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## Details for the simulation of the solide powder EPR spectra

In order to account for broadening effects we assume one nuclear spin per trimer with $I_{a}=I_{b}$ $=3 / 2$ with a hyperfine interaction $A_{\mid l, i}=280 \mathrm{MHz}$. It was found that better agreement between theory and experiment can be achieved by allowing for a slight rotation of the $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{b}}$ along the $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ vector to an angle $\xi=0.5^{\circ}$ and introducing an ordering of the molecules according to the orientation distribution [see reference 26] $P(\theta)=\exp (-U(\theta))$ with $U(\theta)=-\lambda(3$ $\cos 2 \theta-1) / 2$ ), where $\theta$ is the angle between the molecular $z$ axis and the static magnetic field. The ordering parameter was fixed to $\lambda=-10$ (http://www.easyspin.org/). The simulation of the parallel mode X-band spectrum does not account for several resonances in the 250-500 mT range which are remnants from the allowed $\Delta \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}= \pm 1$ transitions. The little difference of the obtained parameters at the two frequencies are attributed to instrumental conditions


Figure S1. $\chi_{M} T$ vs $T$ experimental data and calculated curves for 1 according to the models described in the text. The solid black line represents fit according to solution B. In the dimer of equilateral $\mathrm{Cu}_{3}$ cluster model $\chi_{M} T$ is considered per trimeric unit.


Figure S2. X-band EPR spectra of a frozen solution in THF of 1 at 4.2 and 10 K . The signals, scaled as $I \times T$, are super-imposable indicating that they arise from a ground state. EPR conditions: microwave frequency, 9.41 GHz ; microwave power, $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~W}$; modulation amplitude, $10 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{pp}}$.


Figure S3. Hyperfine patterns from an axial $S=1 / 2$ system in the $g_{\|}\left(>g_{\perp}\right)$ region with three $\mathrm{I}=3 / 2$ nuclei according to model I $\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}=-\mathrm{a} / 3, \mathbf{A}_{2}=\mathbf{A}_{3}=+2 \mathrm{a} / 3\right.$; green line) and model II ( $\mathbf{A}_{1}=\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{A}_{2}=\mathbf{A}_{3}=0$; red line). $\mathrm{g}_{\|}=2.25 ; \mathrm{a}_{\|}=425 \mathrm{MHz}$. For the simulations an intrinsic line-width of $\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ $=0.8 \mathrm{mT}$ was assumed.


Figure S4. Dependence of the energy levels on the magnetic field for an effective $\mathrm{S}=1$ system characterized by the spin Hamiltonian $H_{\text {zfs }}=\mathrm{DS}_{2}{ }^{2}+\beta \mathrm{BgS}$ for two orientations of the magnetic field relative to the $z$-axis of the $\boldsymbol{D}$-tensor. $\mathrm{D}=-0.033 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \boldsymbol{g}=[1.65,1.65,2.25]$. The blue and red vertical bars indicate the EPR transitions at X- and Q-band respectively.


Figure S5. Dependence of the energy levels on the magnetic field for two spins with $S_{a}=S_{b}=$ $1 / 2$ in the point dipolar approximation. $\boldsymbol{g}_{a, b}=[1.65,1.65,2.25], r_{a b}=4.4 \AA$. The two $\mathbf{g}$-tensors are assumed co-linear. The blue and red vertical bars indicate the EPR transitions at X- and Q-band respectively.

