Electronic Supporting Information

# Enantioenrichment of Racemic BINOL by Way of Excited State Proton Transfer

Suliman Ayad,<sup>†</sup> Victoria Posey,<sup>†</sup> Anjan Das,<sup>†</sup> Jason M. Montgomery,<sup>‡</sup> Kenneth Hanson<sup>†,\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United States <sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry and Physics, Florida Southern College, Lakeland, Florida 33801, United States

# **Table of Contents**

1)	Materials	S2
2)	Instrumentation	S2
3)	Synthesis of BINOL with Chiral Auxiliary Groups	S3
4)	Boc-Pro-BINOL Enantioenrichment	<b>S</b> 9
5)	Reaction set-up	<b>S</b> 9
6)	Solvent Dependence	<b>S10</b>
7)	Table S1, Solvent Dependence	<b>S10</b>
8)	Table S2, $E_{0.0}$ and $\Delta$ pKa for Boc-Pro-BINOL in various solvents	<b>S12</b>
9)	Base Dependence	<b>S13</b>
10)	Table S3, Base Dependence	<b>S13</b>
11)	Table S4, Base Concentration Dependence	<b>S13</b>
12)	Chiral auxiliary-BINOL Cleavage	S14
13)	Table S5, $E_{0.0}$ and $\Delta p K_a$ for BINOL derivatives in toluene	S15
14)	References	<b>S16</b>
15)	SFC Traces	<b>S17</b>
16)	<sup>1</sup> HNMR spectra	\$56
17)	<sup>13</sup> CNMR spectra	<b>S63</b>
18)	Computational Methods	<b>S70</b>

# Materials

 $N(\alpha)$ -benzyloxycarbonyl-L-tryptophan (Z-Trp-OH) and N,N'-dicyclohexylcabodiimide (DCC) were purchased from Alfa Aesar and used without further purification. 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP), N-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-phenylalanine (Boc-Phe-OH), N-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-2-phenylglycine (Boc-Phg-OH), N-benzyloxycarbonyl-L-proline (Z-Pro-OH), N- (tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-proline (Boc-Pro-OH), N-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-L-alanine (Boc-Ala-OH), (1S)-(+)-Menthyl chloroformate, triethylamine, diisopropylethylamine, pyridine, and isopropylamine were all purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification. Racemic 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol, (R)- 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol, and (S)- 1,1'-Bi-2naphthol were purchased from TCI and used without further purification. HPLC grade CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Dry solvents were obtained from a Pure Process Technology solvent purification system.

## Instrumentation

Absorption spectroscopy. The UV-visible spectra were recorded using an Agilent 8453 UV-Vis photo diode array spectrophotometer with a special optical glass 1 cm × 1 cm cuvette.

*Light Source.* A ThorLabs M365L2- UV (365 nm, fwhm = 7.5 nm) mounted LED was used as the light source for UV reactions and was controlled by ThorLabs LEDD1B T-Cube series LED driver. The light intensity was measured using an Ophir power meter (Vega 7Z01560) and sensor (3A-FS 7Z02628).

<sup>1</sup>*H* and <sup>13</sup>*C* NMR. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual chloroform peak (7.26 (s) ppm). Chemical shifts for <sup>13</sup>C are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual chloroform peak (77.16 ppm).

*CD Spectroscopy*. Circular Dichroism spectra were obtained using an AVIV 202 CD spectrometer with a 2  $mm \times 1$  cm quartz cuvette.

*Chiral Chromatography*. Supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) was performed using a JASCO SFC-4000 analytical SFC system with ~1mg/mL of sample in HPLC grade CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

Synthesis:



Boc-Pro-BINOL (1): (R/S)BINOL (1 g, 3.49 mmol, 1 equiv), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.86 mg, 4.19 mmol, 1.2 equiv), N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.05 mg, 0.42 mmol, 0.12 equiv), and N-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-Lproline (0.9 g, 4.19 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were added to a 250mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The flask was purged and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub> three times and submerged in an ice bath. 100 mL of cold CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (~0° C) was added via syringe to the reaction flask. Seconds to minutes after the solvent was added dicyclohexyl urea can be seen precipitating from solution. The solution was stirred at 0° C until TLC indicated that most or all of the BINOL had reacted (~2 hours). The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated to remove 2/3<sup>rds</sup> of the solvent volume, causing more dicyclohexyl urea to precipitate from solution. The resulting slurry was vacuum filtered and washed with three 5mL portions of cold CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The filtrate was then rotary evaporated to dryness. A silica gel column was prepared by wet packing 60 grams of 400-600 mesh silica with hexanes in a 40 mm column. The crude product was wet loaded with minimal CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> onto the column and eluted with 25% EtOAc/hexanes until the monosubstituted product was obtained. The fractions were combined and evaporated to dryness to obtain 1 (1.61 g, 95% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)  $\delta$  8.1 – 7.8 (m, 4H), 7.6 – 7.2 (m, 7H), 7.1 – 7.0 (m, 1H), 5.3 – 5.2 (m, 1H), 4.3 – 4.1 (m, 1H), 3.4 – 2.9 (m, 2H), 1.5 - 1.4 (m, 10H), 1.4 - 0.9 (m, 2H), 0.9 - 0.3 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 172.2, 153.6, 151.8, 147.8, 147.8, 133.5, 131.1, 130.6, 130.5, 129.1, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 127.7, 127.3, 127.1, 127.0, 126.7, 126.5, 126.4, 126.2, 125.9, 125.7, 125.7, 124.9, 124.7, 124.5, 123.9, 123.8, 121.4, 121.4, 118.5, 118.4, 118.2, 100.1, 80.3, 80.1, 80.0, 76.9, 59.0, 58.9, 58.5, 46.3, 46.1, 30.1, 29.8, 29.3, 28.7, 28.6, 28.5, 23.8, 23.4, 23.1, 22.5. HRMS (ESI+) m/z calcd. For C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NNaO<sub>5</sub> ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 506.19434, found 506.19446.



