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## Calculation method for physical oxidation state ( $\mathrm{OS}_{\mathrm{p}}$ )

Notably, the widely used $O S$ is formal $O S$ (denoted as $O S_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) based on the concept of Lewis electron pairs, which is a somewhat unphysical concept and usually invalid within a non-innocent ligand. Especially, in the solid-state compounds containing $f$-block elements, the assignment of $O S_{\mathrm{f}}$ is not always straightforward. An alternative physical $O S$ (denoted as $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) based on a projection approach from molecular orbital to atomic orbital, straightly determines the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ in various solid-state compounds by counting the rest of the An valence electrons. Herein, we use this successful approach to calculate the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of actinide ions in their solid-state compounds to elucidate some trends of $O S$ and chemical bonding. Special attention is paid on the determination of the highest $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu , the most representative and important actinide element. The results show that the highest $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu solid ion is $\mathrm{Pu}^{\mathrm{V}}$ in the compounds $\mathrm{PuO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ and $\mathrm{PuOF}_{4}$. Our work is the first attempt to rigorously calculate the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of solid-state actinide materials and the methods can be extended to investigate the oxidation state of more complicated solid-state actinide compounds.

Here we take $\mathrm{Pu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond as an example to illustrate the determination of physical oxidation state $\left(O S_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ of Pu ions. The basic bonding behavior of Pu-O bond is showed in Fig. S1.


Fig. S1 Schematic of the basic Pu-O bonding behavior. (a) Occupied $\mathrm{Pu} f$ and $\mathrm{O} p$ orbitals form fully occupied bonding and antibonding orbitals; (b) An empty $\mathrm{Pu} f$ orbital and an occupied O $p$ orbital form an occupied bonding orbital and an empty antibonding orbital. Solid and open circles denote occupied and unoccupied orbitals, respectively.

Bonding $\psi_{\mathrm{b}}$ and antibonding $\psi_{\mathrm{a}}$ orbitals can be represented by the Gram-Schmidt procedure:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\alpha \phi_{\mathrm{Pu}}+\beta \phi_{\mathrm{O}},  \tag{1}\\
\psi_{\mathrm{a}}=\beta^{*} \phi_{\mathrm{Pu}}-\alpha^{*} \phi_{\mathrm{O}}, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy the normalization criterion, $|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}=1$. The occupation number of an $f$ orbital can be derived via the projection of all occupied orbitals onto the atomic $f$ orbital. In the case that contains one occupied $\mathrm{Pu} f$ orbital and one occupied $\mathrm{O} p$ orbital, as shown in Fig. S1(a), the occupation number $\eta$ always equals to 1, because the orbital projection satisfies the following relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{b}}\right| \phi_{\mathrm{Pu}}><\phi_{\mathrm{Pu}} \mid \psi_{\mathrm{b}}>+\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{a}}\right| \phi_{\mathrm{Pu}}><\phi_{\mathrm{Pu}}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{a}}>=|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}=1 .\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case that contains one unoccupied $\mathrm{Pu} f$ orbital and one occupied $\mathrm{O} p$ orbital (Fig. $\mathrm{S} 1(\mathrm{~b})$ ), the same projection procedure on the $f$ orbital yields an occupation number smaller than 1 ; that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{b}}\right| \phi_{\mathrm{Pu}}><\phi_{\mathrm{Pu}}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{b}}>=|\alpha|^{2}<1 .\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can calculate the occupation number of one $\mathrm{Pu} f$ orbital via the above procedure. For the whole $\mathrm{Pu} 5 f$ orbitals, we define a $7 \times 7$ occupation matrix ( $n_{m m^{\prime}}^{I \sigma}$ ) by projecting the first-principles Kohn-Sham orbital $\left(\psi_{k v}^{\sigma}\right)$ of the system onto the 7 local $f$ orbitals $\left(\phi_{m}^{I}\right)$ of Pu:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{m m^{\prime}}^{I \sigma}=\sum_{k, v} f_{k v}^{\sigma}<\psi_{k \nu}^{\sigma}\left|\phi_{m}^{I, \sigma}><\phi_{m^{\prime}}^{I, \sigma}\right| \psi_{k \nu}^{\sigma}> \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ denote the 7 orthonormal $f$ orbitals, $k, v$ and $\sigma$ label Bloch wave vector, energy band index and spin index, and $f_{k v}^{\sigma}$ is Fermi-Dirac occupation number as the input of first-principles calculation. Under the framework of DFT $+U$ method, $n_{m m^{\prime}}^{I \sigma}$ is the direct output, and the occupation number of each $f$ orbital $(\sigma, m)$ is obtained by diagonalizing the occupation matrix. By counting the number of fully occupied $f$ orbitals and comparing with the ground-state electronic configuration of Pu , we can determine the actual number of $f$ electrons to be assigned to Pu and to determine the oxidation state unambiguously. The calculated occupation number of $5 f$ orbitals of Pu ion in $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ is 4 , or four $5 f$ electrons do not participate into bonding with oxygen; as a result, we assign a robust $\mathrm{Pu}^{\text {IV }}$ ion irrespective of the possible valence configurations $5 f^{6-x} 6 d^{x} 7 s^{2}(0 \leq x \leq 1)$ of isolated Pu atom because delocalized $6 d$ and $7 s$ electrons completely participate into bonding. It is worth pointing out that the method regarding the definition of occupation matrix and occupation number is insensitive to the choice of the orthonormal $f$ orbitals and the first-principles techniques.

