Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Supplementary Information for

Selenols: A new class of Carbonic Anhydrase inhibitors

Andrea Angeli,^{‡a} Damiano Tanini,^{‡b} Alessio Nocentini,^{a,c} Antonella Capperucci,^b Marta Ferraroni,^b Paola Gratteri^{a,c} and Claudiu T. Supuran^{*a}

^a University of Florence, NEUROFARBA Department, Sezione di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Via Ugo Schiff 6, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy.

^b University of Florence, Department of Chemistry "Ugo Schiff", Via della Lastruccia 13, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy.

^c University of Florence, NEUROFARBA – Pharmaceutical and nutraceutical section; Laboratory of Molecular Modeling Cheminformatics & QSAR, Via Ugo Schiff 6, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Florence

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Table of Contents

Experimental Procedures	p. S3
General information	p. S3
Optimization of the reaction conditions	p. S3
General Procedure for the preparation of aryl selenols 2 from diselenides 1	p. S4
Product Characterisation	p. S4
NMR Spectra of synthesised compounds	p. S7
Carbonic anhydrase inhibition	p. S19
Data inhibition of diselenide 1a-j	p. S19
Crystallization and X-ray data collection	p. S20
Structure determination	p. S20
Summary of Data Collection and Atomic Model Refinement Statistics	p. S21
In silico studies	p. S22
References	p. S25

Experimental Procedures

General information

All reactions were carried out in an oven-dried glassware under inert atmosphere (N₂). Ethanol was dried using a solvent purification system (Pure-SolvTM). All commercial materials were used as received without further purification. Diselenides **1b-l** were synthesized from the corresponding aryl bromides or iodides following literature reported procedures.^[1] Flash column chromatography purifications were performed with Silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Thin layer chromatography was performed with TLC plates Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄. Mass spectra (MS) were determined by ESI. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ with Mercury 400, and Bruker 400 Ultrashield spectrometers operating at 400 MHz (for ¹H), 100 MHz (for ¹³C), and 76 MHz (for ⁷⁷Se). NMR signals were referenced to nondeuterated residual solvent signals (7.26 ppm for ¹H, 77.0 ppm for ¹³C). (PhSe)₂ was used as an external reference for ⁷⁷Se NMR (δ = 461 ppm). ¹H NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, ap d = apparent doublet, m = multiplet, dd = doublet of doublet, bs = broad singlet, bd = broad doublet, ecc.), coupling constant (*J*) or line separation (ls), and assignment.

Optimization of the reaction conditions

	Ph., Se	i) NaBH ₄ (3 Solvent, 0°	3.0 eq.) C, time	SeH
	Se ``Ph 1a-j	ii) H⁺ sourc 0°C, 5 min	then RT	2a-j
Entry	Solvent	Time (min)	H ⁺ source	Yield (%)
1	THF	30	HCI	15
2	THF	30	NH ₄ CI	<5
3	THF	30	Citric acid	55
4	EtOH	10	HCI	10
5	EtOH	10	NH ₄ CI	<5
6	EtOH	10	Citric acid	76
7	EtOH	10	Tartaric acid	<5
8	EtOH	10	Ascorbic aci	d 21

Table S1 Optimization of the synthesis of aryl selenols

General Procedure for the preparation of aryl selenols 2 from diselenides 1

NaBH₄ (23 mg, 0.6 mmol, 3.0 eq.) was portionwise added to a stirred solution or suspension (depending on the nature of the diselenide) of diselenide 1 (0.2 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in EtOH (2 mL) at 0°C under inert atmosphere (N₂). After 15 min, solid citric acid (192 mg, 1.0 mmol, 5.0 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 5 minutes. The mixture was then diluted with Et₂O (5 mL) and H₂O (3 mL) was added. The layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with saturated *aq*. NH₄Cl (2 mL) and with brine (2 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to afford aryl selenols 2, pure enough to be used without further purification.

