Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

## **Supplementary Information**

The role of weak Lewis acid sites for methanol thiolation

Manuel Weber-Stockbauer,<sup>a</sup> Oliver Y. Gutiérrez<sup>a,c</sup>, Ricardo Bermejo-Deval,<sup>a\*</sup> Johannes A. Lercher<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Technische Universität München, Department of Chemistry, Catalysis Research Center, Lichtenbergstraße 4, 85748 Garching (Germany)

<sup>b</sup>Institute for Integrated Catalysis, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902 Battelle Boulevard, Richland, WA 99352, USA.

<sup>c</sup>Present address: Institute for Integrated Catalysis, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99354, United States

> \* Corresponding authors. Fax: +49 89 28913544. ricardo.bermejo@tum.de (R. Bermejo-Deval) johannes.lercher@ch.tum.de (J.A. Lercher)

## S.1. Characterization



Figure S1 X-ray diffraction after sulfidation of a)  $Cs_2WS_4$  /Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, b)  $CsW/Al_2O_3$ , c)  $Cs/Al_2O_3$ and d)  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The symbols are represented as: \* as  $Cs_2WS_4$ , § as  $WS_2$  and x as  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Table S1 Raman shifts and assignments from the different sulfur anions.

Anion	Raman Shift (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Assignment
Sulfite $(SO_3^{-2})$	496	(E) Antisymmetric SO <sub>3</sub> deformation
Sulfite $(SO_3^{-2})$	647	$(A_1)$ Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> deformation
Sulfite $(SO_3^{-2})$	986	(E) Antisymmetric SO <sub>3</sub> stretching
Thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{-2})$	323	(E) Symmetric S-S-O deformation
Thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{-2})$	452	$(A_1)$ Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> deformation
Thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{-2})$	656	(A <sub>1</sub> ) Symmetric S-SO <sub>3</sub> stretching
Thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{-2})$	1016	(A <sub>1</sub> ) Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> stretching
Dithionate $(S_2O_6^{-2})$	204	(E <sub>u</sub> ) Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> deformation
Dithionate $(S_2O_6^{-2})$	1000	(A <sub>2u</sub> ) Symmetric stretching
Pyrolsulfite $(S_2O_5^{-2})$	660	$(A_1)$ Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> deformation
Pyrolsulfite $(S_2O_5^{-2})$	1050	(A <sub>1</sub> ) Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> stretching
Dithionite $(S_2O_4^{-2})$	508	

1) 
$$CO_3^{-2} + 2H_2O + H_2S$$
 $SO_3^{-2} + CO_2 + 3H_2$  $K_{eq} = 6.76 \cdot 10^{-9}$ 2)  $SO_3^{-2} + H_2O$  $SO_4^{-2} + H_2$  $K_{eq} = 4.88 \cdot 10^{-2}$ 3)  $SO_3^{-2} + H_2S$  $S_2O_3^{-2} + H_2$  $K_{eq} = 1.64$ 4)  $O^{-2} + H_2S$  $S^{-2} + H_2O$  $K_{eq} = 2.34 \cdot 10^{18}$ 

Figure S2. Plausible reactions yielding sulfur oxyanions and the corresponding equilibrium constants at 400 °C and 1 atm. The equilibrium constants were calculated with the HSC-chemistry software. The decomposition of  $CO_3^{-2}$  into  $SO_3^{-2}$  and  $CO_2$  would be driven, under flow conditions, by the continuous removal of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  from the system pushing the equilibrium towards the product side.



Figure S3. Infrared spectroscopy after sulfidation of a)  $Cs_2WS_4/Al_2O_3$ , b)  $CsW/Al_2O_3$  and c)  $Cs/Al_2O_3$ . The symbols are represented by anions: thiosulfate (blue square), dithionate (black diamond), pyrosulfite (orange dot), pyrosulfate (red triangle) and sulfite (green triangle).