## Results and discussion

In solid state, $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Pu}^{\text {IV }}\right)$ had long been considered the highest composition binary oxides; the further oxidizing of $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ in normal chemical conditions was unsuccessful. It is naturally conceivable that more electronegative F might oxidize $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ to higher $O S$ of Pu ion. In fact, fluorides and oxyfluorides are the two main targets for the search of the highest $O S$ of TMs and lanthanides. Pu-F binary compounds such as $\mathrm{PuF}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{PuF}_{6}$ are highly volatile and their solid state forms might preserve only under some extreme conditions. Furthermore, similar to the solution state, strong alkaline conditions pave the way for the formation of higher $O S$ of Pu solid ions. Solid-state Na (or other alkali metals)-Pu-O ternary compounds are experimentally prepared and characterized. However, these ternary compounds are not optimal choice for the highest $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu solid ions, primarily because the completely ionized Na that locates in the cavity and shows solution-like feature would donate their valence electrons to $\mathrm{PuO}_{6}$ moiety, similar to the typical charge-back donation complexes in which even the $O S_{\mathrm{f}}$ of central metal atoms are elusive, let alone $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$. For the above reasons, Pu
oxyfluorides are the ideal choices for searching for the highest $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu solid ions. Simultaneously, we consider the hypothetical models of $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ with $1-4$ octahedral interstitial site (OIS) F atoms for the investigation of gradual change of $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$; the scenario is reasonable because the incorporation of OIS F atoms could be viewed as the rudimental process of $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ $+\mathrm{F}_{2}$ reactions.
The calculation of $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ using the theoretical approach established in Quantum-ESPRESSO package, which was successfully used in the determination of $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of TMs. We firstly calculate the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Mn in its intricate binary oxides and the experimentally known highest binary metal oxides $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{RuO}_{4}$ to demonstrate the validity of the approach transplanted to VASP package. The calculated $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Mn ions in $\mathrm{MnO}, \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, of Os in $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, and of Ru in $\mathrm{RuO}_{4}$, agree well with the assigned $O S_{\mathrm{f}}$ in terms of ionic approximation. In addition, this approach is physically capable of resolving the mixed $O S$ in $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$-one third of $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and two thirds of $\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {III }}$. Based on these validating results, we extend the approach to actinide solid-state compounds. The only difference lies in that a $7 \times 7$ $5 f$-orbital occupation matrix (instead of $5 \times 5 d$-orbital occupation matrix for TMs ) by projecting the first-principles eigenfunction of the compound onto the 7 atomic $5 f$-orbitals of the An ions. After diagonalizing the occupation matrix, the 5 -orbitals occupation can be obtained from the eigenvalues. Thus, $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ can be inferred by counting the number of $5 f$-orbitals with full occupancy (close to 1 ). In Table 1, we list the occupation numbers of $5 f$-oritals and $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of some Pu and U compounds selected for searching for the highest $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu and U , considering that $5 f$ electrons in Pu and U typically feature localization and delocalization, respectively. The calculation method for $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ and the occupation number results of Pu and other actinides in some selected compounds are included in the followed tables.