Separation of R and S diastereomers of Boc-Pro-BINOL (**1R** and **1S**): For irradiation experiments a large quantity of **1R** and **1S** were necessary to repeat measurements. Instead of synthesizing these from enantiopure starting materials, 1g of (R/S) Boc-Pro-BINOL was synthesized according to the above procedure and then subjected to silica column chromatography to separate the diastereomers following a modified literature procedure.<sup>[1]</sup> A 5% Et<sub>2</sub>O in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution was used as the solvent. Due to the difficulty of the separation, several of the fractions were found to contain traces of both **1R** and **1S**, and

therefore great care was taken to only combine fractions which contained only one diastereomer. Chiral SFC analysis of **1R** and **1S** confirmed their enantiopurity. The fractions which contained some quantity of both diastereomers were combined to constitute the racemic sample. Chiral SFC indicated a slight excess of **1R** which was adjusted by adding small amounts of **1S** until the SFC trace showed a 50/50 ratio of diastereomers.



Synthesis of Menthyl-BINOL (2): (R/S)BINOL (250 mg, 0.873 mmol, 1 equiv) and (S) menthyl chloroformate (210 mg, 0.960 mmol, 1.1 equiv) were added to a 250 mL 3 neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The flask was purged and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub> three times. 60 mL of toluene was added to the flask and stirred until the BINOL was completely dissolved. Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.609 mL, 4.366 mmol, 5 equiv) was added slowly via syringe with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until TLC indicated completion of the reaction, ~45 minutes. The reaction was quenched with 10 mL of 4 M HCl, extracted with water 3 times, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness. A silica gel column was prepared by wet packing 12 grams of 400-600 mesh silica with hexanes in a 15 mm column. The crude product was wet loaded with minimal CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> onto the column and eluted with 10% EtOAc/hexanes until the monosubstituted product was obtained. The fractions were combined and evaporated to dryness to obtain 2 (0.301 g, 74% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 8.1 – 8.1 (m, 1H), 8.0 – 8.0 (m, 1H), 8.0 – 7.8 (m, 2H), 7.6 – 7.5 (m, 2H), 7.4 – 7.3 (m, 4H), 7.3 – 7.2 (m, 1H), 7.1 (ddt, J = 7.7, 4.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.3 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.4 (qd, J = 11.0, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.8 – 1.5 (m, 4H), 1.4 – 1.2 (m, 2H), 1.0 – 0.7 (m, 7H), 0.7 – 0.5 (m, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 153.9, 152.0, 151.9, 148.1, 133.6, 133.5, 132.5, 132.4, 131.0, 131.0, 130.6, 130.6, 129.3, 128.4, 128.1, 128.1, 127.6, 127.6, 126.9, 126.9, 126.5, 126.5, 126.0, 126.0, 124.7, 124.7, 123.7, 123.7, 123.6, 123.4, 121.6, 118.5, 118.4, 113.9, 79.8, 46.8, 46.6, 40.4, 39.9, 34.1, 34.0, 31.4, 31.3, 26.7, 26.1, 23.6, 23.4, 22.0, 22.0, 20.6, 20.5, 16.6, 16.2. HRMS (ESI+) m/z calcd. For C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 491.21983, found 491.22072.



*Synthesis of Z-Pro-BINOL (3):* (R/S)BINOL (100 mg, 0.349 mmol, 1 equiv), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.086 mg, 0.419 mmol, 1.2 equiv), N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.005 mg, 0.042 mmol, 0.12 equiv), and N-

[(Benzyloxy)carbonyl]-L-proline (104 mg, 0.419 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were added to a 50mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The flask was purged and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub> three times and submerged in an ice bath. 10 mL of cold  $CH_2Cl_2$  (~0° C) was added via syringe to the reaction flask. After the solvent was added dicyclohexyl urea can be seen precipitating from solution. The solution was stirred at 0° C until TLC indicated that most or all of the BINOL had reacted (~2 hours). The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated to remove 2/3<sup>rds</sup> of the solvent volume, causing more dicyclohexyl urea to precipitate from solution. The resulting slurry was vacuum filtered and washed with three 5 mL portions of cold  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The filtrate was then rotary evaporated to dryness. A silica gel column was prepared by wet packing 12 grams of 400-600 mesh silica with hexanes in a 15 mm column. The crude product was wet loaded with minimal CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> onto the column and eluted with 33% EtOAc/hexanes until the monosubstituted product was obtained. The fractions were combined and evaporated to dryness to obtain 3 (0.141 g, 78% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 8.1 – 7.8 (m, 4H), 7.6 – 7.5 (m, 1H), 7.5 – 7.3 (m, 9H), 7.3 – 7.1 (m, 2H), 7.1 – 7.0 (m, 1H), 5.3 – 5.1 (m, 2H), 5.1 – 5.0 (m, 1H), 4.3 – 4.2 (m, 1H), 3.7 – 3.0 (m, 2H), 2.2 – 1.6 (m, 2H), 1.4 – 1.0 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 171.9, 171.7, 154.9, 154.1, 154.0, 151.9, 151.7, 147.8, 147.5, 136.6, 136.5, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 132.3, 131.0, 130.8, 130.7, 130.6, 130.4, 130.4, 130.2, 128.9, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.5, 127.5, 127.3, 127.0, 126.9, 126.7, 126.4, 126.2, 125.7, 125.6, 125.6, 124.8, 124.6, 124.5, 124.4, 123.7, 123.7, 123.7, 123.5, 122.9, 122.9, 122.8, 122.1, 121.9, 121.5, 118.3, 118.3, 118.1, 114.1, 113.8, 67.2, 67.1, 67.0, 60.4, 59.2, 58.8, 58.7, 58.4, 46.8, 46.6, 46.3, 46.1, 30.1, 29.9, 29.1, 28.9, 23.7, 23.3, 23.0, 22.3, 14.2, -16.9. HRMS (ESI+) *m/z* calcd. For C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NNaO<sub>5</sub> ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 540.17869, found 540.17921.



