SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Cu(I) vs. Ru(II) photosensitizers: elucidation of electron transfer processes within a series of structurally related complexes containing an extended π -system

Ying Zhang,^{a,b} Philipp Traber,^a Linda Zedler,^b Stephan Kupfer,^a Stefanie Gräfe,^a Martin Schulz,^a Wolfgang Frey,^c Michael Karnahl,^{*c} Benjamin Dietzek^{*a,b,d}

- ^a Institute of Physical Chemistry and Abbe Center of Photonics, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Helmholtzweg 4, 07743 Jena, Germany.
- ^b Department Functional Interfaces, Leibniz Institute of Photonic Technology Jena (IPHT), Albert-Einstein-Straße 9, 07745 Jena, Germany.
- ^c Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 55, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany.
- ^d Center for Energy and Environmental Chemistry, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Lessingstrasse 10, 07743 Jena, Germany.
- * Corresponding author. Dr. M. Karnahl, Tel: 0049-711-68564274, E-mail: michael.karnahl@oc.uni-stuttgart.de. Prof. Dr. B. Dietzek, Tel: 0049-3641-206332, E-mail: benjamin.dietzek@leibniz-ipht.de.



Table of Contents

1	Exp	Experimental details									
	1.1	Characterization methods	S2								
	1.2	Computational details	S3								
	1.3	Synthetic details	S4								
2	NN	IR and MS spectra of the complexes 1–3	S8								
3	Cry	stallographic data and structures of the complexes 1 and 2	S10								
4	Ab	sorption spectra of complexes 1–4	S13								
5	TD	DFT calculations on 1–3 and 5–6	S14								
6	UV	-Vis-SEC on the redox of complexes 1–4	S30								
7	RR	data of complexes 1–7	S32								
8	Re	ductive RR-SEC of complexes 1–7	S39								

1 Experimental details

1.1 Characterization methods

NMR spectra were recorded either on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 or a Bruker Avance 500 at 298 K and processed with Bruker TopSpin software (version 3.2). The chemical shifts δ are given in parts per million (ppm). ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR shifts are referenced to tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta = 0$ ppm). ³¹P{¹H}-NMR shifts are proton decoupled and given relatively to H₃PO₄ (85 %, $\delta = 0$ ppm) as an external reference. Coupling constants *J* are given as absolute values in Hz, without considering the nature of the coupling. For the characterization of the NMR signals the following abbreviations are used: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet and dd = doublet of doublets.

ESI-MS values are given as m/z. Mass spectrometric measurements were conducted on a Bruker Daltonics micrOTOF-Q by the analytical service of the Institute of Organic Chemistry at the University of Stuttgart.

Elementary analysis (EA) was performed on dry and pulverized samples by the analytical service of the Institute of Organic Chemistry at the University of Stuttgart.

X-ray diffraction. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses were carried out at 100 K on a Bruker Kappa APEXII Duo diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K_{α} ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) or Cu K_{α} ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) radiaton by using Omega-Phi scan technique¹. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXL97 software. ORTEP molecular graphics were performed by XP software². Crystal structures data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre with the respective deposition numbers CCDC1856414 (**1**) and CCDC1856415 (**2**).

Electrochemical measurements were carried out with a SP-150 potentiostat (Bio-Logic, France) in anhydrous acetonitrile (ACN) / 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution using a three-electrode system, including UV-Vis-SEC, RR-SEC and CV measurements. The three-electrode system contains a Pt counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl pseudo-reference electrode and a glassy carbon working electrode for CVs. Argon was bubbled through the solution for 10 minutes prior to each measurement. The potentials were measured with respect to an Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and then ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc^+/Fc) couple served as internal reference under the same experimental conditions. CVs were obtained at a scan rate of 100 mV/s.

UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a double-beam V-670 spectrophotometer (JASCO, Japan) at room temperature. A standard 1 cm quartz cuvette was filled with 5–20 μ M complexes **1–7** in various solvents. The ACN and dichloromethane (DCM) of spectroscopic grade were used without further purification. **Emission spectra** were measured with a Fluorolog-3 fluorimeter (Horiba, Japan) at room temperature. Complexes were dissolved in Ar-saturated solvents. The optical density was around 0.05 at the excitation wavelength in a

¹ Bruker. APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXs Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2008.

² G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, **2008**, A64, 112–122.

standard 1 cm quartz cuvette. The emission intensities were calibrated by the absorbance at the excitation wavelength and the Raman peaks of solvent are subtracted.

FT-Raman spectra were recorded using a MultiSpec spectrometer (Bruker, USA) with a spectral resolution of 1 cm⁻¹ at room temperature. The Raman excitation light at 1064 nm was provided by a Nd:YAG laser (Klastech DeniCAFC-LC-3/40) and the laser power at the sample was 50 mW. For each spectrum 200 scans were averaged. The Raman spectra showed no spectral changes over the measurement time. **Resonance Raman (RR) measurements** were performed through excitation by the visible lasers and detected by an IsoPlane 160 spectrometer (Princeton Instruments, USA) with an entrance slit width of 0.05 mm, a focal length of 750 mm, and grating 1200 or 2400 grooves/mm. The laser system contains a 405 nm diode laser (TopMode-405-HP, Toptica, Germany), a 473 nm diode pumped solid state lasers (HB-Laser, Germany), a 532 nm diode pumped solid state lasers (HB-Laser, Germany), a 532 nm diode pumped solid state lasers (HB-Laser, CrystaLaser, USA). The excitation energy was attenuated to around 5 mW. The Raman signals were recorded by a thermoelectrically cooled PIXIS eXcelon camera (Princeton Instruments, USA). The Raman signals were initially baseline corrected and normalized with respect to a solvent band, *i.e.*, to the signal at 920/1374 cm⁻¹ for ACN. Subsequently the ACN spectrum was subtracted from the RR spectra.