The results of $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu ions in some compounds not included in the article are listed in Table S1.

Table S1 Calculated spin-up and spin-down 5 f-orbital occupation numbers and $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu ions. The occupation numbers for full occupancy are marked with bold. The numbers in the parenthesis denote the fraction of metal ion with the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$.

| Compounds | Spin |  |  | patio | umbe | $5 f$ orb |  |  | $O S_{\text {p }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}$ | Up | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | $\operatorname{IV}(3 / 4)$ |
|  | Down | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
|  | Up | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | $\mathrm{V}(1 / 4)$ |
|  | Down | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | Up | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.1 | IV(1/2) |
|  | Down | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
|  | Up | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.20 | $\mathrm{V}(1 / 2)$ |
|  | Down | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | Up | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.01 | IV(1/4) |
|  | Down | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
|  | Up | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.16 | V(3/4) |
|  | Down | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | Up | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.02 | V |
|  | Down | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.39 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuF}_{5}$ | Up | 0.47 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | V |
|  | Down | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuF}_{6}$ | Up | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | VI |
|  | Down | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.28 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuH}_{3}$ | Up | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | III |
|  | Down | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ | Up | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | IV(3/4) |
|  | Down | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
|  | Up | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | V(1/4) |
|  | Down | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| PuB ${ }_{12}$ | Up | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | IV |
|  | Down | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuC}_{2}$ | Up | 0.05 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | IV |
|  | Down | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| PuN | Up | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.99 | III |
|  | Down | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |

The quantity of charge of each atom in the actinide compounds is listed in Table S2. Here, some Pu and U compounds in which Pu and U have high $O S_{\mathrm{f}}$ are selected. In addition, we list the results of $\mathrm{Am}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cm}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ to illustrate that even multiple F atoms cannot oxidize Am and Cm into higher $\mathrm{OS}_{\mathrm{p}}$. For $\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{U})-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{F}$ systems, with the increasing concentration of F , the quantity of charge gain for O and F decreases. With comparison to their dioxides, we propose that the charge gain of F could be viewed as from O atom. In fact, the bonding strength of pure $\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{U})-\mathrm{F}$ bonds is rather weak, as can be inferred by the charge transfer in $\mathrm{PuF}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{UF}_{6}$.

Table S2 Quantity of charge of each atom in some selected actinide compounds.

| Compounds | Quantity of charge (\|e|) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | An | O | F | Na | B |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | +2.42 | -1.21 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | +2.77 | -1.01 | -0.75 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | +3.00 | -0.77 | -0.73 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PuOF}_{4}$ | +2.97 | -0.57 | -0.60 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PuF}_{6}$ | +3.00 |  | -0.50 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{PuO}_{5}$ | +2.52 | -1.30 |  | +0.99 |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{PuO}_{6}$ | +2.60 | -1.26 |  | +0.99 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuB}_{12}$ | +1.62 |  |  |  | -0.135 |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ | +2.50 | -1.25 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | +3.05 | -1.15 | -0.75 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | +3.14 | -0.82 | -0.75 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{UOF}_{4}$ | +3.27 | -0.75 | -0.63 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{UF}_{6}$ | +3.30 |  | -0.55 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{UO}_{5}$ | +2.90 | -1.37 |  | +0.99 |  |
| $\mathrm{UB}_{12}$ | +1.90 |  |  |  | -0.158 |
| $\mathrm{AmO}_{2}$ | +2.32 | -1.16 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Am}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | +2.42 | -0.89 | -0.64 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CmO}_{2}$ | +2.28 | -1.14 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cm}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | +2.29 | -0.83 | -0.63 |  |  |

