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## I. SIMULATION PROTOCOL

Gaussian'09 ${ }^{1}$ was used to optimize the geometry of fullerene in different oxidation states using density functional theory (DFT) with B3LYP functional and the 6$31+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set. All atomic partial charges for $C_{60}$ in all charge states were calculated using the CHELPG charge model implemented in Gaussian'09. The atomic charges from the calculations are listed in Table S1.

All simulations were performed with NAMD 2.9 software suite, ${ }^{2}$ and VMD software package ${ }^{3}$ was used for visualization of the dynamics and the analysis of the molecular dynamics trajectories. The charge distributions of fullerenes in different oxidation states were taken from DFT calculations. The SPCE water was added to the system using the solvate plugin from $\mathrm{VMD}^{3}$ with some modifications. The $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecules were hydrated with 2413 water molecules. For all initial systems, a steepest descent minimization was performed for 5000 steps. The NPT/NVT simulations applied Langevin temperature and pressure controls with the following parameters: a damping coefficient of 1 ps , piston period of 200 fs , the piston decay time of 50 fs , the piston target pressure of 1.01325 bar, and constant temperature control set to target temperatures (240, 260, 280, 290, 300, 320, 340 and $360 \mathrm{~K}) .{ }^{4}$

NPT equilibration was followed by 10 ns NVT equilibration for each redox state. The NVT simulations were performed using the same parameters as the NPT simulations, but removing the constant pressure controls. Box dimensions ( $43.10 \AA \times 41.81 \AA \times 42.98 \AA$ ), taken after a short 10 ns NPT run, were held constant throughout all subsequent NVT simulations. NVT simulations, 2 ns each, with the temperature increments of 1 K were used for cooling and heating from the initial temperature of 300 K . The time step of 2.0 fs was adopted for all simulations, and the saving frequency was 200 fs . Simulations with the length of 110 ns were done for temperatures 280,300 and 320 K. For other temperatures, 30 ns production simulations were carried out. For fullerenes in charge states $0,-1,-2$ and -3 , the simulation length was 110 ns at all temperatures. Long-range electrostatic interactions were treated with the particle mesh Ewald
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Figure S1. The radial distribution function, $g(r)$, of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{+1}$ between center of fullerene and oxygen (solid lines) and hydrogen (dash lines) of water molecules for last 50 ns of simulation trajectory in different temperatures listed in the plot.
technique using a cutoff distance of $18.0 \AA^{3}$. The AMBER atom type CA force field was used for the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ atoms in all redox states.

## II. RADIAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

The calculations of the pair distribution functions (PDFs) are performed on last 50 ns of simulation trajectories. The PDFs are calculated between the center of fullerene and oxygens and hydrogens of waters in the simulation box. The results of calculations are shown in Figs. S1-S6. The radial distribution functions for the hydrogen atoms, $g_{\mathrm{H}}(r)$, and for the oxygen atoms, $g_{\mathrm{O}}(r)$, were combined to produce the charge density distributions $g_{q}(r)$ shown in Fig. S7. Those are calculated from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{q}(r)=2 q_{\mathrm{H}} g_{\mathrm{H}}(r)+q_{\mathrm{O}} g_{\mathrm{O}}(r), \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $q_{\mathrm{O}}$ are the partial atomic charges of the hydrogen and oxygen atoms in the SPC/E water model.

## III. DYNAMICS

The analysis of the hydration shell dynamics was performed for $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{z}$ in charge states from $z=+1$ to -4 and


Figure S2. The radial distribution function, $g(r)$, of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{0}$ between center of fullerene and oxygen (solid lines) and hydrogen (dash lines) of water molecules for last 50 ns of simulation trajectory in temperature range $240-360 \mathrm{~K}$.


Figure S3. $g(r)$ of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-1}$ between center of fullerene and oxygen (solid lines) and hydrogen (dash lines) of water molecules for simulation trajectory 60 to 110 ns in temperature range 240360 K .
temperatures in the range from 240 to 360 K . The orientational order parameters of water molecules in the first hydration shell of fullerene are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\ell}(\mathbf{r})=P_{\ell}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}), \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure S4. The radial distribution function, $g(r)$, of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-2}$ between center of fullerene and oxygen (solid lines) and hydrogen (dash lines) of water molecules for simulation trajectory 60 to 110 ns in temperature range $240-360 \mathrm{~K}$.


Figure S5. The radial distribution function, $g(r)$, of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-3}$ between center of fullerene and oxygen (solid lines) and hydrogen (dash lines) of water molecules for simulation trajectory 60 to 110 ns in temperature range $240-360 \mathrm{~K}$.