UV-Vis absorption spectroelectrochemistry (UV-Vis-SEC) and Resonance Raman spectroelectrochemistry (RR-

SEC) measurements were performed in a three-electrode thin-layer spectroelectrochemical cell with a pathlength of 1 mm. The setup was described before.^{3,4,5,6} A drilled glassy carbon piece served as the working electrode for UV-Vis-SEC and RR-SEC measurements. The "open circuit potential" spectrum (ocp) was initially recorded without externally applied potential. Subsequently, a given potential was applied by chronoamperometry. Simultaneously, the UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a multichannel spectrometer (Avantes, the Netherlands) for UV-Vis-SEC. Similarly, the RR spectra were recorded prior to and during the chronoamperometry with the RR setup.

1.2 Computational details

All calculations were performed using Gaussian 16 Rev. B.01.⁷ Fully relaxed ground state geometries for all complexes (non-reduced: singlet and singly reduced: doublet) were obtained at the density functional level of

³ J. Schindler, Y. Zhang, P. Traber, J.-F. Lefebvre, S. Kupfer, M. Demeunynck, S. Gräfe, M. Chavarot-Kerlidou and B. Dietzek, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2018**, 122, 83–95.

⁴ Y. Zhang, S. Kupfer, L. Zedler, J. Schindler, T. Bocklitz, J. Guthmuller, S. Rau and B. Dietzek, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2015**, 17, 29637–29646.

⁵ L. Zedler, J. Guthmuller, I. Rabelo de Moraes, S. Kupfer, S. Krieck, M. Schmitt, J. Popp, S. Rau and B. Dietzek, *Chem. Commun.*, **2014**, 50, 5227–5229.

⁶ L. Zedler, S. Kupfer, I. R. de Moraes, M. Wächtler, R. Beckert, M. Schmitt, J. Popp, S. Rau and B. Dietzek, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2014**, 20, 3793–3799.

⁷ Gaussian 16, Revision B.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F.

theory (DFT) using the exchange-correlation hybrid functional B3LYP⁸ in combination with the def2SVPP (also known as def2-SV(P)) double- ζ basis set⁹ which uses an electronic core potential for the 28 lowest electrons of Ruthenium.¹⁰ To take dispersion effects into account, which are at least important for the Copper complexes **1** to **3**, Grimme's D3 dispersion correction with Becke-Johnson damping¹¹ was applied for all complexes. Solvent effects were considered by the integral equation formalism of the polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM)¹² for ACN. To verify the ground state being a local minimum of the 3N-6 potential energy hypersurface frequency calculations were performed. Excited state properties, as are excitation energies, transition dipole moments and electric characters were obtained using the same computational setup as the preliminary ground state calculations via time-dependent DFT (TDDFT). The lowest 100 excited states were calculated for each redox state (non-reduced: singlet and singly reduced: doublet) of each structure, respectively. Additionally, the gradients of bright excited states were calculated for the non-reduced and singly reduced complexes. The nonequilibrium procedure of solvation was used for the calculation of the excitation energies, transition dipole moments and excited state gradients within the Franck-Condon region, which is well adapted for processes where only the fast reorganization of the electronic distribution of the solvent is important. The RR intensities were obtained using a local code using the sum-over-states formalism; detailed information on the computational method can be found in Refs [13,14]. A homogeneous broadening given by Γ = 1500 cm⁻¹ was applied for all RR calculation in resonance with the excitation wavelengths as utilized in the RR experiments. The Cube files for the molecular orbitals as well as for the electron densities, the hole densities and the charge density differences were computed using Multiwfn 3.5¹⁵ and imaged using UCSF Chimera 1.11.¹⁶

1.3 Synthetic details

The Cu(I) precursor $[Cu(ACN)_4]PF_6$ (ACN = acetonitrile), xantphos (xant) and all starting materials of the different diimine ligands (*e.g.* 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) and 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (dmp) were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. If not stated otherwise, all preparations of the Cu(I) compounds were conducted under nitrogen atmosphere by using standard Schlenk

Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2016**.

 ⁸ a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., **1993**, 98, 5648-5652; b) A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A, **1988**, 38, 3098; c) S. H. Vosko, , L. Wilk, M. Nusair, Canadian Journal of Physics, **1980**, 58, 1200-1211; d) P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem., **1994**, 98, 11623–11627; e) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys Rev B, **1988**, 37, 785-789.

⁹ F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2005**,7, 3297-3305.

¹⁰ D. Andrae, U. Häußermann, M. Dolg, H. Stoll, H. Preuß, *Theoret. Chim. Acta*, **1990**, 77, 123-141.

¹¹ S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, *J. Comp. Chem.*, **2011**, 32, 1456-65.