We test Hubbard parameter $U$ value on the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu. Some analogues of U and Np compounds are selected for comparison. We choose the $U$ values from 4 eV to 8 eV . From the available papers on the $\mathrm{DFT}+U$ calculations of actinide materials, we find that $U=4 \mathrm{eV}$ is widely used and $U=8 \mathrm{eV}$ could be viewed as the extreme value for actinide $5 f$ electrons. The results are listed in Table S3. Here, we just give the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ results at $U=4 \mathrm{eV}$ and 8 eV . We find that the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of actinide ions in most compounds are not changed with the increase in $U$ values, despite that the occupation numbers may increase. The significant changes on the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu happen on the Na-Pu-O ternary compounds. When $U$ values increase from 4 eV to 8 eV , the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu decrease from VI to V in $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{PuO}_{5}$, and from VII to IV in $\mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{PuO}_{6}$. Note that the U and Np analogues do not occur the changes of $\mathrm{OS}_{\mathrm{p}}$. The results indicate that the rather weak $\mathrm{Pu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds exist in the ternary compounds, and the $\mathrm{OS}_{\mathrm{p}}$ of $\mathrm{Pu}^{\mathrm{VI}}$ and $\mathrm{Pu}^{\mathrm{VII}}$ could be regarded as unstable chemical states.

Table S3 Calculated spin-up and spin-down 5f-orbital occupation numbers and $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{P u}$ ions with different Hubbard parameter $\boldsymbol{U}$. The occupation numbers for full occupancy are marked with bold.

| Compounds | $\begin{gathered} U \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | Spin |  |  | pation | umber | $5 f$ orb |  |  | $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | 4 | Up | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ | 4 | Up | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{PuO}_{6}$ | 4 | Up | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.99 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.66 | VII |
|  |  | Down | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.13 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.94 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.05 |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{NpO}_{6}$ | 4 | Up | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.16 | VII |
|  |  | Down | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.16 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 0.65 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.00 | VII |
|  |  | Down | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{PuO}_{5}$ | 4 | Up | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.99 | 0.19 | 0.25 | VI |
|  |  | Down | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.14 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | V |
|  |  | Down | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{UO}_{5}$ | 4 | Up | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.16 | VI |
|  |  | Down | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.16 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.12 | VI |
|  |  | Down | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.12 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | 4 | Up | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | V |
|  |  | Down | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 0.77 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.04 | V |
|  |  | Down | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuOF}_{4}$ | 4 | Up | 0.81 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.31 | V |
|  |  | Down | 0.70 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
|  | 8 | Up | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.24 | V |
|  |  | Down | 0.74 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.08 |  |

We test magnetic order on the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu. From the published results and our calculation results on $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ and other actinide dioxides, we find that the total energy of nonmagnetic (NM) state is always higher that of ferromagnetic state (FM) or anti-ferromagnetic(AFM)state, and the total energy of AFM state is generally slightly lower than that of FM state. Table S4 list the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu in $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ in both FM and AFM states. For comparison, we also give the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of U in $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ in both magnetic states. Clearly, the magnetic state has insignificant effect on the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu and U . We test the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) on the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu . The results of $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu and U in the dioxides are also listed in Table S 4 . We find that the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ are not changed with the inclusion of SOC despite that the occupation numbers slightly decrease. In addition, we test exchange-correlation potentials (LDA, GGA-PW91 and GGA-PBE) on the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu and U in their dioxides, and find that potentials have insignificant effect on the $O S_{\mathrm{p}}$ of Pu and U in their dioxides.

Table S4 The tests of magnetic order, spin-orbit coupling and exchange-correlation potentials on the $O S_{\mathbf{p}}$ of $\mathbf{P u}$ and $\mathbf{U}$ in their dioxides. The occupation numbers for full occupancy are marked with bold.

| Compounds | Test | Spin | Occupation number of $5 f$ orbitals |  |  |  |  |  |  | $O S_{\text {p }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | AFM | Up | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
|  | FM | Up | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ | AFM | Up | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
|  | FM | Up | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | SOC |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.10 | IV |
|  |  |  | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ | SOC |  | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.21 | IV |
|  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
| $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | LDA | Up | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
|  | PW91 | Up | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ | LDA | Up | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  |
|  | PW91 | Up | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | IV |
|  |  | Down | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |

