Supporting Information

Activation of Kagome lattice structured Cu₃V₂O₇(OH)₂·2H₂O volborthite via hydrothermal crystallization for boosting visible light-driven water oxidation

Ping Wang,^{*,†,a,b} Hengyan Yang,^{†,a} Ding Wang,^a AiYing Chen,^a Wei-Lin Dai,^c Xianglong Zhao,^{*,d} Junhe Yang,^{a,b} Xianying Wang^{*,a,b}

^a School of Materials Science and Technology, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Jungong Rd.516, 200093 Shanghai, P.R. China

^b Shanghai Innovation Institute for Materials, 200444 Shanghai, P.R. China

^c Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Handan Rd 220, 200433 Shanghai, P.R. China ^d Key Laboratory of Materials Physics and Anhui Key Laboratory of Nanomaterials and Nanostructures Institute of Solid State Physics Hefei Institutes of Physical Science Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 1125, 230031 Hefei, P.R. China

*To whom corresponding author should be addressed.

Tel: +86-021-55271726

Email: ping.wang@usst.edu.cn (P.W.), xlzhao@issp.ac.cn (X.L. Z) and xianyingwang@usst.edu.cn (X.Y. W).

[†]These authors contributed equally.

Reaction setup



Pyrex quartz reactors

Scheme S1. Schematic diagram of home-bulit multizone photocatalytic reaction testing system consisting of eight air-tight quartz reactors and a 500 W mid-pressure Hg lamp. Notably, a relative low-power Hg lamp was irradiated on each reactor. The performance measurements of samples prepared in different batches were reproducibly conducted at least three times.

TEM/HRTEM images



Figure S1. TEM images of the HT volborthite sample (a, b), the auto-correlated HRTEM images of CoO (c) and Co_3O_4 (d) obtained from the corresponding rectangles in the HRTEM image of 2 wt.% CoO_x /HT in Figure 3.

UV-Vis DRS spectra



Figure S2. (a) Kubelka–Munk transformation of UV-Vis diffuse reflection (UV-Vis DRS) spectra of the volborthites; (b) Tauc plots calculated from the Kubelka-Munk transformation. Top-left inset: photograph for the as-synthesized volborthites taken by an ordinary camera.

High resolution XPS spectra



Figure S3. High resolution XPS spectra of Co 2p on the recycled 2.0 wt% and 10 wt% Co/HT volborthite sample, respectively.

Adsorption capacity and photodegradation pseudo first-order rates

The adsorption capacity is calculated based on the experimental data and according to the mass balance relationship:

$$Q_e = \frac{\left(C_o - C_{eq}\right)V}{m} \tag{1}$$

where Q_e is the amount of dye adsorbed onto the volborthite samples, C_o and C_e are the initial and equilibrated dyes concentrations (mg/L), respectively, V is the volume of solution (L), and m is the mass of the volborthite samples (g).

The intra-particle diffusion model is expressed by:

$$Q_e = k_p t^{1/2} + C (2)$$

where k_p is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant, *C* is a constant related to the thickness of the boundary layer.

The reaction kinetics of photocatalytic degradation is generally followed the pseudo firstorder rate model:[3b]

$$-\ln\left(C_t/C_o\right) = kt \tag{3}$$

where C_o and C are the concentrations of MB solution at times 0 and *t* (mg/L), *k* is the photodegradation rate, which is equal to the corresponding slope of the fitting line, and *t* is the illumination time (min).



t^0.5 (min^0.5)



Samples

Figure S4. (**a**) Kinetic adsorption capacity of the as-synthesized volborthite samples and the corresponding related removal efficiencies. (b) Kinetic behavior of intra-particle diffusion model for MB adsorption; (c) The estimated photodegradation pseudo first-order rates for MB adsorption. Error bars represent that all the measurements were reproducibly conducted at least three times with deviations less than 5%.

Photographs for color variations of MB solution



Figure S5. Color variations of MB solution over the absorption-desorption and photodegradation processes over HT volborthite sample.



Figure S6. XRD pattern of 2.0 wt.% CoO_x/HT volborthite sample after photocatalytic O_2 evolution reaction for 5h.

Theoretical calculation

The conduction band (E_{CB}) and valence band (E_{VB})positions of $Cu_3V_2O_7(OH)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ can be estimated according to Butler and Ginley method using the experimental band gap value and the following equation related to Mulliken electronegativity:¹

$$E_{CB} = \chi - E_e - 0.5E_g \tag{1}$$

$$E_{CB} = E_{VB} - E_g \tag{2}$$

where χ is the geometric mean value of Mulliken electronegativity of the different atoms of the Cu₃V₂O₇(OH)₂·2H₂O material (~5.98 eV), E_e is the free electron energy (E_e = 4.44 ± 0.02 eV) and E_g is the measured band gap of the Cu₃V₂O₇(OH)₂·2H₂O (E_g = ~2.1 eV). Thus, the corresponding E_{CB} and E_{VB} of Cu₃V₂O₇(OH)₂·2H₂O can be calculated to be about +0.49 eV and +2.59 eV, respectively.

Mott-Schottky plots



Figure S7. Mott-Schottky plots of the HT volborthite in dark at 5 KHz and 10 KHz and an AC current of 5 mV with a three-electrode system in a $0.5M \text{ Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution (pH 6.5).

Comparasion of band gaps and positions



Figure S8. Schematic diagram of the band gaps and positions of the volborthite, $BiVO_4$, WO_3 and g- C_3N_4 .

Reference

(1) Butler, M. A.; Ginley, D. S. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1978, 125, 228-232.