarbamothioic chloride (250 mg, 2.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for another 6 h. The crude product was purified on silica gel (dichloromethane/petroleum ether = 1:1) to afford a white solid with a yield of 63%.¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (d, 1H), 7.05 (dd, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 2.43 (d, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.70, 160.58, 156.20, 154.06, 152.06, 124.99, 119.64, 117.93, 114.51, 111.77, 43.39, 38.97, 18.78. m/z: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₃NNaO₃S⁺ 286.0508, Found 286.0513.

Synthesis of QYMTC and **QEMTC.** QCy 7 derivatives (1.0 mmol) and and N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (150 µL) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (20 mL), stirring for 20 mins. Then, dimethylcarbamothioic chloride (250 mg, 2.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for another 20 h. The crude product was purified on silica gel (dichloromethane/methanol = 5:1) to afford a red solid with a yield of 41% and 39%, respectively. **QYMTC.** ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.32 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (dd, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.45 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.20-8.13 (m, 1H), 8.09 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (dd, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.71-7.58 (m, 4H), 5.04-4.96 (m, 2H), 3.22-3.14 (m, 2H), 2.93 (s, 2H), 2.69 (dd, 4H), 2.44-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.86 (s, 6H), 1.73 (s, 6H), 1.15 (t, 3H), 1.05 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 182.28, 181.92, 163.03, 151.55, 149.46, 144.43, 143.97, 140.92, 140.80, 138.37, 137.69, 136.61, 135.87, 132.67, 131.71, 129.95, 129.88, 129.31, 129.16, 123.13, 115.84, 115.65, 115.38, 68.96, 65.06, 52.72, 52.21, 48.42, 47.09, 46.99, 45.95, 45.52, 34.24, 25.79, 25.72, 25.58, 15.17, 8.50. m/z: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₃₉H₄₅N₃NaO₇S₃⁺ 786.2312, Found 786.2381. **QEMTC.** ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆)

δ 9.47 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (dd, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.60 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.51-8.45 (m, 1H), 8.38-8.34 (m, 2H), 8.33 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (dd, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.11 (dd, 2H), 2.88 (dd, 4H), 2.85 (d, 2H), 2.78-2.71 (m, 2H), 2.40-2.30 (dd, 4H), 2.14 (s, 6H), 2.00 (s, 6H), 1.18 (t, 6H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 185.63, 183.44, 182.63, 156.76, 151.48, 143.82, 139.80, 139.66, 139.06, 138.95, 135.06, 133.93, 133.84, 132.18, 131.73, 131.60, 130.62, 130.54, 129.30, 128.95, 128.03, 127.87, 127.19, 125.74, 123.89, 123.46, 115.29, 114.23, 113.94, 54.59, 54.21, 47.67, 47.63, 43.57, 34.53, 26.24, 26.16, 26.11, 26.06, 25.55, 25.48. m/z: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₄₇H₄₉N₃NaO₇S₃⁺ 886.2625, Found 886.2634.

The calculation of LOD

The fluorescence intensity of ten reagent blank samples containing no HCIO was measured, and the mean as well as the standard deviation (SD) was calculated.

$LOD = 3\sigma/k$

Where 3 is used for signal to noise ratio, σ is the SD of blank measurement and k is the slope of the calibration curve

Cell Culture

RAW 264.7 cells were incubated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Gibco), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Then cells were transferred to culture dishes. Cell imaging was carried out after washing the cells with PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4).





Fig. S1 Concentration-dependent absorption of different equivalent of NaClO (1-5 equiv.) in different solutions. The value was collected at the maximum absorption. 1, H_2O ; 2, PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4); 3, DMSO; 4, PBS/DMSO (1:1, v/v); 5, EtOH; 6, PBS/EtOH (1:1, v/v).



Fig. S2 Photos of CAMTC, NDMTC, QYMTC, and QEMTC (20 μ M for each) in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4) solution.



Fig. S3 Contact angle (CA) CAMTC, NDMTC, QYMTC, and QEMTC.



Fig. S4 The solvent and temperature effect on the absorption of probes in the presence of NaClO. a) Absorption response of **CAMTC**, **NDMTC**, **QYMTC** and **QEMTC** (10 μ M for each) in the presence of NaClO (150 μ M) in different solutions (PBS; PBS/EtOH, 1:1, v/v; PBS/DMSO, 1:1, v/v); b) **CAMTC** and **NDMTC** were prepared in PBS/EtOH (1:1, v/v); **QYMTC** and **QEMTC** were prepared in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4). A and A' represent the maximum absorbance value with and without the addition of NaClO, respectively.