Synthesis of Boc-Ala-BINOL (4): (R/S)BINOL (100 mg, 0.349 mmol, 1 equiv), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.108 mg, 0.524 mmol, 1.5 equiv), N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.006 mg, 0.052 mmol, 0.15 equiv), and N-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-L-alanine (0.099 mg, 0.524 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added to a 50mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. (Note: the R<sub>f</sub> values of Boc-Ala-BINOL and BINOL are very similar. To compensate, large excess of Boc-Ala-OH was used to ensure that all the BINOL was reacted making the separation much easier). The flask was purged and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub> three times and submerged in an ice bath. 10 mL of cold  $CH_2Cl_2$  (~0° C) was added via syringe to the reaction flask. Seconds to minutes after the solvent was added dicyclohexyl urea can be seen precipitating from solution. The solution was stirred at 0° C until TLC indicated that all the BINOL had reacted (~2 hours). The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated to remove 2/3<sup>rds</sup> of the solvent volume, causing more dicyclohexyl urea to precipitate from solution. The resulting slurry was vacuum filtered and washed with three 5mL portions of cold  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The filtrate was then rotary evaporated to dryness. A silica gel column was prepared by wet packing 16 grams of 400-600 mesh silica with hexanes in a 15 mm column. The crude product was wet loaded with minimal  $CH_2Cl_2$  onto the column and eluted with 25% EtOAc/hexanes until the monosubstituted product was obtained. The fractions were combined and evaporated to dryness to obtain **4** (0.065 g, 41% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.1 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 8.0 – 7.9 (m, 1H), 7.9 – 7.8 (m, 2H), 7.5 (ddt, *J* = 10.0, 6.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.5 – 7.2 (m, 7H), 7.0 (dq, *J* = 8.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.4 (s, 1H), 4.2 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.4 (s, 9H), 0.6 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  172.1, 151.7, 147.7, 147.5, 133.4, 133.4, 132.4, 131.1, 130.6, 130.5, 130.4, 129.0, 128.4, 128.4, 128.0, 127.6, 126.9, 126.5, 126.3, 125.8, 125.6, 124.7, 124.3, 123.7, 123.5, 122.9, 121.6, 118.2, 113.8, 79.9, 77.2, 28.3, 28.3, 17.1, 17.0. HRMS (ESI+) *m/z* calcd. For C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NNaO<sub>5</sub> ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 480.17869, found 480.17681.



Synthesis of Boc-Phg-BINOL (5): (R/S)BINOL (100 mg, 0.349 mmol, 1 equiv), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.108 mg, 0.524 mmol, 1.5 equiv), N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.006 mg, 0.052 mmol, 0.15 equiv), and N-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-D-phenylglycine (0.110 mg, 0.524 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added to a 50 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. (Note: the R<sub>f</sub> values of Boc-Phg-BINOL and BINOL are very similar. To compensate, large excess of Boc-Phg-OH was used to ensure that all the BINOL was reacted making the separation much easier). The flask was purged and backfilled with  $N_2$ three times and submerged in an ice bath. 10 mL of cold CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (~0° C) was added via syringe to the reaction flask. Seconds to minutes after the solvent was added dicyclohexyl urea can be seen precipitating from solution. The solution was stirred at 0° C until TLC indicated that all the BINOL had reacted (~2 hours). The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated to remove 2/3<sup>rds</sup> of the solvent volume, causing more dicyclohexyl urea to precipitate from solution. The resulting slurry was vacuum filtered and washed with three 5mL portions of cold CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The filtrate was then rotary evaporated to dryness. A silica gel column was prepared by wet packing 16 grams of 400-600 mesh silica with hexanes in a 15 mm column. The crude product was wet loaded with minimal  $CH_2CI_2$  onto the column and eluted with 25% EtOAc/hexanes until the monosubstituted product was obtained. The fractions were combined and evaporated to dryness to obtain 5 (0.094 g, 52% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.05 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54 – 7.43 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 6.96 (m, 9H), 6.93 – 6.79 (m, 3H), 6.70 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)  $\delta$  170.79, 155.06, 154.88, 151.82, 151.61, 147.69, 135.05, 134.83, 133.60, 133.49, 132.40, 131.08, 130.73, 130.65, 130.51, 129.12, 129.03, 128.93, 128.56, 128.46, 128.37, 128.17, 128.07, 127.62, 127.44, 126.99, 126.64, 126.55, 126.38, 126.00, 125.73, 124.74, 124.22, 123.55, 123.47, 123.39, 121.53, 121.46, 118.37, 118.12, 113.68, 80.56, 80.38, 77.37, 76.90, 58.24, 57.73, 28.40, 28.38; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calcd. For C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NNaO<sub>5</sub> ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 542.19434, found 542.19383.