¹² B. Mennucci, C. Cappelli, C. A. Guido, R. Cammi, J. Tomasi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **2009**, 113, 3009–3020.

¹³ M. Wächtler, J. Guthmuller, L. González, B. Dietzerk, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *256* (15–16), 1479–1508

¹⁴ J. Guthmuller, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, 064106.

¹⁵ T. Lu, F. Chen, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **2012**, 33, 580-592.

¹⁶ E. F. Pettersen, T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, G. S. Couch, D. M. Greenblatt, E. C. Meng, T. E. Ferrin, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **2004**, 25, 1605-1612.

techniques. Solvents were purified and dried according to conventional procedures.¹⁷ The heteroleptic copper complexes were synthesized by a one-pot two-step procedure as previously described in literature.^{18,19,20}

Dipyrido[3,2-f:2',3'-h]quinoxaline (dpq), dipyrido[3,2-a:2',3'-c]phenazine (dppz), 3,6,11,12-tetramethyl-dipyrido [3,2-a:2',3'-c]phenazine (tmdppz) and [Cu(xant)(dmp)]PF₆ (**4**) were synthesized according to literature and matched all reported characterization.^{21,22,23} The already known Ru(II) complexes **5–7** (see Figure S1) were also prepared according to literature methods.^{24,25}

[Cu(xant)(dppz)]PF₆ (1)



132 mg (0.35 mmol) of $[Cu(ACN)_4]PF_6$ and 205 mg of xantphos (0.35 mmol) were placed into a dry flask under N₂ atmosphere and dissolved in 15 mL of dry DCM. The mixture was then stirred for 20 h under reflux. A solution of 201 mg dppz (0.35 mmol) in 5 mL of dry DCM was added to the reaction mixture at room temperature. The yellow mixture was refluxed for 3 h, cooled to ambient temperature and eventually added NH₄PF₆ and *n*-hexane. The precipitation was filtered off, washed with *n*-hexane, H₂O and Et₂O. After drying *in vacuo* the title compound was received as a yellow solid in 57 % yield (211 mg, 0.20 mmol).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = 9.68 (dd, *J* = 1.3, 8.2, 2H), 8.62 (s, 2H), 8.45 (dd, *J* = 3.4, 6.5, 2H), 8.10 (dd, *J* = 3.4, 6.5, 2H), 7.86 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 8.2, 2H), 7.82 (dd, *J* = 1.3, 7.8, 2H), 7.28-6.95 (m, 22 H), 6.67-6.61 (m, 2H), 1.78 (s, 6H). ¹³**C-NMR** (125 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = 154.5, 142.4, 132.49, 132.23, 132.37, 130.7, 129.7, 129.2, 128.51, 128.47, 128.43, 127.6, 124.9, 27.2. ³¹**P-NMR** (161 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = -12.76. **(+)ESI-MS** (high resolution) m/z: calcd. for $[C_{57}H_{42}CuN_4OP_2]^+$: 923.2124, found: 923.2101 [M]⁺. **EA** calcd. for $C_{57}H_{42}CuF_6N_4OP_3$: C (calcd: 64.02, found: 63.90), H (calcd: 3.96, found: 4.19), N (calcd: 5.24, found: 5.53).

Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were received from a saturated DCM/*n*-hexane solution (see below).

¹⁷ L. A. Wilfred, L. L. C. Christina, *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals* (Sixth Edition), Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, **2009**.

¹⁸ S.-P. Luo, E. Mejía, A. Friedrich, A. Pazidis, H. Junge, A.-E. Surkus, R. Jackstell, S. Denurra, Gladiali, S. Lochbrunner, M. Beller, *Angew. Chem.*, **2013**, 125, 437-441.

¹⁹ E. Mejía, S.-P. Luo, M. Karnahl, A. Friedrich, S. Tschierlei, A.-E. Surkus, H. Junge, S. Gladiali, S. Lochbrunner, M. Beller, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2013**, 19, 15972-15978

²⁰ M. Heberle, S. Tschierlei, N. Rockstroh, M. Ringenberg, W. Frey, H. Junge, M. Beller, S. Lochbrunner, M. Karnahl, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2017**, 23, 312-319.

²¹ C. Kuhnt, M. Karnahl, S. Tschierlei, K. Griebenow, M. Schmitt, B. Schäfer, S. Krieck, H. Görls, S. Rau, B. Dietzek, J. Popp, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2010**, 12, 1357-1368.

²² R. M. Hartshorn, J. K. Barton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1992**, 114, 5919-5925.

²³ Y. Zhang, M. Heberle, M. Wächtler, M. Karnahl, B. Dietzek, *RSC Advances*, **2016**, 6, 105801-105805.

²⁴ E. Amouyal, A. Homsi, J. Chambron, J. Sauvage, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, **1990**, 1841-1845.

²⁵ S. Rau, B. Schäfer, A. Grüßing, S. Schebesta, K. Lamm, J. Vieth, H. Görls, D. Walther, M. Rudolph, U. W. Grummt, E. Birkner, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **2004**, *357*, 4496-4503.

[Cu(xant)(tmdppz)]PF₆ (2)



102 mg (0.27 mmol) of $[Cu(ACN)_4]PF_6$ and 158 mg of xantphos (0.27 mmol) were placed into a dry Schlenk flask under N₂ atmosphere. After dissolving in 20 mL dry DCM the mixture was stirred for 20 h under reflux. A solution of Me₂dppzMe₂ in 5 mL of dry DCM was added to the reaction mixture at ambient temperature. After refluxing for further 3 h, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, concentrated under reduced pressure. Then NH₄PF₆ and finally *n*-hexane were added at 0 °C to precipitate a bright yellow solid. The solid was filtered off, carefully washed with *n*-hexane, H₂O and Et₂O. The solid compound was dried *in vacuo* to receive the complex in 66 % yield (202 mg, 0.18 mmol).

¹**H-NMR** (500 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = 9.33 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (s, 2H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.30-6.97 (m, 26H), 2.61 (s, 6H), 2.29 (s, 6H), 1.73 (s, 6H). ¹³**C-NMR** (125 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = 160.1, 154.5, 144.3, 142.9, 141.4, 138.2, 133.9, 133.6, 132.72, 132.66, 132.60, 131.1, 129.9, 129.6, 128.6, 128.41, 128.37, 128.34, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 126.3, 125.9, 125.1, 35.6, 27.6, 26.4, 20.1, 19.4. ³¹**P-NMR** (202 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = -12.81. **(+)ESI-MS** (high resolution) m/z: calcd. for $[C_{61}H_{50}CuN_4OP_2]^+$: 979.2750, found: 979.2763 [M]⁺.

Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were again received from a saturated DCM/*n*-hexane solution (see below).

[Cu(xant)(dpq)]PF₆ (3)



160 mg (0.43 mmol) of $[Cu(ACN)_4]PF_6$ and 249 mg of xantphos (0.43 mmol) were placed into a dry Schlenk flask under N₂ atmosphere. After dissolving in 20 mL of dry DCM the mixture was stirred for 20 h under reflux. Then a solution containing 100 mg (0.43 mmol) of the dpq ligand in 5 mL dry DCM was added to the reaction mixture at ambient temperature. After refluxing for further 3 h, the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered off and NH₄PF₆ as well as *n*-hexane were added to the clear yellow filtrate. The yellow precipitation was filtered off, washed with *n*-hexane, H₂O and Et₂O. After drying *in vacuo* the title compound was received as a yellow solid in 77 % yield (337 mg, 0.33 mmol).

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = 9.56 (dd, *J* = 1.4, 8.3, 2H), 9.17 (s, 2H), 8.62 (s, 2H), 7.85 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 8.3, 2H), 7.81 (dd, *J* = 1.0, 7.8, 2H), 7.26-6.92 (m, 21 H), 6.66-6.59 (m, 2H), 1.78 (s, 6H). ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CD₃CN):

 δ (ppm) = 134.2, 132.6, 130.9, 129.8, 128.64, 128.60, 128.57, 127.7, 125.0, 27.3. ³¹P-NMR (161 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) = -12.38. (+)ESI-MS (high resolution) m/z: calcd. for $[C_{53}H_{40}CuN_4OP_2]^+$: 873.1968, (found): 873.1989 [M]⁺. EA calcd. for $[C_{53}H_{40}CuF_6N_4OP_3] \cdot 2H_2O$: C (calcd: 60.32, found: 60.67), H (calcd: 4.20, found: 4.09), N (calcd: 5.31, found: 5.76).



[Ru(bpy)₂(dppz)](PF₆)₂(5)



 $[Ru(bpy)_2(dpq)](PF_6)_2(6)$

 $[Ru(bpy)_2(phen)](PF_6)_2(7)$

Figure S1. Structures of the Ru(II) complexes 5–7.



Figure S2. Comparison of the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ -NMR spectra of the heteroleptic copper complexes **1** (black), **2** (blue) and **3** (red) in CD₃CN. It becomes obvious that there is almost no change in the chemical shift within this series of complexes.



Figure S3. High resolution (+)-ESI mass spectra of the heteroleptic Cu(I) complexes 1 (top) and 2 (bottom) with matching isotopic pattern.

3 Crystallographic data and structures of the complexes 1 and 2

Compound	1	2			
CCDC number [#]	1856414	1856415			
Emperical formula	C ₆₃ H ₅₁ CuF ₆ N ₇ OP ₃	$C_{63.25}H_{55.5}CI_{0.50}CuF_6N_4O_{1.5}OP_3$			
Formula weight	1192.56	1183.79			
Temperature (K)	240(2)	130(2)			
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	1.54178			
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, P 21/n	Triclinic, P-1			
Unit cell dimensions (Å,°)					
a	11.440(4)	12.3503(18)			
α	90	102.835(13)			
b	28.561(9)	21.560(3)			
β	107.390(14)	94.635(12)			
C	18.045(6)	23.198(4)			
γ	90	95.324(12)			
Volume (ų)	5627(3)	5963.9(16)			
Z, calculated density	4, 1.408	4, 1.318			
(Mg m⁻³)					
Absorption coefficient	0.543	2.018			
(mm-1)					
F(000)	2456	2446			
Crystal size (mm)	0.31 x 0.15 x 0.09	0.11 x 0.08 x 0.03			
Theta range for data	1.38 to 26.50	4.23 to 64.00			
collection (°)					
Limiting indices	-13<=h<=14, -35<=k<=35, -	-12<=h<=14, -25<=k<=21, -27<=l<=26			
	20<=l<=22				
Reflections collected /	81001 / 11525 [R(int) = 0.1074]	54587 / 19142 [R(int) = 0.1450]			
unique					
Completeness of data	98.8 % (Theta: 26.50°)	96.6 % (Theta: 64.00°)			
Absorption correction	semi-empirical from equivalents	semi-empirical from equivalents			
Max. and min. transmission	0.7308 and 0.6649	0.7528 and 0.6310			
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix-block least-squares on			
		F^2			
Data / restraints /	11525 / 90 / 780	19142 / 280 / 1556			
parameters					
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.053	1.050			
Final R indices[$l > 2\sigma(l)$]	R1 = 0.0508, wR2 = 0.0991	R1 = 0.0916, wR2 = 0.1957			
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1092, wR2 = 0.1108	R1 = 0.1926, wR2 = 0.2269			
Extinction coefficient		0.00048(5)			
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.406 and -0.382	1.199 and -0.604			
(e.Å ⁻³)					