Figure S6. The radial distribution function, $g(r)$, of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-4}$ between center of fullerene and oxygen (solid lines) and hydrogen (dash lines) of water molecules for simulation trajectory 60 to 110 ns in temperature range $240-360 \mathrm{~K}$.
where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is unit vector of water dipole moment and $\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}$ is the radial unit vector. The orientations of two OH bonds for a given configuration of the water can be gained from the angle $\chi$ between the plane which contains the radial direction and the water dipole and the plane of the water molecule.


Figure S7. Distribution of water charge, $g_{q}(r)$ (Eq. (S1)), of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ at 300 K calculated in the box with $N=1200$ water molecules (dashed lines) and with $N=2413$ water molecules (solid lines). The fullerene charge $z$ is indicated in the plot.


Figure S8. Time correlation functions of the first-order parameter $p_{1}(t)$ calculated from MD (points) and fitted to the sum of 3 exponential functions (lines, Eq. (S4)) for all charge states at 300 K .

The dynamics was studied by calculating the time auto-correlation function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{X}(t)=\langle\delta X(t) \delta X(0)\rangle \tag{S3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dynamic variable $X(t)$ represents either the order parameter $p_{1}(t)$ or solute-solvent (LJ and electrostatic) interaction energies. Such correlation functions were calculated from MD trajectories for six oxidation states of fullerene and were fitted to three decaying exponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{X}(t)=\frac{C_{X}(t)}{C_{X}(0)}=\sum_{n=1}^{3} A_{n} e^{-t / \tau_{n}} \tag{S4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the normalization $\sum_{n} A_{n}=1$. Figure S 8 shows the time correlation functions for the first order parameter $p_{1}(t)$ for $z=-4$ to 1 at 300 K . The average relaxation times were obtained according to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\tau\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{3} A_{n} \tau_{n} \tag{S5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their dependence on temperature was used in the Arrhenius plot (Fig. S9) to calculate the activation energies of relaxation $E_{a}$ listed in Table 3 in the main text.

## IV. SOLVATION THERMODYNAMICS

Convergence of the cross-correlation $\left\langle\delta u_{0 s}^{\mathrm{E}} \delta U_{s s}\right\rangle$ along simulation trajectories for all charge states $(+1$ to -4$)$ is shown in Fig. S10. As mentioned in the main text, the electrostatic component of solute-solvent and solventsolvent interaction energies are calculated by extrapolating the finite-size simulation results to $N \rightarrow \infty$. All electrostatic components listed in Table 1 in the main text $\left(e_{s s}^{E}, \mu_{0 s}^{E}, e_{0 s}^{E}, e^{E}\right.$ and $\left.T s^{E}\right)$ are calculated by this approach. As an example, Fig. S11 shows the extrapolation of $e_{s s}^{E}$ to the infinite-size limit. Figure S12 shows the


Figure S9. Average relaxation times (Eq. (S5)) for the electrostatic interaction energy in Eq. (S3) (upper panel) and for the LJ interaction energy (lower panel) vs $1 / T$.


Figure S10. Convergence of the cross-correlation of the solutesolvent electrostatic energy and solvent-solvent interaction energy calculated as the running average along the 110 ns simulation trajectory at 300 K . This correlation is used to calculate the electrostatic energy of solvent restructuring $e_{s s}^{\mathrm{E}}$.
electrostatic chemical potential, $\mu_{0 s}^{E}$, calculated by this approach as a function of the solute charge $z$.

## V. DISTRIBUTIONS OF ORDER PARAMETERS AND ANGLE $\chi$

The orientational structure of the first hydration layer of water at different temperatures ( $240-360 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and charge states $(z=1$ to -4$)$ is characterized by the first-order orientational parameter $\left(p_{1}\right)$, tetrahedral order parameter $(Q)$ and the angle $\chi$ (see Fig. 1 in the main text). The average of $\left\langle p_{1}\right\rangle$ was calculated for the first hydration shell. Figure S13 shows $\left\langle p_{1}\right\rangle$ as a function of $z$ at all temperatures studied by simulations. Consistent with the PDFs plots, there is a continuous decrease of $\left\langle p_{1}\right\rangle$ with increasing solute charge, followed by a sharper drop for


Figure S11. $e_{s s}^{E}$ listed in Table 1 in the main text is calculated as extrapolating the finite-size results to $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all charge states at 300 K .


Figure S12. Electrostatic component of the solvation chemical potential as a function of the solute charge $z$ at 300 K . The values shown by points in the plot are calculated from extrapolating the finite-size results to $N \rightarrow \infty$. The simulations are carried out in simulation boxes with $N=1200$ and $N=2413$ water molecules.
charge states $z=-3$ and -4 . This is another manifestation of a structural crossover at $z=-3$ and $z=-4$. The distribution of $p_{1}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-3}$ at different temperatures are shown in Fig. S14.