Synthesis of Boc-Phe-BINOL (6): (R/S)BINOL (100 mg, 0.349 mmol, 1 equiv), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.108 mg, 0.524 mmol, 1.5 equiv), N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.006 mg, 0.052 mmol, 0.15 equiv), and N-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-L-phenylalanine (0.139 mg, 0.524 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added to a 50mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. (Note: the R<sub>f</sub> values of Boc-Phe-BINOL and BINOL are very similar. To compensate, large excess of Boc-Phe-OH was used to ensure that all the BINOL was reacted making the separation much easier). The flask was purged and backfilled with  $N_2$ three times and submerged in an ice bath. 10 mL of cold  $CH_2Cl_2$  (~0° C) was added via syringe to the reaction flask. Seconds to minutes after the solvent was added dicyclohexyl urea can be seen precipitating from solution. The solution was stirred at 0° C until TLC indicated that all the BINOL had reacted (~2 hours). The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated to remove 2/3<sup>rds</sup> of the solvent volume, causing more dicyclohexyl urea to precipitate from solution. The resulting slurry was vacuum filtered and washed with three 5mL portions of cold CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The filtrate was then rotary evaporated to dryness. A silica gel column was prepared by wet packing 16 grams of 400-600 mesh silica with hexanes in a 15 mm column. The crude product was wet loaded with minimal CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> onto the column and eluted with 25% EtOAc/hexanes until the monosubstituted product was obtained. The fractions were combined and evaporated to dryness to obtain 6 (0.117 g, 63% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.1 (dd, *J* = 11.4, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 8.0 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.9 – 7.8 (m, 2H), 7.5 (ddd, J = 8.2, 5.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.5 – 7.2 (m, 6H), 7.2 – 7.1 (m, 3H), 7.1 – 7.1 (m, 1H), 6.9 – 6.8 (m, 1H), 6.8 – 6.7 (m, 1H), 4.6 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.4 (td, J = 8.8, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.2 – 1.8 (m, 2H), 1.3 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 171.7, 155.1, 151.8, 147.8, 147.6, 135.9, 133.5, 133.3, 132.4, 131.1, 130.6, 129.0, 128.9, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.6, 127.4, 127.0, 126.9, 126.8, 126.5, 125.7, 124.5, 123.8, 122.9, 121.6, 118.2, 113.8, 80.2, 80.0, 77.3, 54.1, 36.9, 28.2. HRMS (ESI+) m/z calcd. For C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NNaO<sub>5</sub> ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 556.20999, found 556.21032.



*Synthesis of Z-Trp-BINOL (7):* (R/S)BINOL (100 mg, 0.349 mmol, 1 equiv), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.086 mg, 0.419 mmol, 1.2 equiv), N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.005 mg, 0.042 mmol, 0.12 equiv), and N-[(Benzyloxy)carbonyl]-L-tryptophan (118 mg, 0.419 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were added to a 50mL three neck

round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The flask was purged and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub> three times and submerged in an ice bath. 10 mL of cold  $CH_2Cl_2$  (~0° C) was added via syringe to the reaction flask. Seconds to minutes after the solvent was added dicyclohexyl urea can be seen precipitating from solution. The solution was stirred at 0° C until TLC indicated that most or all of the BINOL had reacted (~2 hours). The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated to remove 2/3<sup>rds</sup> of the solvent volume, causing more dicyclohexyl urea to precipitate from solution. The resulting slurry was vacuum filtered and washed with three 5 mL portions of cold  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The filtrate was then rotary evaporated to dryness. A silica gel column was prepared by wet packing 12 grams of 400-600 mesh silica with hexanes in a 15 mm column. The crude product was wet loaded with minimal CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> onto the column and eluted with 33% EtOAc/hexanes until the monosubstituted product was obtained. The fractions were combined and evaporated to dryness to obtain 7 (0.138 g, 65% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)  $\delta$  7.9 – 7.8 (m, 3H), 7.8 – 7.7 (m, 2H), 7.4 (dt, J = 8.2, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.3 – 7.1 (m, 13H), 7.1 (ddd, J = 8.2, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.0 – 6.9 (m, 2H), 6.3 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.6 (s, 1H), 4.9 (s, 2H), 4.8 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.4 (td, J = 7.7, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.6 (dd, J = 15.2, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.3 (dd, J = 15.2, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 1.8 (dd, J = 12.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.6 (dddd, J = 13.3, 5.8, 3.9, 1.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 171.0, 155.9, 151.9, 147.6, 136.1, 136.0, 133.6, 133.5, 132.3, 130.6, 130.5, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 127.4, 127.3, 126.9, 126.3, 125.9, 124.8, 123.6, 123.0, 122.7, 122.2, 121.7, 119.6, 118.5, 118.3, 113.8, 111.2, 109.3, 67.0, 54.5, 49.2, 33.9, 26.6, 24.9. HRMS (ESI+) *m/z* calcd. For C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NNaO<sub>5</sub> ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 629.20524, found 629.20570.