Product Characterisation

Synthesis of benzeneselenol (2a)

 $\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:self} \belowing the general procedure, diphenyl diselenide 1a (125 mg, 0.40 mmol) gave benzeneselenol 2a (95 mg, 76%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) \delta (ppm): 1.56 (1H, s, SeH); 7.22-7.25 (3H, m); 7.44-7.47 (2H, m). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) \delta (ppm): 124.5; 126.5; 129.3; 132.8. ^77Se NMR (76 MHz, CDCl_3) \delta (ppm): 144.1. HRMS m/z calcd for C₆H₆Se 157.9635, found 157.9612. \\ \end{array}$

Synthesis of 2-methoxybenzeneselenol (2b)

SeH SeH Following the general procedure, 1,2-bis(2-methoxyphenyl)diselane 1b (75 mg, 0.20 mmol) gave 2-methoxybenzeneselenol 2b (42.2 mg, 56%).¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.53 (1H, s, SeH); 3.88 (3H, s); 6.80-6.85 (2H, m); 7.16-7.21 (1H, m); 7.39 (1H, dd, *J*=1.5, 7.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 55.8; 110.6; 115.7, 121.6, 127.4, 132.5, 156.4. ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 73.0. HRMS m/z calcd for C₇H₈OSe 187.9740, found 187.9764.

Synthesis of 3-methoxybenzeneselenol (2c)

Synthesis of 4-methoxybenzeneselenol (2d)

Following the general procedure, 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)diselane 1d (75 mg, SeH 0.20 mmol) gave 4-methoxybenzeneselenol 2d (64.1 mg, 85%).¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.45 (1H, s, SeH), 3.78 (3H, s, OCH₃), 6.79 (2H, ap d, ls=8.4 Hz), 7.41 (2H, ap d, ls=8.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2d 58.2; 112.9; 114.9, 135.3, 159.0. ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 119.9. HRMS m/z calcd for C₇H₈NaOSe 210.9638, found 210.9615.

Synthesis of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzeneselenol (2e)



Following the general procedure, 1,2-bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)diselane 1e (75 mg, 0.15 mmol) gave 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzeneselenol 2e (71.5 mg, 95%).¹H **NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.62 (1H, s, SeH), 3.81 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.84 (6H, s, OCH₃), 6.69 (2H, s). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 56.2; 60.9; 110.7; 117.7; 137. 3; 153.5. HRMS m/z calcd for C₉H₁₂NaO₃Se 270.9849, found 270.9827.

Synthesis of 2-methylbenzeneselenol (2f)



2f

Following the general procedure, 1,2-di-o-tolyldiselane 1f (75 mg, 0.22 mmol) gave SeH 2-methylbenzeneselenol **2f** (49.8 mg, 66%). ¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.37 (1H, s, SeH), 2.36 (3H, s, CH₃), 7.02 (1H, ap t, J=7.4 Hz), 7.12-7.19 (2H, m), 7.46 (1H, ap d, J=7.7 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 23.0, 126.7, 126.9, 130.2, 133.2, 138.1. ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 63.4. HRMS m/z calcd for C₇H₈NaSe 194.9689, found 194.9707.

Synthesis of 4-methylbenzeneselenol (2g)

Following the general procedure, 1,2-di-*p*-tolyldiselane 1g (75 mg, 0.22 mmol) gave SeH 4-methylbenzeneselenol **2g** (45.3 mg, 60%). ¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.47 (1H, s, SeH), 2.31 (3H, s, CH₃), 7.04 (2H, ap. D, ls=8.0 Hz), 7.36 (2H, ap.d, 2g ls=8.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 21.0, 120.1, 130.1, 133.0, 136.1. ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 67.8. HRMS m/z calcd for C₇H₈NaSe 194.9689, found 194.9711.

Synthesis of 2,6-dimethylbenzeneselenol (2h)



Following the general procedure, 1,2-bis(2,6-dimethylphenyl)diselane 1h (75 mg, 0.20 mmol) gave 2,6-dimethylbenzeneselenol 2h (58.8 mg, 78%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.37 (1H, s, SeH); 2.39 (6H, s); 7.01-7.07 (3H, m). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 24.4; 125.8; 127.7; 138.1. ⁷⁷Se NMR (76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 38.6. **HRMS** m/z calcd for C₈H₁₀NaSe 208.9845, found 208.9863.