T 11 CA	T C 1	1 1	1 · ,	C /1	1.00	10 .
Toble V1	Introrod	honda one	0 0 0 1 0 m m 0 m t 0	trom the	a dittarant	aultur oniona
Lane SZ	пппатес	Danus and		11()111 1116	- annerenn	SHITTIN ATTIONS
	muuuvu	oundo une	4 WOOLZINGING	II OIII tIIt		ballal amono.
			0			

Anion	IR band (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Assignment
Sulfite (SO <sub>3</sub> - <sup>2</sup> )	968	(A <sub>1</sub> ) Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> stretching
Thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{-2})$	1146	(E) Antisymmetric SO <sub>3</sub> stretching
Dithionate $(S_2O_6^{-2})$	1000	(A <sub>2u</sub> ) Symmetric stretching
Pyrolsulfite $(S_2O_5^{-2})$	970	(A <sub>2</sub> ) Symmetric SO <sub>2</sub> stretching
Pyrolsulfite $(S_2O_5^{-2})$	1196	(A <sub>2</sub> ) Symmetric SO <sub>3</sub> stretching
Pyrosulfate $(S_2O_7^{-2})$	1380	
Pyrosulfate $(S_2O_7^{-2})$	1450	



Figure S4 Raman spectra of the same  $Cs_2WS_4/Al_2O_3$  sample after (A) and before reaction (B). The symbols (\*) and (§) are assigned to the  $WS_4^{-2}$  and  $WS_2$  phases.



Figure S5 IR Spectra of methanol adsorbed on CsW/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (previously sulfided) at a) 0.1 mbar and 50 °C, b) 1 mbar and 50 °C, c) 1 mbar and 100 °C, d) 1 mbar and 150 °C, e) 10<sup>-5</sup> mbar and 300 °C.



Figure S6 IR Spectra of methanol adsorbed on Cs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (previously sulfide) at a) 0.1 mbar and 50 °C, b) 1 mbar and 50 °C, c) 1 mbar and 100 °C, d) 1 mbar and 150 °C, e) 1 mbar and 200 °C, e) 1 mbar and 250 °C and e) 1 mbar and 300 °C.



Figure S7 IR Spectra of methanol adsorbed on γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (previously sulfided) at a) 0.1 mbar and 50 °C, b) 1 mbar and 50 °C, c) 1 mbar and 100 °C, d) 1 mbar and 150 °C, e) 1 mbar and 200 °C, e) 1 mbar and 250 °C and e) 1 mbar and 300 °C.

S.2 Catalytic Testing and Kinetic Data



Figure S8 Dimethyl ether rate formation for  $Cs_2WS_4/Al_2O_3$ ,  $CsW/Al_2O_3$ ,  $Cs/Al_2O_3$  and  $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3, in between 300-360 °C.



Figure S9 Dimethyl sulfide rate formation for  $Cs_2WS_4/Al_2O_3$ ,  $CsW/Al_2O_3$ ,  $Cs/Al_2O_3$  and  $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3, in between 300-360 °C.



Figure S10 Dimethyl disulfide rate formation for  $Cs_2WS_4/Al_2O_3$ ,  $CsW/Al_2O_3$ ,  $Cs/Al_2O_3$  and  $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3, in between 300-360 °C.



Figure S11 Methane rate formation for  $Cs_2WS_4/Al_2O_3$ ,  $CsW/Al_2O_3$ ,  $Cs/Al_2O_3$  and  $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3, in between 300-360 °C.



Figure S12 Left: Plot of ln rates of dimethyl ether production (Ln  $r_{DME}$ ) along with ln of partial pressure of methanol (C<sub>CH3OH</sub>, empty squares) or H<sub>2</sub>S (P<sub>H2S</sub>, filled squares) with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at 300°C and 9 bar. Right: Plot of ln rates of methanethiol production (Ln  $r_{CH3SH}$ ) along with ln of partial pressure of methanol (C<sub>CH3OH</sub>, empty squares) or H<sub>2</sub>S (P<sub>H2S</sub>, filled squares) with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at 300°C and 9 bar.



Figure S13 Plot of ln rates of methanethiol production (Ln  $r_{CH3SH}$ ) along with ln of partial pressure of methanol ( $C_{CH3OH}$ , empty squares) or H<sub>2</sub>S ( $P_{H2S}$ , filled squares) with Cs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at 300°C and 9 bar.



Figure S14 Methanol conversion and product yields during reaction of methanol and  $H_2S$  over  $Cs/SiO_2$  at 360 and 340 °C under same conditions as catalytic tests.