#### **Boc-Pro-BINOL Enantioenrichment:**



5 mg of racemic Boc-Pro-(S/R)-BINOL added to test-tube with a magnetic stir bar. 1 mL of dry toluene and 0.1 mL of dry triethylamine were added to the test tube and the reaction vessel was capped with a rubber septum. The solution was vigorously stirred with a magnetic stir plate and irradiated with a 365 nm LED at 8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for 60 minutes. 0.1 mL aliquots were removed at 10, 20, 40, and 60 minutes. Each aliquot was evaporated to dryness, dissolved in 1 mL of toluene, then evaporated to dryness a second time to remove residual triethylamine. Silica gel columns were prepared by wet packing 400-600 mesh silica gel in 25% ethyl acetate in hexanes. Samples were dissolved in 1mL of 25% ethyl acetate in hexanes and flashed through the column. After separation, fractions containing Boc-Pro-BINOL were dried under reduced pressure. Purified samples were dissolved in 1mL of HPLC grade dichloromethane and analyzed by supercritical fluid chromatography.

#### Reaction set-up:





Figure S1. Emission spectra for Boc-Pro-BINOL in toluene with and without Et<sub>3</sub>N ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 320 nm).

**Solvent Dependence:** 5 mg of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL added to test-tube with a magnetic stir bar. 1 mL of dry solvent (see table below) and 0.1 mL of dry triethylamine were added to the test tube and the reaction vessel was capped with a rubber septum. The solution was vigorously stirred with a magnetic stir plate and irradiated with a 365nm LED at 8mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for 60 minutes. 0.1 mL aliquots were removed at 20 and 60 minutes. Each aliquot was evaporated to dryness, dissolved in 1 mL of toluene, then evaporated to dryness a second time to remove residual triethylamine. Silica gel columns were prepared by wet packing 400-600 mesh silica gel in 25% ethyl acetate in hexanes. Samples were dissolved in 1 mL of 25% ethyl acetate in hexanes and flashed through the column. After separation, fractions containing Boc-Pro-BINOL were dried under reduced pressure. Purified samples were dissolved in 1 mL of HPLC grade dichloromethane and analyzed by supercritical fluid chromatography (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA column, 35% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 mL/min flow rate)

Table S1. Enantioenrichment of Boc-Pro-BINOL in various solvents<sup>a</sup>

Solvent	ee <b>1R</b> (%) @ 20 mins <sup>b</sup>	ee <b>1R</b> (%) @ 60 mins <sup>b</sup>
Toluene	15	31
Mesitylene	8	17
Hexanes <sup>c</sup>	10	24
MeCN	7	13
THF	15	17
MeOH	4	2
CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	18	18

<sup>*a*</sup>10 mM Boc-Pro-(S/R)-BINOL under 365 nm irradiation (8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) at room temperature for 60 minutes with stirring. <sup>*b*</sup>ee was determined using SFC (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA column, 35% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 mL/min flow rate). <sup>*c*</sup> Boc-Pro-BINOL was insoluble at the standard solvent volume, therefore 3mL of hexanes and 0.3mL of triethylamine was used to fully dissolve the compound.



**Figure S2.** Solvent dependent absorption (a, c) and emission (b, d) of Boc-Pro-BINOL without (a, b) and with excess KOH (c) or Et<sub>3</sub>N (d) ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 320 nm).

 $\Delta p K_a$  **Determination:**  $\Delta p K_a$ , the difference in  $p K_a$  between the ground and excited states, was calculated by utilizing the Förster cycle equation displayed below:

$$\Delta pK_a = \frac{Nh\Delta v}{\ln\left(10\right)RT}$$

Where N is Avogadro's number, h is Planck's constant,  $\Delta v$  is the difference in energy between the 0-0 transition of the protonated and deprotonated form of the ESPT dye, R is the gas constant, and T is temperature. The E<sub>0-0</sub> was determined by the intercept of the normalized absorption and emission spectra of the protonated and deprotonated forms of the dyes as depicted in Figure S2. The resulting E<sub>0-0</sub> and  $\Delta p$ Ka's are summarized in Table S2.

**Table S2.**  $E_{0-0}$  and  $\Delta pKa$  for Boc-Pro-BINOL in various solvents.

Solvent	Enol E <sub>0-0</sub> (eV)	Keto E <sub>0-0</sub> (eV)	∆рКа
Toluene	3.65	2.99	11.2
Mesitylene	3.65	2.95	11.8
Hexanes	3.66	2.99	11.3
MeCN	3.65	2.97	11.4
THF	3.62	2.99	10.6
MeOH	3.63	3.17	7.69
CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	3.65	3.05	10.0

**Base Dependence:** 5 mg of Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL added to test-tube with a magnetic stir bar. (Note: Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL was used instead of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL to amplify the differences in rate and ee.) 1 mL of dry toluene and dry base (see table below) were added to the test tube and the reaction vessel was capped with a rubber septum. The solution was vigorously stirred with a magnetic stir plate and irradiated with a 365 nm LED at 8mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for 60 minutes. 0.1 mL aliquots were removed at 60 minutes. Each aliquot was evaporated to dryness, dissolved in 1mL of toluene, then evaporated to dryness a second time to remove residual base. Silica gel columns were prepared by wet packing 400-600 mesh silica gel in 25% ethyl acetate in hexanes. Samples were dissolved in 1 mL of 25% ethyl acetate in hexanes and flashed through the column. After separation, fractions containing Boc-Pro-BINOL were dried under reduced pressure. Purified samples were dissolved in 1 mL of HPLC grade dichloromethane and analyzed by supercritical fluid chromatography (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA column, 35% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 mL/min flow rate)