 Table S1. Crystallographic data and refinement details of the complexes 1 and 2.

[#] CCDC 1856414 (1) and 1856415 (2) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.



Figure S4. Solid state structure (ORTEP representation) of complex **1** with thermal ellipsoids at a probability level of 50 %. The PF_6^- counter anion and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. In contrast to the main text in this visualization all hydrogen atoms are shown and the orientation of **1** is changed to allow for another point of view.



Figure S5. Solid state structure (ORTEP representation) of complex **2** with thermal ellipsoids at a probability level of 50 %. Solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.



Figure S6. Arrangement of two neighboring complexes of compound **1** in the solid state. The phenanthroline part of the dppz ligand stacks with the phenazine part of the second dppz in a centrosymmetric fashion. Distances of around 3.5 to 3.8 Å between the two dppz ligands are indicative for weak intermolecular π - π interactions.

Compound	1	2	4
Diimine ligand	dppz	tmdppz	dmp
Cu-N1	2.097(3)	2.099(6)	2.084(3)
Cu-N2	2.069(2)	2.106(6)	2.112(3)
Cu-P1	2.2857(10)	2.283(2)	2.2626(11)
Cu-P2	2.2311(10)	2.253(2)	2.2863(13)
N1-Cu-N2	79.86(9)	79.8(2)	80.53(13)
P1-Cu-P2	120.02(3)	115.85(8)	112.93(4)
N1-Cu-P1	97.42(6)	104.13(15)	108.77(9)
N1-Cu-P2	131.69(7)	126.49(17)	120.33(9)
N2-Cu-P1	105.88(7)	109.15(17)	120.33(9)
N2-Cu-P2	113.67(7)	115.65(17)	118.59(9)

Table S2. Selected crystallographic bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) of the Cu(I) complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. The data of the reference complex $\mathbf{4}$ is given for comparison and taken from literature.²⁶

²⁶ M. Heberle, S. Tschierlei, N. Rockstroh, M. Ringenberg, W. Frey, H. Junge, M. Beller, S. Lochbrunner, M. Karnahl, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2017**, 23, 312-319.

4 Absorption spectra of complexes 1–4



Figure S7. UV-Vis absorption spectra of the complexes 1–4 in DCM.



Figure S8. Comparison between the calculated geometries (left) and the X-ray structures (right) of **2** (top) and **1** (bottom). The overlapping of the simulated (in red) and experimental structures (in blue) is plotted in the middle.

Table S3. Selected crystallographic bond lengths (Å)	for X-ray structure and the DFT-calculated geometry of ${\bf 1}$
and 2, as well as the mean bond lengths of Cu-N a	nd Cu—P.

Compound	:	1	2			
Compound	X-ray	DFT	X-ray	DFT		
Cu-N1	2.097	2.109	2.099	2.132		
Cu-N2	2.069	2.101	2.106	2.128		
Mean	2.083	2.105	2.103	2.130		
Cu-P1	2.286	2.312	2.283	2.320		
Cu-P2	2.231	2.239	2.253	2.271		
Mean	2.258	2.276	2.268	2.296		

ES Nr	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt.	from	to	Hole	Electron
1	2.40	516	0.0180	0.000	98	239	240		The second
3	2.87	432	0.1053	0.000	89	239	241	The second	The second
10	3.41	364	0.0106	0.000	28 16 53	235 237 238	240 242 242	the second	
11	3.42	363	0.0165	0.000	69 21	235 238	240 242	The second	The second
13	3.63	342	0.2063	0.000	18 58 16	233 234 235	240 240 242		The second

Table S4. Electronic transitions for **1**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.



ES Nr.	En. eV	λ nm	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt. %	from	to	Hole	Electron
7	2.17	572	0.0495	0.770	76 13	240A 240A	247A 250A	the second	
9	2.27	547	0.0463	0.772	13 18 9 39 10	240A 240A 240A 240A 240A	247A 248A 249A 250A 253A	The second	Horas and the second se
10	2.31	536	0.1052	0.785	19 38 20	240A 240A 240A	251A 253A 254A		
21	3.08	403	0.0788	1.490	8 24 11 32	238A 239A 237B 239B	241A 241A 241B 240B		
22	3.08	403	0.0398	1.971	34 9 22	238A 237B 239B	241A 241B 240B		
23	3.13	396	0.0152	1.189	69	240A	258A	The second	The second
27	3.28	377	0.0209	0.848	34 17 20 13	238A 237B 237B 239B	241A 240B 241B 241B	the second	
28	3.37	368	0.0383	2.522	11 44	237A 238B	242A 242B	the second	

Table S5. Electronic transitions for the singly reduced state of **1**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.