2h

Synthesis of naphthalene-2-selenol (2i)



Following the general procedure, 1,2-di(naphthalen-2-yl)diselane **1i** (75 mg, 0.18 mmol) gave naphthalene-2-selenol **2i** (63.3 mg, 84%). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.69 (1H, s, SeH), 7.44-7.51 (3H, m), 7.68-7.74 (2H, m), 7.78-7.82 (1H, m), 7.94 (1H, s). ¹³**C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 122.0, 125.8,

126.6, 126.9, 127.8, 128.6, 130.6, 131.0, 131.8, 134.1. HRMS m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_8$ NaSe 230.9689, found 230.9702.

Synthesis of 4-fluorobenzeneselenol (2j)



Following the general procedure, 1,2-bis(4-fluorophenyl)diselane **11** (75 mg, 0.22 mmol) gave 4-fluorobenzeneselenol **21** (48.3 mg, 64%). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.55 (1H, s, SeH); 6.89-7.03 (2H, m), 7.40-7.47 (2H, m). ¹³**C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 116.4 (d, ²*J*_{C-F}=21.7 Hz), 118.0, 135.2 (d, ³*J*_{C-F}=7.8 Hz), 162.2 (d, ¹*J*_{C-F}=246.4 Hz). **HRMS** m/z calcd for C₆H₅FNaSe 198.9438, found

198.9419.

NMR Spectra of synthesised compounds

¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2a** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **2a** (CDCl₃, 100 MHz)



 ^{77}Se NMR spectrum of compound 2a (CDCl₃, 76 MHz)





 ^{77}Se NMR spectrum of compound 2b (CDCl₃, 76 MHz)







¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2d** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



 ^{77}Se NMR spectrum of compound 2d (CDCl₃, 76 MHz)



¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2e** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **2e** (CDCl₃, 100 MHz)



^{13}C NMR spectrum of compound 2f (CDCl₃, 100 MHz)



¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2g** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **2g** (CDCl₃, 100 MHz)



 ^{77}Se NMR spectrum of compound 2g (CDCl₃, 76 MHz)



¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2h** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



 ^{77}Se NMR spectrum of compound 2h (CDCl₃, 76 MHz)



¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2i** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **2i** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



Carbonic anhydrase inhibition

An Applied Photophysics stopped-flow instrument has been used for assaying the CA catalyzed CO₂ hydration activity.^[2] Phenol red (at a concentration of 0.2 mM) has been used as indicator, working at the absorbance maximum of 557 nm, with 20 mMHepes (pH 7.5) as buffer, and 20 mM Na₂SO₄ (for maintaining constant the ionic strength), following the initial rates of the CA-catalyzed CO₂ hydration reaction for a period of 10–100 s. The CO₂ concentrations ranged from 1.7 to 17 mM for the determination of the kinetic parameters and inhibition constants. For each inhibitor at least six traces of the initial 5–10% of the reaction have been used for determining the initial velocity. The uncatalyzed rates were determined in the same manner and subtracted from the total observed rates. Stock solutions of inhibitor (0.1 mM) were prepared in distilled-deionized water and dilutions up to 0.01 nM were done thereafter with the assay buffer. Inhibitor and enzyme solutions were preincubated together for 15 min at room temperature prior to assay, in order to allow for the formation of the E-I complex. The inhibition constants were obtained by non-linear least-squares methods using PRISM 3 and the Cheng–Prusoff equation ([IC₅₀=K_i(1+[S]/K_m)]), as reported earlier,^[3] and represent the mean from at least three different determinations. All CA isofoms were recombinant ones obtained in-house as reported earlier.^[3]

Data inhibition of diselenide 1a-j

$K_{I} (\mu M)^{*}$					
Стр	hCA I	hCAII	hCA VII	hCA IX	
1a	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1b	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1c	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1d	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1e	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1f	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1g	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1 h	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1i	>100	>100	>100	>100	
1j	>100	>100	>100	>100	
ĂAZ	0.25	0.012	0.002	0.026	

Table S2 Inhibition data of human CA isoforms I, II, VII and IX with compounds **1a-j** and **AAZ** by a stopped flow CO_2 hydrase assay.²

* Mean from 3 different assays, by a stopped flow technique (errors were in the range of \pm 5-10 % of the reported values).