As explained in the main text, the tetrahedral order parameter is calculated from the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=1-\frac{3}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=i+1}^{4}\left(\cos \theta_{i j}+1 / 3\right)^{2} \tag{S6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the angle $\theta_{i j}$ is the angle ( $i$ ) between a water molecule and its four nearest neighbors $j$.

A more detailed information about the orientation of two OH bonds for a given configuration of water dipole can be gained from the angel $\chi$. As shown in Fig. S16, the plane of the water molecule tends to orient toward to the surface of charged $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{z}$ and release the dangling OH bond.


Figure S13. $\left\langle p_{1}\right\rangle$ in first hydration shell of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{z}$ as a function of charge $z$ at different temperatures indicated in the plot.


Figure S14. Distribution of the order parameter $p_{1}$ (Eq. S2) in the first hydration shell of $C_{60}^{-2}$ (top panel) and $C_{60}^{-3}$ (bottom panel) at various temperatures indicated in the plot. In both charge states, the maximum of $P\left(p_{1}\right)$ corresponds to the angle of $130^{\circ}$ between the vector normal to the surface of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{z}$ and water's dipole moment. Notice that the probability of $p_{1}$ at the angle of $130^{\circ}$ is about twice higher at $z=-3$ compared to $z=-2$.

## REFERENCES

${ }^{1}$ M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, . Farkas, J. B. Foresman,


Figure S15. Distribution of the tetrahedral order parameter for $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{0}$ (upper panel) and $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-2}$ (lower panel) at different temperatures listed in the plot.


Figure S16. Distribution of the $\chi$ angle of waters in the first hydration layer of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-1}$ (top panel) and $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{-2}$ (bottom panel) at different temperatures.
J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, "Gaussian 09, Revision E.01," Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
${ }^{2}$ J. C. Phillips, R. Braun, W. Wang, J. Gumbart, E. Tajkhorshid, E. Villa, C. Chipot, R. D. Skeel, L. Kalé, and K. Schulten, J. Comput. Chem. 26, 1781 (2005).
${ }^{3}$ W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, and K. Schulten, Journal of Molecular Graphics 14, 33 (1996).
${ }^{4}$ M. Dinpajooh, D. R. Martin, and D. V. Matyushov, Sci. Rep. 6, 28152 (2016).

Table S1. Atomic charges of $\mathrm{C}_{60}^{z}$ in different charge states $z=+1, \ldots,-4$.