## S.3 Derivation of bimolecular rate equations for the formation of dimethyl ether and methanethiol

The following reaction steps describe the formation of dimethyl ether (CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>) and methanethiol (CH<sub>3</sub>SH):

(1) 
$$CH_3OH(g) + O^* \longrightarrow CH_3O^* + OH$$
  $K_1 = [CH_3O^*]^2/([CH_3OH] \cdot [O^*])$   
(2)  $CH_3OH(g) + c \longrightarrow CH_3O^c + H$   $K_2 = [CH_3O^c]^2/([CH_3OH] \cdot [c^*])$   
(3)  $H_2S(g) + c \longrightarrow SH^c + H$   $K_3 = [SH^c]^2/([H_2S] \cdot [c^*])$   
(4)  $CH_3OH(g) + CH_3O^* \longrightarrow CH_3OCH_3(g) + OH^*$   
(5)  $CH_3O^c + SH^c \longrightarrow CH_3S^c + OH^c$   
(6)  $CH_3S^c + H \longrightarrow CH_3SH(g) + c$   $K_6 = [CH_3SH] \cdot [c^*]/([(CH_3S^c][H]))$   
(7)  $OH^c + H \longrightarrow H_2O(g) + c$   $K_7 = [H_2O] \cdot [c^*]/([OH^*]) \cdot [OH])$   
(8)  $OH + OH^* \longrightarrow H_2O(g) + O^*$   $K_8 = [H_2O] \cdot [O^*]/([OH^*]) \cdot [OH])$ 

Scheme S1 Reaction kinetic steps for the formation dimethyl ether (DME) and CH<sub>3</sub>SH, being (O<sup>\*</sup>) the strong Lewis acid site and (<sup>c</sup>) the strong basic site of the Lewis acid-base pairs. The synthesis of DME follows an Eley-Rideal bimolecular reaction while the synthesis of CH<sub>3</sub>SH follows a Langmuir-Hinshelwood bimolecular reaction.

The Lewis acid-base pair (LABS) sites are taking part in the formation of the metoxy on a strong Lewis acid site and the alcoholate on a strong basic site. In both cases there is a dissociation of the methanol having both respective equal number on acid a base sites ([<sup>c</sup>] or [<sup>\*</sup>]). Assuming the Most Abundant Reaction Intermediates (MARI) and vacant sites ([<sup>c</sup>]) for strong Lewis acid sites:

$$[LABS^{c}] = [^{c}] + [CH_{3}O^{c}] + [SH^{c}] + [OH^{c}] + [CH_{3}S^{c}]$$

$$[LABS^{c}] = [^{c}] \cdot (1 + K_{2}^{0.5} \cdot [CH_{3}OH]^{0.5} + K_{3}^{0.5} \cdot [H_{2}S]^{0.5} + K_{6}^{-0.5} \cdot [CH_{3}S^{H}]^{0.5} + K_{7}^{-0.5} \cdot [H_{2}O]^{0.5})$$

Following the same rationale for the formation of dimethyl ether with strong Lewis acid sites:

$$[LABS^*] = [*] \cdot (1 + K_1^{0.5} \cdot [CH_3OH]^{0.5} + K_8^{-0.5} \cdot [H_2O]^{0.5})$$

Assuming reaction 3 and 4 (Scheme S1) are the rate determining steps in the formation of dimethyl ether and methanethiol, respectively, the rate expressions for these two products are the following:

$$r_{CH_3SH} = k_5 \cdot [CH_3O^c] \cdot [SH^c] = k_5 \cdot K_2^{0.5} \cdot K_3^{0.5} \cdot [CH_3OH]^{0.5} \cdot [H_2S]^{0.5} \cdot [^c]^2$$
$$r_{CH_3OCH_3} = k_4 \cdot [CH_3OH] \cdot [CH_3O^*] = k_4 \cdot K_1^{0.5} \cdot [CH_3OH]^{1.5} \cdot [^*]$$

Substituting the site balance gives:

$$r_{CH_{3}OCH_{3}} = \frac{k_{4}K_{1}^{0.5}[CH_{3}OH]^{1.5}}{1 + K_{1}^{0.5}[CH_{3}OH]^{0.5} + \frac{[H_{2}O]^{0.5}}{K_{8}^{0.5}}}[LABS * ]$$

$$r_{CH_{3}SH} = \frac{k_{5}K_{2}^{0.5}K_{3}^{0.5}[CH_{3}OH]^{0.5}[H_{2}S]^{0.5}}{(1 + K_{2}^{0.5}[CH_{3}OH]^{0.5} + K_{3}^{0.5}[H_{2}S]^{0.5} + \frac{[CH_{3}SH]^{0.5}}{K_{6}^{0.5}} + \frac{[H_{2}O]^{0.5}}{K_{7}^{0.5}}}[LABS c]$$