Crystallization and X-ray data collection

Crystals were obtained using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method using 24 well Linbro plate. 2 μ l of 10 mg/ml solution of hCA I in Tris-HCl 20 mM pH 9.0 were mixed with 2 μ l of a solution of 28-31% PEG4000, 0.2 M Sodium acetate, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5-9.0 and were equilibrated against the same solution at 296 K. Crystals of the protein grew in fifteen days. Afterwards hCAI crystals were soaked in 5mM inhibitor solution for 3 days.

The crystals were flash-frozen at 100K using a solution obtained by adding 15% (v/v) glycerol to the mother liquor solution as cryoprotectant. Data on crystals of the complexes were collected using synchrotron radiation at the ID23-2 beamline at ESRF (Grenoble, France) with a wavelength of 0.873 Å and a PILATUS3 2M Dectris CCD detector.

2 µl of 0.8 mM solution of hCA II in Tris-HCl pH=8.0 were mixed with of a solution of 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 M sodium citrate, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0 and were equilibrated against 500 µl of the same solution at 296 K. Crystals of the complexes grew in a few days. hCAII crystals were soaked in 5mM inhibitor solution for 2 days. The crystals were flash-frozen at 100K using a solution obtained by adding 25% (v/v) glycerol to the mother liquor solution as cryoprotectant. Data on crystals of the complexes were collected using synchrotron radiation at the ID-23-2 beamline at ESRF (Grenoble, France) with a wavelength of 0.873 Å and a PILATUS3 2M Dectris CCD detector. Data were integrated and scaled using the program XDS.^[4]

Structure determination

The crystal structure of hCA I (PDB accession code: 1JV0) and hCA II (PDB accession code: 4FIK) without solvent molecules and other heteroatoms was used to obtain initial phases of the structures using Refmac5.^[5] 5% of the unique reflections were selected randomly and excluded from the refinement data set for the purpose of Rfree calculations. The initial |Fo - Fc| difference electron density maps unambiguously showed the inhibitor molecules. Atomic models for inhibitors were calculated and energy minimized using the program JLigand 1.0.40.^[6] Refinements proceeded using normal protocols of positional, isotropic atomic displacement parameters alternating with manual building of the models using COOT.^[7] Solvent molecules were introduced automatically using the program ARP.^[8] The quality of the final models were assessed with COOT and RAMPAGE.^[9] Atomic coordinates were deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB accession code: 6HWZ and 6HX5). Graphical representations were generated with Chimera.^[10]

Summary of Data Collection and Atomic Model Refinement Statistics.

-	HCAII + 2a	HCAI + 2a
PDB ID	6HX5	6HWZ
Wavelength (Å)	0.873	0.873
Space Group	P21	P212121
Unit cell (a, b, c, α , β , γ) (Å,°)	42.31, 41.44, 72.11, 90.0, 104.3, 90.0	62.38, 71.52, 120.69, 90.0, 90.0, 90.0
Limiting resolution (Å)	41.00 -1.44 (1.58 - 1.44)	28.59 - 1.64 (1.73 - 1.64)
Unique reflections	43339 (9882)	66390 (9064)
Rsym (%)	9.9 (56.8)	15.0 (481.6)
Rmeas (%)	10.9 (65.0)	15.5 (499.3)
Redundancy	5.37 (4.17)	15.12 (13.87)
Completeness overall (%)	98.1 (92.9)	97.4 (83.6)
<i o(i)=""></i>	10.93 (2.85)	10.00 (0.40)
CC (1/2)	99.6 (84.3)	99.9 (28.4)
Refinement statistics		
Resolution range (Å)	41.0 - 1.44	28.59 - 1.64
Unique reflections, working/free	41176/2154	62499/3264
Rfactor (%)	18.82	22.45
Rfree(%)	20.98	26.91
r.m.s.d. bonds(Å)	0.018	0.0144
r.m.s.d. angles (°)	1.912	1.669
Ramachandran statistics (%)		
Most favored	96.9	96.5
additionally allowed	3.1	3.5
outlier regions	0.0	0.0
Average B factor (Å ²)		
All atoms	15.255	38.962
inhibitors	14.149	36.612
solvent	23.008	47.644