Base	Volume added (mL)	ee (%) at 60 mins <sup>b</sup>
Triethylamine	0.100	17 of R
Diisopropylethylamine	0.125	26 of S
Pyridine	0.058	84 of S
Isopropylamine	0.061	76 of S

<sup>*a*</sup>10 mM Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL under 365 nm irradiation (8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) at room temperature for 60 minutes with stirring. <sup>*b*</sup>ee was determined using SFC (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA column, 35% CH2Cl2 in CO2, 1.5 mL/min flow rate).

Table S4. Enantioenrichment of Boc-Pro-BINOL with various concentrations of triethylamine<sup>a</sup>

Toluene:Triethylamine (v:v)	ee <b>1R</b> (%) at 60 mins <sup>b</sup>
10:1	31
20:1	29
40:1	26
100:1	19

<sup>a</sup>10 mM Boc-Pro-(S/R)-BINOL under 365 nm irradiation (8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) at room temperature for 60 minutes with stirring. <sup>b</sup>ee was determined using SFC (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>\*</sup> IA column, 35% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 mL/min flow rate).



**Figure S3.** UV-Vis absorption spectra of BINOL, Boc-Pro-BINOL, and Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation with 365nm at 8mW/cm<sup>3</sup>. Spectra were normalized to set the  $\lambda_{max}$  = 1. The peak that appears at 360 nm after irradiation corresponds to the photodecomposition product responsible for the internal filtering effect.

**Isolation of the side products**: Boc-Pro-BINOL was irradiated 4 hours under standard conditions. Upon completion the mixture containing product and side products was separated using a 15 mm diameter column wet-packed with 12g of silica in 10% EtOAc in Hexanes. The reaction mixture was wet loaded

with  $CH_2CI_2$  and eluted with a gradient from 10% to 25% EtOAc in Hexanes. One major fraction, other than the desired product was isolated ( $R_f = 0.5$ , 20% EtOAc/80% hexanes) and the absorption spectrum after chiral group deprotection can be seen in Figure S4.



**Figure S4.** UV-Vis absorption spectra of Boc-Pro-BINOL and isolated side product ( $R_f = 0.5$  in 20% EtOAc/80% Hexanes) after 4 hours of photolysis.



**Chiral auxiliary-BINOL Cleavage**: ~5mg of Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL was dissolved in 1 mL of MeOH, then 0.1 mL of a 1 M solution of LiOH in MeOH was added and the solution was stirred at RT for 30 mins to 2 hours until TLC indicated completion of the reaction, as evidenced by the disappearance of the coupled BINOL and the reappearance of BINOL. The reaction was quenched by adding an equimolar amount of trifluoroacetic acid in MeOH. The reaction was stirred an additional 5 minutes and then evaporated to dryness. The resulting amorphous solid was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  and run through a silica plug with  $CH_2Cl_2$  until all the BINOL was eluted to yield (S)-BINOL in 90% yield with 100% ee.



**Figure S5.** Absorption (a, c) and emission (b, d) of BINOL derivatives in toluene without (a, b) and with excess KOH (c) or Et<sub>3</sub>N (d) ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 320 nm).

**Table S5.**  $E_{0-0}$  and  $\Delta p K_a$  for BINOL derivatives in toluene.

Chiral Auxiliary	Enol E <sub>0-0</sub> (eV)	Keto E <sub>0-0</sub> (eV)	$\Delta p K_{a}$
Boc-Pro	3.65	2.99	11.2
Menthyl	3.67	3.02	10.9
Z-Pro	3.65	3.02	10.5
Boc-Ala	3.65	3.05	10.2
Boc-Phg	3.65	2.99	11.2
Boc-Phe	3.65	3.02	10.7
Z-Trp	3.65	2.97	11.5

# References

[1] B. M. Panchal, C. Einhorn, J. Einhorn, *Tetrahedron Letters*, **2002**, *43*, 9245-9248.

# SFC Traces:



**Figure S6.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa,10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S7.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column, CO<sub>2</sub>: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 65:35, Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S8.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL photodynamic resolution control without light. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S9.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL photodynamic resolution control without base. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S10.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL without light, heated to 100° C. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S11.** SFC trace of Racemic BINOL and Boc-Pro-OH after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S12.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after cleavage reaction. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S13.** SFC trace of Boc-Pro-(R)-BINOL after cleavage reaction. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column, CO<sub>2</sub>:  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S14.** SFC trace of Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL after cleavage reaction. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column, CO<sub>2</sub>:  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S15.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 20 minutes of irradiation in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S16.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S17.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 20 minutes of irradiation in hexanes (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S18.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation in hexanes (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S19.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 20 minutes of irradiation in MeCN (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S20.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation in MeCN (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S21.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 20 minutes of irradiation in MeOH (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S22.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation in MeOH (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S23.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 20 minutes of irradiation in mesitylene (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column, CO<sub>2</sub>:  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S24.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation in mesitylene (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S25.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 20 minutes of irradiation in THF (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)


