Electronic Supporting Information (ESI)

## Key factor governing the physicochemical properties and

### extent of proton transfer in protic ionic liquids: $\Delta p K_a$ or

## chemical structure?

Muhammed Shah Miran,<sup>a+‡</sup> Mahfuzul Hoque,<sup>a+</sup> Tomohiro Yasuda,<sup>a</sup> Seiji Tsuzuki,<sup>b</sup> Kazuhide Ueno,<sup>a</sup> and Masayoshi Watanabe<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Yokohama National University, 79-5 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan.

<sup>b</sup> Research Centre for Computational Design of Advanced Functional Materials (CD-FMat), National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST),1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan.

‡ Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, University of Dhaka, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh.

<sup>+</sup> These two authors contributed equally to this work.



Fig. S1. TGA curves of [NTf<sub>2</sub>]-based PILs.

# [DBU][NTf<sub>2</sub>]



# [DBU][TfO]



**Fig. S2.** Optimised geometries and stabilisation energies ( $E_{form}$ ) calculated for the [DBU][NTf<sub>2</sub>] and [DBU][TfO] complexes.

The number of geometries for [DBU](CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N is almost twice that for [DBU][TfO] due to the special structure of the (CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N anion. Comparison of the geometries and stabilisation energies for the most stable structures suggests that the most stable geometries of the five complexes are those where an oxygen atom of the anion interacts with the N–H bond of DBU; in contrast, the geometries with no interaction between the N–H bond and oxygen atoms are significantly less stable.

#### Viscosity

$$\eta = \eta 0 e^{\frac{B}{T-T0}}$$
(1)

where  $\eta^0$ , *B*, and  $T^0$  were obtained via non-linear regression of the measured  $\eta$  vs *T* data. Furthermore, the activation energy,  $E_a$  (expressed in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), for the viscosity provided by the VTF model is given by

$$Ea = RB \left(\frac{T}{T - T0}\right)^2$$
(2)

For VTF fluids,  $E_a$  is temperature-dependent and thus, when  $T >> T^0$ , eq. (2) becomes

$$E_a = RB \tag{3}$$

Therefore, **eq. (2)** can also be written in the following form to represent the VTF behaviour of the viscosity with the temperature,

$$\frac{dEa}{dT} = -\frac{2RBTT0}{(T-T0)3} \tag{4}$$

**Equation (4)** indicates that, at a certain temperature, the decreasing rate of  $E_a$  with respect to the temperature is directly proportional to *B*. The best-fit VTF parameters and estimated  $E_a$  based on **eq. (3)** are provided in **Table S1**.

$\eta = \eta 0 \ e^{\frac{B}{T - T_0}}$					
PILs	$\eta_0$ / mPa s	<i>В</i> / 10 <sup>2</sup> К	<i>T</i> <sub>0</sub> / 10 <sup>2</sup> Κ	$E_a/$ kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>
[DBU][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.20±0.01	8.89±0.08	1.83±0.07	7.39	1.00
[Pyrr][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.20±0.01	7.31±0.09	1.74±0.06	6.08	1.00
[dema][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.14±0.00	7.89±0.05	1.62±0.05	6.56	1.00
[EIm][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.25±0.00	7.47±0.11	1.64±0.01	6.21	1.00
[Morp][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.17±0.01	8.62±0.14	2.06±0.01	7.17	1.00
[Pyri][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.30±0.14	7.07±0.16	1.80±0.02	5.88	0.99
[TZL][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.21±0.01	8.56±0.11	2.05±0.07	7.12	1.00
[Pyra][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.40±0.04	5.77±0.03	2.18±0.03	4.80	0.99

#### Table S1. VTF fitting parameters from Fig. 6

#### **Conductivity**

$$\sigma = \sigma 0 \ e^{-\frac{B}{T-T0}} \tag{5}$$

where  $\sigma^0$ , *B*, and  $T^0$  were obtained via non-linear regression of the measured  $\sigma$  vs T data. The fitted parameters are given in **Table S2**. For VTF fluids, the  $E_a$  of the conductivity is temperature-dependent and thus, when  $T >> T^0$ , eq. (5) becomes

$$Ea = -RB$$

(6)

$\sigma = \sigma 0 e^{-\frac{B}{T-T0}}$						
PILs	$\sigma_0$ / S cm $^{ ext{-1}}$	<i>В  </i> 10²К	<i>Τ</i> <sub>0</sub> / 10 <sup>2</sup> K	$E_a/kJ$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	
[DBU][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.37±0.03	6.08±0.32	1.99±0.04	5.06	0.99	
[Pyrr][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.49±0.06	6.03±0.47	1.79±0.07	5.01	0.99	
[dema][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.50±0.01	5.36±0.78	1.75±0.14	4.45	0.99	
[EIm][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.76±0.08	7.93±0.48	1.49±0.07	6.59	0.99	
[Morp][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.39±0.04	5.88±0.34	2.24±0.05	4.89	0.99	
[Pyri][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.38±0.03	5.20±0.25	1.98±0.04	4.32	0.99	
[TZL][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.38±0.01	5.57±0.15	2.25±0.02	4.63	0.99	
[Pyra][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.29±0.04	4.48±0.43	2.25±0.08	3.72	0.99	

Table S2. VTF fitting parameters from Fig. 8

### **Density**



ho = b - aT						
PILs	ho / g cm <sup>-3</sup>	FW	<i>M</i> <sub>30</sub>	a /	b /	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>
	at 30 °C	/ g mol <sup>-1</sup>	/ 10 <sup>-3</sup> mol	10 <sup>-4</sup> g cm <sup>-3</sup>	g cm <sup>-3</sup>	
			cm⁻³	K <sup>-1</sup>		
[DBU][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	1.46	433.4	3.34	16.0	1.95	1.00
[Pyrr][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	1.58	352.2	4.47	20.0	2.18	1.00
[dema][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	1.45	368.3	3.95	17.1	1.97	0.99
[EIm][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	1.55	377.2	4.11	17.2	2.07	0.99
[TZL][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	1.78	350.2	5.07	18.8	2.34	0.99
[Pyra][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	1.63	361.24	4.51	20.0	2.23	1.00

#### Fig. S3. Temperature dependence of the density for [NTf<sub>2</sub>]-based PILs.

Table S3. Fitting parameters for the density equation from Fig. S3 and  $M_{30}$  values for [NTf<sub>2</sub>]-based PILs

#### Molar Conductivity



Fig. S4. Molar conductivity as a function of the temperature for  $[NTf_2]$ -based PILs.

$\Lambda = \Lambda 0 e^{-\frac{B}{T-T0}}$				
PILs	$arLambda^0$ / mS cm² mol <sup>-1</sup>	<i>B</i> / 10 <sup>2</sup> K	<i>Τ</i> <sub>o</sub> / 10 <sup>2</sup> K	R <sup>2</sup>
[DBU][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.19±0.05	7.30±0.09	1.89±0.01	1.00
[EIm][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.36±0.01	10.00±0.14	1.31±0.02	1.00
[Pyrr][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.22±0.07	7.82±0.14	1.62±0.02	1.00
[dema][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.24±0.07	7.03±0.12	1.57±0.02	1.00
[TZL][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.12±0.05	6.57±0.15	2.16±0.02	1.00
[Pyra][NTf <sub>2</sub> ]	0.13±0.02	6.16±0.42	2.05±0.06	1.00