Supplementary Information

Superior high rate capability of MgMn₂O₄/rGO nanocomposites used as a cathode material for aqueous rechargeable magnesium ion battery

Guang Liu,^a Qingguo Chi,^a Yongquan Zhang,^{*abc} Qingguo Chen,^a Changhai

Zhang,^{ac} Kai Zhu,^b and Dianxue Cao*^b

^a Key Laboratory of Engineering Dielectrics and Its Application (Ministry of Education), School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Harbin University of Science and Technology, Harbin 150080, PR China.

^b Key Laboratory of Superlight Materials and Surface Technology (Ministry of Education), College of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, PR China.

^c School of Science, Harbin University of Science and Technology, Harbin 150080, PR China.

* Corresponding author: E-mail: yqzhang@hrbust.edu.cn (Yongquan Zhang), caodianxue@hrbeu.edu.cn (Dianxue Cao).

Synthesis of MgMn₂O₄ nanomaterials

MgMn₂O₄ nanomaterials were prepared by sol-gel method. First, the masses of magnesium nitrate hexahydrate, manganese nitrate tetrahydrate, citric acid and ethylene glycol were weighed according to the molar ratio of 0.5 : 1 : 3 : 9, then the weighed products were completely dissolved in 30 mL of deionized water. The mixture became viscous gel after being stirred for 12 h at a constant temperature of 80°C. After being dried in a vacuum oven at 200°C for 12 h, the sample was fully ground using a mortar. Finally, the MgMn₂O₄ nanomaterials were obtained by calcining for 10 h at 550°C. The specific preparation process is shown in Fig. S1.



Fig. S1 Preparation flow chart of the MgMn₂O₄ nanomaterials.

Synthesis of MgMn₂O₄/GO nanomaterials

Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared according to the modified Hummers method.¹ The MgMn₂O₄/rGO nanomaterials were prepared by the mechanical stirring method. Typically, a certain amount of MgMn₂O₄ and GO were respectively weighed and uniformly dispersed in 30 mL of deionized water with ultrasound for 1 h. Then the mixture was kept stirring at 70°C for 12 h using a magnetic stirrer. The sample was washed with deionized water and dried in a vacuum oven at 80°C. Finally, the MgMn₂O₄/rGO nanomaterials were obtained by calcining in a muffle furnace at 350°C for 2 h. The specific preparation process is shown in Fig. S2.



Fig. S2 Preparation flow chart of the MgMn₂O₄/rGO composite materials.

Material characterizations

X-ray diffraction (XRD, EMPYREAN) was carried out to investigate the crystalline phases of the synthesized materials using Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) at 40 kV and 40 mA. The morphologies of the materials were observed by using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, HITACHI S-3400N). For measurement of electronic conductivity, the samples were prepared into thin film electrodes on a copper substrate with the thickness about 30 µm. Then, the electrical conductivities of the materials were measured by the two-electrode method using the Precision Premier II Ferroelectric Tester (Radiant, Inc.).

Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical experiments were performed by using a conventional three-electrode electrochemical cell, which is consisting of a working electrode (active material), a counter electrode (graphite rod) and a reference electrode (saturated calomel electrode, SCE). The working electrode was made by a slurry containing 80% active material, 10% super-P, and 10% PVDF binder dissolved in NMP, and carbon cloth was used as the current collector. The specific preparation process of the working electrode is as follows: firstly, we weighed a certain amount of active material and super-P according to the mass ratio of 8 : 1, and grind them in a mortar until well mixed. Then, the desired mixed slurry was obtained by mixing with the corresponding mass of PVDF solution. The mixed slurry was uniformly spread on a carbon cloth and kept it at 80°C for 24 h in a vacuum oven to be sufficiently dried, and then naturally cooled to room temperature to obtain the working electrode. The working electrode was 1×1 cm² in size with the active substance mass loading of ~5.5 mg cm⁻². The electrolyte used was 0.5 mol L^{-1} MgCl₂ dissolved in deionized water. Galvanostatic charge-discharge cycling performance was performed on a LANHE automatic battery tester (CT2001A, China). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out with a multichannel potentiostat (VMP3/Z, Bio-Logic).

The XRD patterns of the electrode materials are shown in Fig. S3. It can be seen that there is no significant difference between the diffraction peaks of the two electrode materials. The positions of the main diffraction peaks are $2\theta = 18.18^{\circ}$, 32.87° , 36.84° , 44.72° and 60.64° , consistenting with the powder diffraction standards (JCPDS) card No. 72-1336. It indicates that the samples are the pure spinel MgMn₂O₄, and there is no other impurity. However, we can find that there are no characteristic diffraction peaks of rGO in the XRD patterns of MgMn₂O₄/rGO composite material, due to the low content of rGO in the materials.