 Table S3 Summary of Data Collection and Atomic Model Refinement Statistics

In silico studies

2OSF^[11] and 5FL4^[12] crystal structures used for computational studies were prepared according to the Protein Preparation module in Maestro (residue numbers for hCA II according to 5LJT)^[13] - Schrödinger suite, assigning bond orders, adding hydrogens, deleting water molecules, and optimizing H-bonding networks. Finally, energy minimization with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) value of 0.30 was applied using an Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulation (OPLS-2005) force field.^{[14],[15]} Grids for docking were centred in the centroid of the complexed ligand. Docking studies were carried out with the program Glide^[14f] using the standard precision (SP) mode. 3D ligand structures were prepared by Maestro.^[14a] QM geometry optimization and atomic electrostatic charges computation were performed with Jaguar^[14g] fitting them to an electrostatic potential calculated at the B3LYP/cc/pvtz-f level of theory. ESP atomic charges were used in docking simulations. OPLS-2005 force field was modified according to Schrödinger to enable negatively charged selenate moieties for docking procedure in Glide. Indeed, the OPLS FF does not support parameters for the Lewis structure of selenates. The best docking pose for each compound was submitted to DFT (B3LYP/LACVP*⁺) calculations with Jaguar within both built model systems. The QM optimized ligands were rescored in the complete hCA macromolecular environment with Glide.



Figure S1. Superimposition of 2a crystallographic (light green) and docked pose (dark green) – RMDS 0.54 Å.



Figure S2 Deviation of the Zn-Se-C (°) angle from the CSD search reference value (103.53 °) for compounds 2a-j within hCA II (orange) and hCA IX (blue) binding site cavity.

	hCA II (20SF) ^[11]		hCA IX (5FL4) ^[12]			
Cmpd	Zn-Se (Å)	Se-C (Å)	Zn-Se-C (°)	Zn-Se (Å)	Se-C (Å)	Zn-Se-C (°)
2a	2,50	1,97	103,6	2.52	1.96	103.5
2b	2.48	1.96	101.7	2.52	1.96	102.4
2c	2.47	1.97	99.9	2.52	1.97	104.4
2d	2.50	1.97	100.4	2.51	1.96	102.7
2e	2.45	1.95	94.9	2.50	1.95	97.6
2f	2.48	1.96	101.9	2.51	1.97	103.1
2g	2,50	1,97	101,4	2.52	1.96	103.6
2h	2.49	1.98	102.9	2.49	1.98	103
2i	2.49	1.97	102.4	2.52	1.96	105.2
2j	2.46	1.96	103.7	2.52	1.96	102.3
Hydrolized	2.18(7nS)	1.76 (S-C)	109.2	-		
Tioxolone (2OSF)	2.10 (ZII-5)		(Zn-S-C)	-		
R-Se ⁻ (3WC5) ^[16]	2.39-2.43	1.98	99.4-101.7			
	C	Se-C (Å)	Zn-Se-C (°)			
Reference values from CSD search	Se Zn	1.93	103.53			

Table S4: Se-Zn coordination properties (Se-Zn bond length and the Zn-Se-C angle) of derivatives**2a-j** obtained by docking/QM studies.