| C | +1 |  |  | -2 | -3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.013233 | -0.002965 | -0.071796 | -0.217126 | -0.009499 | -0. |
| 3 | 693 | 0.000292 | 0.008910 | 0.034389 | 0.202880 |  |
| 4 | 0.016779 | 0.001313 | -0.018485 | -0.032333 | -0.303667 | -0.434081 |
| 5 | 5810 | 0.013777 | -0.056043 | -0.126347 | -0.201278 | -0.344196 |
| 6 | 0.001118 | -0.02 | 0.042977 | 0.038833 | 0.085595 |  |
| 7 | 013691 | 0.013257 | 0.003847 | -0.031127 | -0.142368 | -0.088290 |
| 8 | 0.018142 | -0.02 | -0.06 | -0.159360 | 0.047886 | -0.044095 |
| 9 | 608 | 0.006099 | 0.051606 | 0.149438 | -0.193011 | -0.193998 |
| 10 | 0. | -0.012092 | 0.012797 | 0.058575 | -0.023958 |  |
| 11 | 0.016609 | -0.015488 | 0.003129 | 0.02 | -0.178880 | -0.327601 |
| 12 | 0. | -0. | 0.018302 | 0.0 | 0.109324 | 7 |
| 13 | 0.022336 | 0.012865 | -0.103335 | -0.196721 | -0.017358 | -0.205573 |
| 14 | 0.040111 | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.018168 | 0.067563 |
| 15 | 0.024206 | -0.007460 | 0.023963 | 0.097831 | 0.111083 | 0.325198 |
| 16 | 0.035842 | -0.002418 | -0.048932 | -0.121364 | -0.111180 | -0.313464 |
| 17 | 0.014691 | 0.016913 | -0.026872 | -0.041650 | -0.060148 | 0.041054 |
| 18 | 0.013888 | -0.003813 | -0.064747 | -0.135431 | -0.086185 | -0.246860 |
| 19 | 0.011865 | -0.016725 | -0.037493 | -0.123713 | -0.032190 | -0.042611 |
| 20 | 0. | 0.041274 | -0.08 | -0.1 | 0.078260 | -0.008250 |
| 21 | 0.012133 | -0.038124 | 0.066266 | 0.1 | -0.205127 | -0.086199 |
| 22 | 0.008059 | 0.027341 | 0.022330 | 0.152371 | -0.024097 | -0.030710 |
| 23 | 0.033156 | -0.008508 | -0.073793 | -0.286222 | -0.098746 | -0.256787 |
| 24 | 0.013082 | -0.000162 | 0.02927 | 0.1531 | 0.0 | 0.099080 |
| 25 | 0.046779 | -0.003299 | 0.083422 | 0.164683 | 0.056523 | 0.340032 |
| 26 | 0.011399 | -0.007750 | -0.089495 | -0.195699 | -0.106347 | -0.340786 |
| 27 | 0.011021 | 0.024341 | -0.010552 | -0.022691 | 0.00 | 0.076485 |
| 28 | . 005699 | -0.039522 | -0.012627 | -0.026875 | -0.195118 | -0.258144 |
| 29 | 0.027237 | 0.019547 | -0.0275 | -0.012999 | -0.032885 | 0.056391 |
| 30 | 0.013691 | 0.013291 | 0.00384 | -0.031129 | -0.142354 | -0.088301 |
| 31 | 0.011865 | -0.016745 | -0.037491 | -0.123713 | -0.032202 | -0.042603 |
| 32 | 0.013888 | -0.003805 | -0.064748 | -0.135430 | -0.086184 | -0.246861 |
| 33 | 0.014691 | 0.016905 | -0.02687 | -0.041649 | -0.06015 | 0.041055 |
| 34 | 0.001118 | -0.022817 | 0.04 | 0.038827 | 0.085632 | 0.136842 |
| 35 | 0.013233 | -0.00288 | -0.07180 | -0.217132 | -0.009465 | -0.028636 |
| 36 | . 013608 | 0.00606 | 0.05160 | 0.14944 | -0.19302 | -0.193983 |
| 37 | 0.018142 | -0.020457 | -0.064974 | -0.159360 | 0.047884 | -0.044096 |
| 38 | . 00636 | 0.0 | -0.08113 | -0.181348 | 0.078266 | -0.008255 |
| 39 | 0.012133 | -0.038 | 0.066266 | 0.136131 | -0.205125 | -0.08 |
| 40 | 0.008059 | 0.02 | 0.022329 | 0. | -0.024089 | -0.0 |
| 4 | 0.013082 | -0.000142 | 0.02 | 0. | 0.014990 | 0.099069 |
| 42 | 0.046 | -0.003341 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.056503 | 1 |
| 43 | 0.011399 | -0.007692 | -0.089499 | -0.195703 | -0.106323 | -0.340818 |
| 44 | 0.03 | -0.002472 | -0.048928 | -0.121360 | -0.111205 | -0.313432 |
| 45 | 0.024206 | -0.007378 | 0.023957 | 0.097826 | 0.111129 | 0.325151 |
| 46 | 0.005810 | 0.01368 | -0.056037 | -0.126340 | -0.201331 | -0.344147 |
| 47 | 0.016779 | 0.001401 | -0.018491 | -0.032340 | -0.303617 | -0.434125 |
| 48 | 0.007 | 0.00020 | 0.008 | 0.0 | 0.202840 | 0.398445 |
| 49 | 0.017001 | -0.012072 | 0.012 | 0.058573 | -0.023949 | 74 |
| 50 | -0.004293 | 0.016001 | -0.102593 | -0.243139 | -0.094821 | -0.343594 |
| 51 | 0.016609 | -0.015434 | 0.003125 | 0.023787 | -0.178854 | -0.327625 |
| 52 | 0.027237 | 0.019536 | -0.027574 | -0.012998 | -0.032894 | 0.056396 |
| 53 | 0.022505 | -0.017931 | 0.01830 | 0.001973 | 0.109298 | 0.150721 |
| 54 | 0.022336 | 0.012912 | -0.103337 | -0.196724 | -0.017331 | -0.205597 |
| 55 | 0.040111 | 0.018990 | 0.033807 | 0.053855 | -0.018209 | 0.067602 |
| 56 | 0.005699 | -0.039472 | -0.012630 | -0.026878 | -0.195095 | -0.258169 |
| 57 | 0.011021 | 0.024298 | -0.010549 | -0.022688 | 0.007190 | 0.076510 |
| 58 | 0.033156 | -0.008515 | -0.073792 | -0.286220 | -0.098760 | -0.256782 |
| 59 | -0.004293 | 0.016050 | -0.102596 | -0.243143 | -0.094799 | -0.343613 |
| 60 | 0.0202 | 0.007344 | -0.010175 | 0.0891 | -0.0787 | -0.1700 |
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