Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Organic amines as templates: pore imprints with exactly size matching in a series of metal-organic frameworks

Nian Zhao, [†] Lun Yang, [†] Bo Xie, [†] Juanjuan Han, [†] Qiyun Pan, [†] Xiang Li,

[†] Meifeng Liu, [†] Yu Wang, [†] Xiuzhang Wang, [†] and Guangshan Zhu^{*,‡}

† Institute for Advanced Materials, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi 435002, China

‡Key Laboratory of Polyoxometalate Science of the Ministry of Education, Faculty of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China

E-mail: zhugs@jlu.edu.cn

Materials: H-DCI (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), CuI (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), MeCN (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), DEA (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), DPPA (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), DMP (98%, Sigma-Aldrich) and TMHDA (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), HCOOH (98%, Sigma-Aldrich) were all purchased without further purification.

Synthesis of MCIF-2: CuI (19 mg, 0.1 mmol), H-DCI (12 mg, 0.1 mmol) were dissolved in 5 ml MeCN with stirring for 10 minutes. Then one drop of DEA was added with stirring carefully. Large amounts of white precipitates were formed and then HCOOH was added drop by drop till the slurry got clear. After staying at room temperature for 3 days, colorless block crystals were collected and washed with MeCN for 3 times and air dried. The yield was about 40% based on CuI. Elemental analysis for $[Cu_4I_4(DCI)(HDEA)] = C_7H_8N_5Cu_4I_4$, calculated (%): C, 9.09; H, 0.86; N, 7.57. Found (%): C, 9.10; H, 0.90; N, 7.50.

Synthesis of MCIF-3: CuI (19 mg, 0.1 mmol), H-DCI (12 mg, 0.1 mmol) were dissolved in 5 ml MeCN with stirring for 10 minutes. Then one drop of DPPA was added with stirring carefully. Large amounts of white precipitates were formed and then HCOOH was added drop by drop till the slurry got clear. After staying at room temperature for about 3 days, colorless block crystals were collected and washed with MeCN for 3 times and air dried. The yield was about 35% based on CuI. Elemental analysis for $[Cu_4I_4(DCI)(HDPPA)] = C_{14}H_{23}N_5Cu_4I_4$, calculated (%): C, 16.42; H, 2.25; N, 6.84. Found (%): C, 16.50; H, 2.30; N, 6.80.

Synthesis of MCIF-4: CuI (19 mg, 0.1 mmol), H-DCI (12 mg, 0.1 mmol) were dissolved in 5 ml MeCN with stirring for 10 minutes. Then one drop of TMHDA was added with stirring carefully. Large amounts of white precipitates were formed and then HCOOH was added drop by drop till the slurry got clear. After staying at room temperature for 3 days, colorless block crystals were collected and washed with MeCN for 3 times and air dried. The yield was about 35% based on CuI. Elemental analysis for $[Cu_{16}I_{16}(DCI)_4(HTMHDA)_2(H_2O)] = C_{40}H_{56}N_{20}Cu_{16}I_{16}$, calculated (%): C, 12.42; H, 1.45; N, 7.25. Found (%): C, 16.40; H, 1.50; N, 7.28.

Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography. Single Crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker-AXS SMART APEX2 CCD diffractometer (Mo K α , λ = 0.71073 Å). Indexing was performed using APEX2 (Difference Vectors method). Data integration and reduction were performed using SaintPlus. Absorption correction was performed by multiscan method implemented in SADABS. Space groups were determined using XPREP implemented in APEX2. Structures were solved using SHELXL-2014 (direct methods) and refined using SHELXL-2014 (full-matrix least-squares on F²) with anisotropic displacement contained in APEX2 program packages. Hydrogen atoms on carbon and nitrogen were calculated in ideal positions with isotropic placement parameters set to $1.2 \times U_{eq}$ of the attached atoms.

Theoretical calculation details. Host-guest interactions were calculated by the Forcite module in the Material Studio software package. The forcefield was chosen as UFF and the Summation method as Ewald. The accuracy was 0.001 kcal/mol. In each MOF, one unit cell was used for calculation. Specially, before the calculation of MCIF-2, the disorder part of HDEA in the structure was omitted, or the calculation would not be converged.