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of the MgMn₂O₄ and MgMn₂O₄/rGO composite

materials.

Table S1 Electrical conductivity of the MgMn ₂ O ₄ and MgMn ₂ O ₄ /rGO samples		
	MgMn ₂ O ₄	MgMn ₂ O ₄ /rGO
Electronic conductivity [S cm ⁻¹]	1.07×10^{-10}	2.13×10^{-6}

The relationship between electrical conductivity and magnesium ion

diffusion coefficient.

The chemical diffusion coefficient is a transport parameter reflecting both the ionic and electronic transports that occur within an active material. It can be expressed as a product of a self-diffusion coefficient $D_{Ma(self)}$ and a Wagner factor Φ .²⁻³

$$D_{Mg} = D_{Mg(\text{self})} \times \boldsymbol{\Phi} \tag{1}$$

 $D_{Mg(self)}$, which is majorly determined by the structural properties of the material, is related to the ionic mobility or viscosity of an active material. The Wagner factor Φ , which generally reflects the influence of electrons motion on the diffusion of the ions in the internal electric field, fundamentally depends on the mobilities and concentrations of the ions and electrons in the active material. Incorporation of reduced graphene oxides (rGO) optimizes the interfacial properties of MgMn₂O₄ and improves the electrical conductivity of the electrode materials. Therefore, the diffusion of Mg²⁺ ions is facilitated under a stronger internal electric field.



Fig. S4 The initial three CVs of the rGO at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹.



Fig. S5 Cycling performance of the $MgMn_2O_4$ and $MgMn_2O_4/rGO$ samples at the current density of 50 mA g⁻¹ for the initial 20 cycles.

We performed galvanostatic charge-discharge tests at different current densities for electrode materials in a voltage window of -0.5 V \sim 0.85 V. Fig. S5 shows the charge-discharge curves of the two electrodes at different current densities, ranging from 50 mAh g⁻¹ to 1000 mAh g⁻¹. It can be seen that the MgMn₂O₄/rGO composite electrode has a more pronounced discharge plateau, which means that the $MgMn_2O_4/rGO$ composite material can insert more magnesium ions and achieve higher discharge specific capacity. The discharge specific capacities of the MgMn₂O₄ and MgMn₂O₄/rGO at the current density of 50 mA g^{-1} are 131.4 mAh g⁻¹ and 211.8 mAh g⁻¹, respectively. In particular, the discharge specific capacity of the MgMn₂O₄/rGO reached 140.1 mAh g⁻¹ at the current density of 1000 mA g⁻¹. This is because the coated rGO significantly improves the electronic conductivity of the material and promotes the rapid transport of magnesium ions. Therefore, the $MgMn_2O_4/rGO$ composite electrode is promising to exhibit the excellent electrochemical property.



Fig. S6 Charge-discharge curves of the $MgMn_2O_4$ (a) and $MgMn_2O_4/rGO$

(b) at different current densities.

Table 52 Study on the WgWh204 cathode material for magnesian for batteries		
Research Team	Current Density	Discharge Specific Capacity
Our work	50 mA g ⁻¹	211.8 mAh g ⁻¹
Our work	200 mA g ⁻¹	181.4 mAh g ⁻¹
Cabello ⁴	0.06 mA g ⁻¹	160 mAh g ⁻¹
Yin ⁵	27.2 mA g ⁻¹	162 mAh g ⁻¹
Nupur ⁶	45.5 mA g ⁻¹	42 mAh g ⁻¹
Shi ⁷	200 mA g ⁻¹	152.8 mAh g ⁻¹

Table S2 Study on the MgMn₂O₄ cathode material for magnesium ion batteries

References

- 1 A. M. Dimiev and J. M. Tour, ACS Nano, 2014, **8**, 3060.
- 2 L. A. Montoro and J. M. Rosolen, *Electrochim. Acta* 2004, **49**, 3243.
- 3 Y. Zhang, Y. Meng, K. Zhu, H. Qiu, Y. Ju, Y. Gao, F. Du, B. Zou, G. Chen, Y. Wei, *ACS Appl. Mater. Inter.*, 2016, **8**, 7957.
- 4 L. Wang, P. E. Vullum, K. Asheim, X. Wang, A. M. Svensson and F. Vullum-Bruer, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **48**, 227.
- 5 J. Yin, A. B. Brady, E. S. Takeuchi, A. C. Marschilok and K. J. Takeuchi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 3665.
- 6 N. N. Sinha and N. Munichandraiah, *Electrochem. Solid ST.*, 2008, **11**, F23.
- 7 S. Tao, W. Huang, Y. Liu, S. Chen, B. Qian, and L. Song, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 8210.