**Figure S26.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation in THF (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S27.** SFC trace of Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation with diisopropylethylamine as base (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S28.** SFC trace of Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation with isopropylamine as base (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column, CO<sub>2</sub>:  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S29.** SFC trace of Boc-Pro-(S)-BINOL after 60 minutes of photodynamic resolution with pyridine as base (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S30.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation with a 20:1 v:v ratio of toluene to triethylamine. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S31.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation with a 40:1 v:v ratio of toluene to triethylamine. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column, CO<sub>2</sub>: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 65:35, Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S32.** SFC trace of racemic Boc-Pro-BINOL after 60 minutes of irradiation with a 100:1 v:v ratio of toluene to triethylamine. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column, CO<sub>2</sub>: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 65:35, Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S33.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Ala-BINOL. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S34.** SFC trace of Boc-Ala-BINOL after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S35.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Phe-BINOL. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IF Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S36.** SFC trace of Boc-Phe-BINOL after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IF Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S37.** SFC trace of Racemic Boc-Phg-BINOL. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S38.** SFC trace of Boc-Phg-BINOL after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S39.** SFC trace of Racemic Menthyl-BINOL. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S40.** SFC trace of Menthyl-BINOL after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IA Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S41.** SFC trace of Racemic Z-Pro-BINOL. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IF Column, CO<sub>2</sub>: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 65:35, Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min, CO<sub>2</sub> backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S42.** SFC trace of Z-Pro-BINOL after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IF Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S43.** SFC trace of Racemic Z-Trp-BINOL. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IF Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2CI_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)



**Figure S44.** SFC trace of Z-Trp-BINOL after irradiation. (Daicel CHIRALPAK<sup>®</sup> IF Column,  $CO_2$ :  $CH_2Cl_2 = 65:35$ , Flowrate = 1.5 mL/min,  $CO_2$  backpressure = 10 mPa, Column oven = 30°C)

## <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra :



Figure S45. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra for Boc-Pro-BINOL (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S46. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra for Menthyl-BINOL (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



**Figure S47.** <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra for Z-Pro-BINOL (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S48. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra for Boc-Ala-BINOL (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S49. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra for Boc-Phg-BINOL (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S50. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra for Boc-Phe-BINOL (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S51. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra for Z-Trp-BINOL (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)

## <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra :



Figure S52. <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra for Boc-Pro-BINOL (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S53. <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra for Menthyl-BINOL (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S54. <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra for Z-Pro-BINOL (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)



Figure S55. <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra for Boc-Ala-BINOL (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S56. <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra for Boc-Phg-BINOL (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S57. <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra for Boc-Phe-BINOL (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)



Figure S58. <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra for Z-Trp-BINOL (101 MHz, Chloroform-d

## **Computational Methods**

In this work, we used time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) with the B3LYP functional and 6-31g\*\* basis set, as implemented in Gaussian 09, to calculate optimized geometries for first excited, singlet state (R) and (S) configurations of basic (charge = -1) Boc-Pro-BINOL with no solvent present. Optimized geometries for the (R) and (S) configurations are provided in Table S4 and S5, respectively, and a plot of the optimized geometries are provided in Figure S54.



**Figure S59.** First excited singlet state geometries for deprotonated a) (R)-Boc-Pro-BINOL and b) (S)-Boc-Pro-BINOL using TD-DFT with B3LYP functional and 6-31g\*\* basis set.

	(R)-Boc-Pro-BINOL		(S)-Boc-Pro-BINOL			
	Х	Y	Z	Х	Y	Z
С	-2.9578	-1.5504	-0.2635	3.2676	-1.1516	0.4248
С	-1.8399	-0.6706	-0.1454	2.0412	-0.4300	0.5444
С	-1.8716	0.5078	0.7777	1.9964	1.0588	0.3841
С	-2.3883	1.7616	0.3572	1.8474	1.6593	-0.8937
С	-3.4116	4.2614	-0.4945	1.5443	2.8478	-3.4469
С	-2.9137	4.1250	0.7913	1.6509	3.6513	-2.3238
С	-2.4001	2.8960	1.2454	1.8035	3.0917	-1.0412
С	-2.9150	1.9390	-0.9569	1.7433	0.8650	-2.0745
С	-3.4115	3.1559	-1.3717	1.5932	1.4430	-3.3164
С	-1.8843	2.7496	2.5771	1.9171	3.9205	0.1249
С	-1.3883	1.5613	3.0016	2.0563	3.3689	1.3558
С	-1.3477	0.3831	2.1329	2.0884	1.9189	1.5573
С	-2.8644	-2.6606	-1.1938	3.2306	-2.5970	0.5551
С	-1.6681	-2.8266	-1.9402	1.9833	-3.2293	0.7977
С	-0.5740	-1.9572	-1.8137	0.7850	-2.5092	0.9202
С	-0.6802	-0.8979	-0.9234	0.8376	-1.1283	0.7929
С	-3.9716	-3.5359	-1.3280	4.4406	-3.3259	0.4305
С	-5.1514	-3.3503	-0.5852	5.6660	-2.6822	0.1821
С	-5.2432	-2.2868	0.3039	5.7019	-1.2995	0.0512
С	-4.1677	-1.3948	0.4672	4.5240	-0.5386	0.1666
0	0.3396	0.0534	-0.6837	-0.2885	-0.2753	0.8788
О	-0.8553	-0.6859	2.5650	2.1692	1.4590	2.7212
н	-4.2660	-0.5765	1.1711	4.5825	0.5386	0.0635
н	-2.9167	1.0819	-1.6179	1.7909	-0.2104	-1.9628
		1			1	i i

 Table S6, S7. XYZ coordinates (in Angstroms) for (R)-Boc-Pro-BINOL and (S)-Boc-Pro-BINOL.