References

[1] (a) Science of Synthesis, Houben-Weyl Methods of Molecular Transforma-tions. Vol. 31a. Compounds with Two Carbon-Heteroatom bonds. Edited by *C. A. Ramsden*. Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, New York, 2007. (b) D. Singh, A. M. Deobald, L. R. S. Camargo, G. Tabarelli, O. E. D. Rodrigues, A. L. Braga, *Org. Lett.* **12**, 2010, 3288-3291.

[2] R.G. Khalifah, J. Biol. Chem., 246, 1971, 2561-2573.

[3] (a) A. Angeli, D. Tanini, A. Capperucci, C. T. Supuran, *Bioorganic Chemistry*, 76, 2018, 268-272. (b) A. Angeli, D. Tanini, A. Capperucci, C. T. Supuran, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 8, 2018, 1213-1217. (c) A. Angeli, F. Marta, C.T. Supuran *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 9, 2018, 1035-1038 (d) A. Angeli, D. Tanini, A. Capperucci, G. Malevolti, F. Turco, M. Ferraroni, C.T. Supuran. *Bioorg Chem.* 81, 2018, 642-648.

[4] A.G.W. Leslie, H.R. Powell, In: Evolving methods for macromolecular crystallography, Vol 245 (Eds.:R.J. Read, J.L. Sussman), NATO Science series, Springer, 2007, pp. 41-51.

[5] G.N. Murshudov, A.A Vagin., E.J. Dodson, Acta Cryst., D53, 1997, 240.

[6] A.A. Lebedev, P. Young, M.N. Isupov, O.V. Moroz, A.A. Vagin, G.N. Murshudov, *D Biol. Crystallogr.*, **68**, 2012, 431.

[7] P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. Scott, K. Cowtan, Acta Cryst. D66, 2010, 486.

[8] V.S. Lamzin, A. Perrakis, K.S. Wilson, In Int. Tables for Crystallography. Vol. F, (Eds.: M.G. Rossmann, & E. Arnold) Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.

[9] S.C. Lovell, I.W. Davis, W.B. Arendall III, P.I.W. de Bakker, J.M. Word, M.G. Prisant, J.S. Richardson, D.C. Richardson, *Struct. Funct. Genet.* **50**, 2003, 437.

[10] E.F. Pettersen, T.D. Goddard, C.C. Huang, G.S. Couch, D.M. Greenblatt, E.C. Meng, T.E. Ferrin, *J Comput Chem*, **25**, 2004, 1605.

[11] A.A. Barrese, C. Genis, S.Z. Fisher, J.N. Orwenyo, M.T. Kumara, S.K. Dutta, E. Phillips, J.J. Kiddle, C. Tu, D.N. Silverman, L. Govindasamy, M. Agbandje-McKenna, R. McKenna, B.C. Tripp. *Biochemistry* **47**, 2008, 3174-3184.

[12] J Leitans, A Kazaks, A Balode, J Ivanova, R Zalubovskis, CT Supuran, K. Tars. *J. Med. Chem.* **58**, 2015, 9004-9009

[13] A Nocentini, M Ferraroni, F Carta, M Ceruso, P Gratteri, C Lanzi, E Masini, CT Supuran. J. *Med. Chem.* **59**, 2016, 10692-10704.

[14] Schrödinger Suite Release 2016-1, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2016: (a) Maestro v.10.5; (b) Epik, v.3.5; (c) Impact, v.7.0; (d) Prime, v.4.3; (e) Macromodel v.11.1. (f) Glide, v.7.0; (g) Jaguar, v.9.1;

[15] (a) G. A.Kaminski, R. A. Friesner, J. Tirado-Rives, W.L. Jorgensen, *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 105, 2001, 6474-6487; (b) V. Hornak, R. Abel, A. Okur, B. Strockbine, A. Roitberg, C. Simmerling, *Proteins* 65, 2006, 712-725.

[16] N. Yoshimoto, T. Itoh, Y. Inaba, H. Ishii, K. Yamamoto J Med. Chem. 56, 2013, 7527-7535