## **Supporting Information**

## Chromium Horseshoes as Polydentate Fluoride Ligands for Actinides and Group IV

#### **Metals**

Ji-Dong Leng,<sup>ab</sup> Andreas K. Kostopoulos,<sup>b</sup> Liam H. Isherwood,<sup>b</sup> Ana-Maria Ariciu,<sup>b</sup> Floriana Tuna,<sup>b</sup> Iñigo J. Vitórica-Yrezábal,<sup>b</sup> George F. S. Whitehead,<sup>b</sup> Grigore A. Timco,<sup>b</sup> David P. Mills<sup>b</sup> and Richard E. P. Winpenny<sup>b</sup>\* Robin G. Pritchard, <sup>b</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Guangzhou Key Laboratory for Environmentally Functional Materials and Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangzhou University, 230 Wai Huan Xi Road, Guangzhou Higher Education Mega Center, Guangzhou, 510006, P. R. China.
<sup>b</sup>School of Chemistry and Photon Science Institute, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK.

E-mail: richard.winpenny@manchester.ac.uk

## **Mass Spectrometry**



**Figure S1**. Electrospray mass spectrum of **2** ( $Cr_7Ti$ ) showing the [M+H]<sup>+</sup> and [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> signals. ES-MS (THF, m/z): +2278 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>; +2300 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.



**Figure S2**. Electrospray mass spectrum of  $Cr_6Ti_2$  showing the [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> and [M+K]<sup>+</sup> signals. ES-MS (THF, m/z): +2293 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; +2311 [M+K]<sup>+</sup>.

#### Additional magnetic measurements



**Figure S3**. Susceptibility vs. Temperature of (a) **2**, (b) **3** and (c) **5**.  $\chi_M T vs. T$ , black circles and  $\chi_M vs T$ , blue circles. Solid lines represent fittings, where  $g_{cr} = 1.98$ , (a) **2**,  $J = -5.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (b) **3**,  $J_1 = -5.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $J_2 = -5.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and (b) **5**,  $J_1 = -5.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $J_2 = -6.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Magnetic coupling models are presented as insets.



**Figure S4.** Field-dependent Experimental Magnetization plots at indicated temperatures for (a) **2** and (b) **5**. Solid lines represent simulations using the parameters derived from fitting the susceptibility data.



**Figure S5.** Susceptibility *vs.* Temperature and Field-dependent Experimental Magnetization plots of **6**. Solid lines represent fittings, where  $g_{cr} = 1.98$ ,  $g_U^{IV} = 1.70$  and  $g_U^{V} = 2.00$ ,  $J = J_{Cr-Cr} = -5.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $J_{1Cr-U}^{IV} = -2.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $J_{2Cr-U}^{V} = +4.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $J_{U-U}^{V} = +0.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

# Additional EPR Spectra



Spectral simulations were performed using the EasySpin 5.0.2 simulation software.

**Figure S6.** Variable temperature experimental Q-band (ca. 34 GHz) EPR spectra for grounded powder samples of (a) **3** at 33.9705 GHz, (b) **4** at 34.1771 GHz, (c) **5** at 34.1434 GHz and (d) **6** at 34.1266 GHz.



**Figure S7.** Experimental Q-band (ca. 34 GHz) EPR spectra (black) and simulations (red) for (a) **2** and (b) **4** and simulations of the individual spin states used for the sum simulation presented in the main text.