ES	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt.	from	to	Hole	Electron
1	2.58	481	0.0455	0.000	97	255	256		
2	2.96	419	0.0572	0.000	85	255	257		
8	3.32	373	0.0152	0.000	77 13	251 252	256 256		
9	3.42	362	0.0209	0.000	67 14	253 254	257 257		
10	3.45	359	0.0128	0.000	13 78	251 252	256 256		
11	3.53	351	0.3007	0.000	79 13	250 251	256 258		

Table S6. Electronic transitions for **2**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.



ES	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt.	from	to	Hole	Electron
INF.	ev	nm			%			1.01	
11	2.27	546	0.1674	0.786	21 9 51	256A 256A 256A	269A 270A 271A		
13	2.37	523	0.0103	0.778	12 24 23	256A 256A 256A	266A 268A 269A	E.	
					10	256A	270A	The second	1 the set
					23	256A	272A	\sim	\sim
18	2.90	427	0.0124	0.988	80	256A	273A		
22	3.07	404	0.0309	1.338	63	256A	274A		
23	3.15	394	0.1754	0.811	30 60	255A 254B	257A 256B		
25	3.30	376	0.0346	1.216	14 9 39	255A 254B 254B	257A 256B 257B		-

Table S7. Electronic transitions for the singly reduced state of **2**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.





ES Nr.	En. eV	λ nm	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt. %	from	to	Hole	Electron
1	2.76	450	0.0581	0.000	80 17	226 226	227 228	The second	
2	2.93	423	0.0246	0.000	15 72	226 226	227 228		
3	2.94	422	0.0139	0.000	89	226	229		The second
4	3.02	410	0.0198	0.000	11 15 23 36	224 224 225 225	227 228 227 228		

Table S8. Electronic transitions for **3**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.



ES	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt.	from	to	Hole	Electron
11	2.06	602	0.0209	0.771	⁷⁰ 66 20	227A 227A	238A 242A	the second	
12	2.12	585	0.0138	0.771	25 29 25	227A 227A 227A	239A 240A 242A	the second secon	
14	2.17	570	0.0123	0.771	28 25 17 22	227A 227A 227A 227A 227A	238A 240A 241A 242A		
17	2.92	425	0.0418	0.900	20 63	227A 227A	244A 245A		A States
21	3.21	386	0.0540	0.845	11 23 50	225A 226A 226B	228A 228A 227B		
24	3.30	376	0.0235	1.148	11 20 18 26 10	223A 225A 222B 225B 226B	228A 228A 229B 227B 227B		

Table S9. Electronic transitions for the singly reduced state of **3**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.





228B

229B

S23

ES Nr	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt. ≪	from	to	Hole	Electron
4	2.68	463	0.0876	0.000	88 9	161 161	163 164		
9	2.90	428	0.0452	0.000	20 63	160 160	163 164		
10	2.94	422	0.0898	0.000	20 37 21 11	160 160 161 161	165 166 165 166		
11	2.97	417	0.1307	0.000	26 14 20 10 19	160 160 161 161 161	165 166 164 165 166		
12	3.04	408	0.0290	0.000	12 71	160 162	165 167		
16	3.30	375	0.0792	0.000	58 12 9	160 161 162	167 166 167		
17	3.39	366	0.0349	0.000	91	159	163		
19	3.68	337	0.1391	0.000	65 27	158 159	163 167		

Table S10. Electronic transitions for **5**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.





Table S11. Electronic transitions for the singly reduced state of **5**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.

ES	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt.	from	to	Hole	Electron
10	2.29	542	0.1778	0.807		163A	172A		A Contraction
22	2.77	447	0.1253	0.886	29 13 26 14	160A 161A 159B 160B	164A 165A 163B 164B		
27	2.91	426	0.1263	0.772	36 35	160A 159B	165A 164B		
36	3.15	393	0.0146	2.074	16 12 9	163A 161B 162B	179A 165B 166B		
38	3.16	392	0.0192	1.961	8 20	163A 163A	176A 179A		
40	3.19	389	0.0785	0.888	24 51	161A 160B	166A 165B		





ES	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt.	from	to	Hole	Electron
<u>Nr.</u>	ev 2.79	nm 445	0.0501	0.000	% 76 19	148 148	150 151		
7	2.87	431	0.0641	0.000	22 14 46 14	147 148 148 149	152 150 151 154		
8	2.93	423	0.1301	0.000	51 37	147 148	151 152		
9	2.95	420	0.0716	0.000	22 70	147 149	152 154		
12	3.15	393	0.0139	0.000	81	147	154		
13	3.17	391	0.0249	0.000	94	148	154		
15	3.30	374	0.0427	0.000	10 73	147 148	152 153		

Table S12. Electronic transitions for 6: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.