		0.0011	2.0511	1.5021	4.5121	0.0501
н	-1.9033	3.6166	3.2346	1.8887	5.0005	-0.0063
н	0.3251	-2.0967	-2.3936	-0.1495	-3.0038	1.1351
н	-0.9922	1.4269	4.0035	2.1422	3.9752	2.2526
н	-3.8880	-4.3661	-2.0263	4.4009	-4.4084	0.5338
н	-2.9148	4.9740	1.4710	1.6176	4.7341	-2.4209
н	-6.1516	-2.1348	0.8837	6.6450	-0.7922	-0.1429
н	-3.8083	3.2647	-2.3768	1.5180	0.8162	-4.2000
н	-5.9851	-4.0386	-0.7096	6.5783	-3.2684	0.0915
н	-3.8049	5.2185	-0.8260	1.4269	3.2986	-4.4284
С	1.5189	0.1492	-1.2992	-1.5129	-0.5957	1.2999
С	2.2860	1.3519	-0.7315	-2.3676	0.6773	1.3478
0	1.9510	-0.5404	-2.2048	-1.9260	-1.6868	1.6465
Ν	3.7158	1.0676	-0.5579	-3.7629	0.4339	0.9614
С	4.2286	-0.0142	0.0968	-4.1670	-0.2178	-0.1638
0	5.4242	-0.2840	0.1212	-5.3318	-0.5243	-0.3909
0	3.2362	-0.6914	0.7179	-3.1091	-0.4303	-0.9862
С	3.4467	-2.0402	1.2673	-3.1834	-1.3989	-2.0860
С	3.9792	-2.9652	0.1673	-3.6223	-2.7652	-1.5478
С	4.3927	-1.9570	2.4700	-4.1229	-0.8697	-3.1754
С	2.0384	-2.4569	1.6940	-1.7364	-1.4539	-2.5832
н	1.3667	-2.5099	0.8338	-1.0688	-1.8157	-1.7966
н	2.0726	-3.4435	2.1681	-1.6619	-2.1283	-3.4424
н	1.6093	-1.7445	2.4019	-1.3961	-0.4607	-2.8897
н	5.3786	-1.6058	2.1626	-5.1426	-0.7896	-2.7970
н	3.9874	-1.2717	3.2212	-3.7912	0.1176	-3.5133
н	4.4910	-2.9459	2.9312	-4.1105	-1.5463	-4.0371
Н	4.9896	-2.6827	-0.1321	-4.6583	-2.7376	-1.2071
Н	3.9911	-3.9977	0.5324	-3.5283	-3.5184	-2.3374
---	--------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------
Н	3.3225	-2.9148	-0.7059	-2.9820	-3.0552	-0.7101
С	2.2937	2.5296	-1.7376	-2.5013	1.1962	2.8015
Н	1.8303	1.6380	0.2208	-1.9110	1.4278	0.6959
С	3.6732	3.1819	-1.5493	-3.9202	1.7844	2.8624
Н	2.2123	2.1245	-2.7518	-2.4234	0.3458	3.4870
Н	1.4555	3.2105	-1.5720	-1.7112	1.9071	3.0518
С	4.5877	1.9781	-1.2954	-4.7293	0.8192	1.9880
Н	3.9868	3.7740	-2.4144	-4.3097	1.8542	3.8824
Н	3.6690	3.8401	-0.6726	-3.9367	2.7894	2.4244
Н	4.9221	1.5223	-2.2383	-5.0623	-0.0587	2.5592
Н	5.4778	2.2112	-0.7055	-5.6122	1.2691	1.5267
	1				1	

Electronic energies were calculated to be -1591.023462 a.u. and -1591.023144 a.u. for the (R) and (S) enantiomers, respectively, corresponding to a difference  $\Delta E(S - R) = 0.199$  kcal/mol. Thermal, rotational, and vibrational contributions to total internal energy were assumed to be equal as a first approximation, giving rise to the following equations for enatiomeric excess (%ee):

 $\Delta E(S-R) = -RT \ln (K)$   $K = \frac{f_S}{1 - f_S}$   $\left| \frac{f_R - f_S}{1 - f_S} \right|$ 

$$\% ee = \left| \overline{f_R + f_S} \right| *100\%$$

where  $f_S$  is the fraction of (S) enantiomer, and  $f_R = 1 - f_S$  is the fraction of (R) enantiomer. With  $\Delta E(S - R) = 0.199$  kcal/mol, the above treatment gives rise to a 17% ee for the (R) enantiomer. While the enatiomeric excess value was calculated using  $\Delta E$  in vacuum, as opposed to  $\Delta G$  in toluene, the results are qualitatively consistent with measured ee values, suggesting DFT may be a useful tool in predicting and designing alternative auxiliary groups. Efforts are underway to expand the theory to model both

ground and excited states of protonated and deprotonated forms of BINOL derivatives, taking solvent into account.