A Catecholamine Neurotransmitter: Epinephrine as a CO₂ Wet Scrubbing Agent

Ala'a F. Eftaiha,^{a*} Farah M. Mustafa,^a Fatima Alsoubani,^a Khaleel I. Assaf,^{b*} Abdussalam K. Qaroush^{c*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, The Hashemite University, P. O. Box 150459, Zarqa 13115, Jordan. **E-mail: alaa.eftaiha@hu.edu.jo**^bDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Al-Balqa Applied University, P. O. Box 19117, Al-Salt, Jordan. **E-mail: khaleel.assaf@bau.edu.jo**^cDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The University of Iordan, Amman 11942, Iordan

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan. **E-mail: a.qaroush@ju.edu.jo**

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

1. Materials and Methods

A. Chemicals

All chemicals were used without purification. Epinephrine hydrochloride (EPN•HCl), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-*d*₆), deuterium oxide (D₂O, 99 atom% D), sodium hydride (NaH, 60% in mineral oil), sodium bicarbonate (NaH¹³CO₃, 99 atom% ¹³C), monoethanolamine (MEA, 98%, *d* = 1.012 g.mL⁻¹), and *o*-catechol (CAT, \geq 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Moreover, 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (TMG, 99%) and DMSO (HPLC/Spectro grade) were obtained from Acros and TEDIA, respectively. The metal hydroxides, NaOH and KOH were purchased from Gainland Chemical Co. and Net Tech Ltd, respectively. CO₂ (industrial grade) together with N₂ (industrial grade) were purchased from Advanced Technical Gases Co. (Amman, Jordan).

B. Instruments

Solution ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹H-¹⁵N heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were collected at room temperature using (AVANCE-III 400 MHz (¹H: 400.13 MHz, ¹³C: 100.61 MHz, ¹⁵N: 40.560 MHz) FT-NMR NanoBay spectrometer (Bruker, Switzerland). *Ex situ* ATR-FTIR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Vertex 70-FT-IR spectrometer at room temperature coupled with a Vertex Pt-ATR-FTIR accessory.

C. Experimental procedures

In a 100 mL, Schlenk flask, 45 mg (0.204 mmol) of EPN•HCl was dried *in vaccuo* for two hours using a Schlenk line. The sample was dissolved in a 1.0 mL DMSO- d_6 . Upon dissolution, a NaOH pellet (*ca.* 426 mg, 10.6 mmol) or NaH (*ca.* 22.1 mg, 0.92 mol; after rinsing with hexane five to six times) were introduced under CO₂ atmosphere *via* Schlenk line and stirred for 30.0 minutes. Because of the limited solubility of KOH (0.13 g\L in in DMSO), 22 mg of EPN•HCl was used. A shorter stirring time (10 minutes) was applied when the sample preparation was conducted under N₂ atmosphere. For the model compounds, a 1.0 mL (16.57 mmol) of neat MEA was mixed with 1.0 mL DMSO- d_6 and bubbled with CO₂ for 30.0 minutes. In the case of CAT, a 168 mg (1.53 mmol) was dissolved in 1.0 mL DMSO- d_6 . The solution was bubbled with CO₂ for 30.0 minutes upon activation with NaOH pellet (*ca.* 413 mg, 10.3 mmol). When TMG (*ca.* 0.38 ml, 3 mmol) was used, the bubbling was spanned for 60 minutes. It is worth to mention that a pink suspension was formed when the sample was treated with NaOH.

For the *ex situ* ATR-FTIR measurements, the same protocols were followed using normal DMSO as a solvent.

D. DFT Calculations

Calculations were performed by using Gaussian 09.* Geometry optimizations and energy calculations were carried out using the M026X functional with the 6-311G** basis set at 298 K and 1 atm. Minima were characterized by the absence of imaginary frequencies. A polarisable continuum model (PCM) was used for implicit solvent calculations. Carbon and nitrogen chemical shifts were computed using the gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) method, in which tetramethylsilane and NH₃ were used as reference for the ¹³C and ¹⁵N, respectively.

^{*} M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2010**.

2. Tables

*Table S1.*¹H NMR chemical shift (ppm) of EPN•HCl and its basic form upon activation with KOH, NaH and NaOH under N_2 and CO₂ atmospheres.

| | EPN•HC1 | | EPN/KOH | | EPN/NaH | | EPN/NaOH | |
|-----------------|-------------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|
| | as received | CO_2 | N_2 | CO_2 | N ₂ * | CO ₂ | N ₂ | CO_2 |
| CH ₃ | 2.54 | 2.71 | 2.48 | 2.54 | n.a | 2.71 | 2.25 | 2.71 |
| CH ₂ | 2.92 | 3.15 | 2.84 | 2.95 | n.a | 3.10 | 2.40 | 3.10 |

* The measurement was unsuccessful due to the formation of coagulates.

3. Figures



Figure S1. ATR-FTIR spectra of MEA/DMSO before (green) and after bubbling with CO₂ (blue), and upon activation with NaOH (red) and KOH (grey)



Figure S2. ATR-FTIR spectra of catechol/DMSO before (green) and after bubbling with CO₂ upon activation with NaOH (red) and KOH (grey)