153

ES Nr.	En. eV	λ nm	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt. %	from	to	Hole	Electron
13	2.25	551	0.0298	0.790	87	150A	159A	AND STOR	
21	2.62	472	0.0168	0.774	92	150A	161A		
22	2.68	462	0.1413	0.773	24 17 34 19	147A 148A 147B 148B	151A 152A 150B 151B		
25	2.82	440	0.0508	0.808	24 17 31 9	147A 150A 147B 148B	152A 164A 151B 150B		
26	2.85	435	0.0177	0.819	79	150A	164A		
28	2.99	415	0.0202	1.715	18 42 15	149A 150A 149B	153A 165A 152B		
32	3.12	398	0.0119	1.527	9 27 24 13	149A 149B 149B 149B	153A 152B 153B 155B		
41	3.25	381	0.0177	1.207	35 13 22	149A 148B 149B	154A 152B 154B		
42	3.29	377	0.0181	2.327	14 15 16 9	148B 149B 149B 149B	152B 154B 156B 157B		

Table S13. Electronic transitions for the singly reduced state of **6**: Calculated vertical excitation energies (En.), wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f) and eigenvalues of s² of the main excited states in the UV and visible range. The transitions are visualized by the accompanying shift of charge density from blue to red in combination with the originating MOs and their weights to the excited state.

ES Nr	En.	λ	f	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Wgt. %	from	to	Hole	Electron
45	3.34	371	0.0259	1.432	18 12 24	148A 149A 148B	153A 156A 153B		





Figure S9. UV-Vis absorption spectroelectrochemistry of complex **1** upon electrochemical oxidation (left) and reduction (right) in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solutions. The "open circuit potential" spectra (ocp) are collected without external potential and the "end" spectra are recorded 5 minutes after the SEC measurement to check the recovery.



Figure S10. UV-Vis absorption spectroelectrochemistry of complex **2** upon reduction (left) and oxidation (right) in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solutions. The "ocp" spectra are collected without external potential and the "end" spectra are recorded 5 minutes after the SEC measurement to check the recovery.



Figure S11. UV-Vis absorption spectroelectrochemistry of complex **3** upon reduction (left) and oxidation (right) in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solutions. The "ocp" spectra are collected without external potential and the "end" spectra are recorded 5 minutes after the SEC measurement to check the recovery.



Figure S12. UV-Vis absorption spectroelectrochemistry of complex **4** upon reduction (left) and oxidation (right) in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solutions. The "ocp" spectra are collected without external potential and the "end" spectra are recorded 5 minutes after the SEC measurement to check the recovery.



Figure S13. Resonance Raman spectra of complex **1** (a), **2** (b) and **5** (c) in ACN. Distinct excitation wavelength is specified in the panel. Characteristic bands are indicated with symbols for dppz ("D"), xant ("X"), tmdppz ("T"), bpy ("B") ligands. Only the RR modes in associated with the excited state transitions are enhanced. Nonresonance FT-Raman spectra of **1** and **2** in solid state are depicted in the lower panel for reference. The shadows in (c) illustrate the dppz contribution in **5** (subtracted by RR of $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$).



Figure S14. RR spectrum of complex **2** in ACN (upper panel) and in DCM (middle panel). Nonresonant FT-Raman spectra of the solid state sample is depicted in the last panel.



Figure S15. Resonance Raman spectra of complex **3** (a) and **6** (b) in ACN. Distinct excitation wavelength is specified in the panel. Characteristic bands are indicated with symbols for dpq ("Q"), xant ("X") and bpy ("B") ligands. Only the RR modes in associated with the excited state transitions are enhanced. Nonresonance FT-Raman spectrum of **3** in solid state is depicted in the lower panel for reference. The shadows in (b) illustrate the dpq contribution in **6** (subtracted by RR of [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺).



Figure S16. RR spectra of Cu(I) complex **4** in ACN at 405 and 473 nm excitation. All the strong bands (*i.e.* at 1589, 1560, 1502, 1446, 1420, 1303, 1293, 1146, 1017 and 1000 cm⁻¹) were assigned to the dmp ligands.



Figure S17. Resonance Raman spectra of complex **7** (a) and $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (b) in ACN. Distinct excitation wavelength is specified in the panel. Characteristic bands are indicated with symbols for phen ("P") and bpy ("B") ligands.

	1			2		5	
FT	RR at	RR at	FT	RR at	RR at	RR at	Assignm
Raman	405 nm	532 nm	Raman	405 nm	532 nm	405/473 nm	ent
1618	1618	1618	1608	1610	1620		phz
						1605	bpy
1598						1597	phen
1588	1589		1584	1584	1584		phen
1574	1575		1574			1571	phen
						1560	bpy
1547							dppz
1536			1531	1531			phz
1490	1490		1477	1479	1480	1490	dppz
						1487	bpy
1466	1465		1448	1454	1453	1470	dppz
1441	1442	1442				1445	phen
			1415				dppz
1401	1401	1401	1405	1405	1405	1401	phz
			1382		1380		dppz
1360						1358	dppz
1341	1340		1364	1362			dppz
						1319	bpy
1315	1315	1315	1342	1344	1342	1308	phen
				1289			phen
						1272	bpy
				1260		1262	phen
1240				1239			dppz
1207			1203				phz
1185	1185		1120	1101		1183	phen+xa
1105	1105		1105	1171		1105	nt
						1173	bpy
	1146			1132		1146	phz
1097			1097				xant
1080	1075		1080	1081			xant
1045			1061	1062	1060		dppz
1027	1046		1028			1034	phen
						1027	bpy
1000		1000	1001		999		phz

Table S14. Wavenumber positions (in cm⁻¹) of the Raman bands of complexes **1**, **2** and **5**. The Raman bands are grouped into (tm)dppz and xant ligands for **1** and **2**, or dppz and bpy for **5**. In particular, dppz ligand can be considered to be constituted by 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) and phenazine (phz) fragments.