Figure S1. PXRD of MCIF-2.



Figure S2. PXRD of MCIF-3.



Figure S3. PXRD of MCIF-4.



Figure S4. TG analysis of MCIF-2.



Figure S5. TG analysis of MCIF-3.



Figure S6. TG analysis of MCIF-4.



Figure S7. The host-guest interactions in MCIF-2.



Figure S8. The connally surface of MCIF-2.



Figure S9. The host-guest interactions in MCIF-3.



Figure S10. The connally surface of MCIF-3.



Figure S11. The host-guest interactions in MCIF-4.



Figure S12. The connally surface of MCIF-4.



Figure S13. The conformation overlap of MCIFs. Orange: MCIF-2; Green: MCIF-3; Purple: MCIF-4.



Figure S14. The XRD patterns of activated MCIFs.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for MCIF-2.

Identification code	1		
Empirical formula	C7 H8 Cu4 I4 N5		
Formula weight	923.94		
Temperature	296(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal system	Orthorhombic		
Space group	Pnna		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 14.8153(12) Å	a= 90°.	
	b = 14.3340(12) Å	b=90°.	
	c = 11.4471(10) Å	g = 90°.	
Volume	2430.9(4) Å3		
Z	4		
Density (calculated)	2.525 Mg/m3		
Absorption coefficient	8.527 mm-1		
F(000)	1652		
Crystal size	0.150 x 0.150 x 0.100 mm3		
Theta range for data collection	2.277 to 28.708°.		
Index ranges	-15<=h<=19, -19<=k<=18, -13<=l<=15		
Reflections collected	19213		
Independent reflections	3084 [R(int) = 0.0288]		
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.6 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F2		
Data / restraints / parameters	3084 / 41 / 120		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.086		
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0369, wR2 = 0.1005		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0522, wR2 = 0.1094		
Extinction coefficient	n/a		
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.322 and -0.798 e.Å-3		

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for MCIF-3.

Identification code	1		
Empirical formula	C14 H23 Cu4 I4 N5		
Formula weight	1023.13		
Temperature	296(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal system	Monoclinic		
Space group	P21/n		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.3646(11) Å	a= 90°.	
	b = 14.8491(12) Å	b= 98.850(2)°.	
	c = 14.7153(13) Å	g = 90°.	
Volume	2453.7(4) Å3		
Z	4		
Density (calculated)	2.770 Mg/m3		
Absorption coefficient	8.463 mm-1		
F(000)	1880		
Crystal size	0.220 x 0.200 x 0.180 mm3		
Theta range for data collection	1.960 to 25.267°.		
Index ranges	-11<=h<=13, -17<=k<=15, -17<=l<=16		
Reflections collected	15126		
Independent reflections	4369 [R(int) = 0.0294]		
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	98.4 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F2		
Data / restraints / parameters	4369 / 0 / 247		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.041		
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0353, $wR2 = 0.0830$		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0400, wR2 = 0.0849		
Extinction coefficient	n/a		
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.390 and -0.848 e.Å-3		

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for MCIF-4.

Identification code	1_sq		
Empirical formula	C40 H56 Cu16 I16 N20 O		
Formula weight	3880.08		
Temperature	296(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal system	Orthorhombic		
Space group	Pmmn		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 17.840(2) Å	a= 90°.	
	b = 31.000(4) Å	b= 90°.	
	c = 8.4707(11) Å	g = 90°.	
Volume	4684.6(11) Å3		
Z	2		
Density (calculated)	2.751 Mg/m3		
Absorption coefficient	8.858 mm-1		
F(000)	3512		
Crystal size	0.220 x 0.200 x 0.180 mm3		
Theta range for data collection	1.314 to 25.135°.		
Index ranges	-21<=h<=21, -32<=k<=36, -10<=l<=10		
Reflections collected	29028		
Independent reflections	4402 [R(int) = 0.0478]		
Completeness to theta = 25.135°	99.6 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F2		
Data / restraints / parameters	4402 / 17 / 230		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.103		
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0360, wR2 = 0.0842		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0444, wR2 = 0.0880		
Extinction coefficient	n/a		
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.709 and -0.934 e.Å-3		