Fig. S5 The temperature effect on the fluorescence of probes in the presence of NaClO. **CAMTC** and **NDMTC** were prepared in PBS/EtOH (1:1, v/v); **QYMTC** and **QEMTC** were prepared in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4).



Fig. S6 Plot of fluorescence intensity versus time for the reaction of QYMTC (10 μ M) and QEMTC (10 μ M) with NaClO in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4).



Fig. S7 Linear relationship between different concentration of HCIO and the emission of probe **QYMTC** (10 μ M, a) and **QEMTC** (10 μ M, b) in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4).



Fig. S8 Linear relationship between different concentration of HCIO and the absorption of probe **QYMTC** (10 μ M, a) and **QEMTC** (10 μ M, b) in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4).



Fig. S9 Absorption and emission spectrum of QYMTC (10 μ M, a) and QEMTC (10 μ M, b) upon the addition of saturated HCIO in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4).



Fig. S10 Competitive experiments for HCIO selectivity. Fluorescence responses of CAMTC (10 μ M) and NDMTC (10 μ M) to HCIO (150 μ M) and other ROS/RNS (200 μ M for each) in PBS/EtOH (10 mM, pH 7.4; v/v, 1:1) at room temperature.



Fig. S11 The cytotoxicity of different concentrations of CAMTC, NDMTC, QYMTC, and QEMTC (0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μ M) in RAW 264.7 cells.





Fig. S12 Proposed mechanism for the HCIO detection for QYMTC and QEMTC.

Donor

SO3

Acceptor

SO₃



Fig. S13 Confocal imaging of **CAMTC** and **NDMTC** in the detection of exogenous and endogenous HCIO. NaCIO (20 μ M) to generate exogenous HCIO, LPS (0.5 μ g/mL) plus PMA (1 μ g/mL) as an established protocol to induce endogenous HCIO, and the cells received no further treatment as control group. Then cells were incubated with **CAMTC** (10 μ M, as a) or **NDMTC** 10 μ M, as b) for 20 mins, fixed with formaldehyde, and stained with PI (100 ng mL⁻¹, for **CAMTC**) or DAPI (100 ng mL⁻¹, for **NDMTC**) for another 10 mins. Scale bar was 50 μ m. The excitation wavelength for **CAMTC** was 405 nm and the emissions were collected at 430–520 nm; The excitation wavelength for **NDMTC** was 488 nm and the emissions were collected at 520-580 nm; The excitation wavelength for **DAPI** was 405 nm and the emissions were collected at 430–460 nm; The excitation wavelength for **PI** was 535 nm and the emissions were collected at 550-650 nm.

Characterization



Fig. S14 ¹H NMR of 4-Methylumbelliferone in DMSO-*d*₆.



Fig. S15 ¹H NMR of CAMTC in CDCl₃.







Fig. S17 ¹H NMR of QYMTC in DMSO-*d*₆.



Fig. S18 ¹³C NMR of QYMTC in DMSO-*d*₆.



Fig. S19 ¹H NMR of QEMTC in DMSO-*d*₆.



Fig. S20 ¹³C NMR of QEMTC in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S21 HRMS of CAMTC [M+Na]⁺.







Fig. S23 HRMS of QEMTC [M+Na]⁺.



Fig. S24 HRMS of QYMTC with the addition of NaClO [M+Na]⁺.



Fig. S25 HRMS of QEMTC with the addition of NaClO [M+Na]⁺.

Reference

- 1. P. Xing, Y. Feng, Y. Niu, Q. Li, Z. Zhang, L. Dong and C. Wang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 5748-5453.
- (a) Y.-F. Kang, H.-X. Qiao, Y.-L. Meng, S.-J. Cui, Y.-J. Han, Z.-Y. Wu, J. Wu, X.-H. Jia, X.-L. Zhang and M.-Y. Dai, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 94866-94869; (b) O. Redy-Keisar, E. Kisin-Finfer, S. Ferber, R. Satchi-Fainaro and D. Shabat, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2014, **9**, 27-36.