	3		6	
FT Raman	RR at 405 nm	RR at 532 nm	RR at	Assignmen
			405/473 nm	t
		1603	1605	bpy
1599	1599			dpq
1586	1578	1586	1577	quin
			1561	bpy
1544	1545		1546	dpq
1526	1526		1532	dpq
			1490	bpy
1472	1472	1472	1482	dpq
1438	1438	1439	1444	quin
1386	1388	1387	1387	dpq
1334	1333			
			1318	bpy
1310	1307	1308	1304	quin
			1273	bpy
			1262	phen
	1209		1212	dpq
			1173	bpy
1097				xant
1080				xant
1054		1056	1039	dpq
1029			1027	bpy
1000		1001		

Table S15. Wavenumber positions (in cm^{-1}) of the Raman bands of complexes **3** and **6**.

 Table S16. Wavenumber positions (in cm⁻¹) of the Raman bands of complexes 4 and 7.

4	7	Assignment
RR at 405/473 nm	RR at 405/473 nm	Assignment
1624	1632	phen
	1605	bpy
1589	1580	phen
1560	1561	bpy
1502	1514	phen
	1488	bpy
1446	1452	phen
1420	1429	phen
1370	1374	phen
	1318	bpy
1303	1307	phen
1293	1297	phen
1262	1274	bpy
1214	1210	phen
	1173	bpy
1146	1147	phen
1100	1110	phen
	1064	phen
1017	1037	phen
	1027	bpy



Figure S18. (a) RR spectra of complex **4** (black curves) and singly-reduced $\mathbf{4}^-$ (blue curves) in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution, excited at 405 nm (upper panel). RR spectra of complex **7** (b) and $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (c) in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution, excited at 473 nm (upper panel). Characteristic Raman bands of non-reduced **7** are indicated with "P" (for phen ligand) and "B" (for bpy co-ligand).

The RR characterization of Cu(I) complex **4** has been reported previously²⁷, all the strong bands (at 1589, 1560, 1502, 1446, 1420, 1303, 1293, 1146, 1017 and 1000 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the dmp ligands (Figure S16, S18 and Table S16), suggesting the MLCT transitions from Cu to dmp ligand. New bands at 1532 and 1395 cm⁻¹ are observed for singly-reduced **4**⁻ upon 405-nm excitation, which are assigned to the reduced dmp⁻ ligand. The RR spectra of **7** in ACN upon excitation at 405 nm and 473 nm are shown in Figure S17, S18 and Table S16. The characteristic RR bands of bpy co-ligands and phen ligands for **7** are determined by reference compounds

²⁷ Y. Zhang, M. Heberle, M. Wächtler, M. Karnahl, B. Dietzek, *RSC Adv.*, **2016**, 6, 105801–105805.

 $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ and $[Ru(phen)_3]^{2+28}$. Thus the MLCT_{bpy} transition to bpy is indicated by the intense bands at 1605, 1561, 1488, 1318, 1274, 1173 and 1027 cm⁻¹ and the MLCT_{phen} transition is relevant to the phen bands at 1513, 1453 and 1210 cm⁻¹. Increased intensity is observed for the RR band at 1605 cm⁻¹, and the bands at 1561 and 1173 cm⁻¹ shift to low-frequencies, *i.e.* 1559 and 1168 cm⁻¹. These changes are associated non-reduced bpy ligands due to the charge density changes affected by the radical localized on the phen^{•-} anion. In addition, the phen-based RR bands decrease in intensity upon reduction, *e.g.* bands at 1453 and 1210 cm⁻¹, demonstrating the MLCT_{phen} is vanished when one-electron reduction takes place on the phen ligand.



Figure S19. RR spectrum of complex **1** (black curve) and singly-reduced $\mathbf{1}^{-}$ (blue curve) including the low-frequency region in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution at 532 nm excitation.



Figure S20. RR spectrum of complex **2** (black curve) and singly-reduced 2^- (blue curve) including the low-frequency region in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution at 532 nm excitation.

²⁸ J. R. Schoonover, K. M. Omberg, J. A. Moss, S. Bernhard, V. J. Malueg, W. H. Woodruff, T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1998**, 37, 2585–2587.



Figure S21. RR spectrum of complex **3** (black curve) and singly-reduced $\mathbf{3}^{-}$ (blue curve) including the low-frequency region in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution at 532 nm excitation.



Figure S22. RR spectrum of complex **5** (black curve) and singly-reduced 5^- (blue curve) including the low-frequency region in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution at 643 nm excitation.



Figure S23. RR spectrum of complex **6** (black curve) and singly-reduced 6^- (blue curve) including the low-frequency region in ACN/ 0.1 M TBAPF₆ solution at 643 nm excitation.