## Energy transfer and photoluminescence properties of lanthanide-

## containing polyoxotitanate cages coordinated by salicylate ligands

Ning Li,<sup>a,b</sup> Gomathy Sandhya Subramanian,<sup>b</sup> Peter D. Matthews,<sup>a,c</sup> James Xiao,<sup>d</sup> Vijila Chellappan,<sup>b</sup> Timothy E. Rosser,<sup>a</sup> Erwin Reisner,<sup>a</sup> He-Kuan Luo,<sup>b\*</sup> and Dominic S. Wright<sup>a\*</sup>

- a. Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, CB2 1EW, UK.
- Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, 2 Fusionopolis Way, 08-03, Innovis, Singapore, 138634.
- c. School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Lennard-Jones Building, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK.
- d. Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, J. J. Thomson Avenue, CB3 0HE, UK.



**Fig. S1.** UV-Vis absorbance spectra of the Ln-1 compounds in anhydrous chloroform. The peak position and extinction coefficients for the *ca*. 350 nm band differ slightly, depending on the choice of  $Ln^{3+}$  ion.

| (a)  | (b)  | (c)  |
|------|------|------|
| Pr-1 | Sm-1 | Eu-1 |

Fig. S2. Digital pictures of the photoluminescence of (a) Pr-1, (b) Sm-1 and (c) Eu-1 in anhydrous *n*-pentane

solution upon excitation by a 405 nm laser beam.



**Fig. S3.** The excitation spectra upon monitoring emission signals across the 700 - 550 nm range in 50 nm steps for (a) **La-1**, (b) **Gd-1**, (c) **Tb-1** and (d) **Dy-1** in anhydrous *n*-pentane solution.



**Fig. S4.** The excitation spectra upon monitoring emission signals across the 700 - 550 nm range in 50 nm steps for (a) **Ce-1** and (b) **Eu-1** in anhydrous *n*-pentane. The peaks labeled with '\*' in (b) is not from **Eu-1**, as their positions are exactly half of the monitored emission wavelengths. The difference between the excitation spectra of **Ce-1** and those shown in Fig. S3 could be due to the relatively lower energy required for the *d*-*f* transitions of Ce<sup>3+</sup> ions, although further investigations are required to reveal the detailed mechanisms behind this. In contrast, the unique shape of the **Eu-1** excitation spectra is due to the fact that emission signals from the Eu<sup>3+</sup> ion can be clearly observed. Therefore, the excitation spectra are dominated by the Eu<sup>3+</sup>-centered emission signals, instead of the shifting of the monitored emission wavelengths.



**Fig. S5.** (a) Decaying profile of the emission signal at 525 nm for respective **Ln-1** cage; calculated lifetimes using single exponential curve fitting are also shown; (b) decaying profile representing the response of the equipment employed.



**Fig. S6.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **La-1** at room temperature with the sample prepared by: (a) dissolving 5.2 mg **La-1** in 0.7 mL anhydrous  $CD_2Cl_2$ ; (b) dissolving one crystal block of **La-1** (around 0.5 mg) in 0.7 mL anhydrous  $CD_2Cl_2$ . The resultant solution is of similar colour with that used for photoluminescence study; (c) further diluted by 5 times from the sample in (b); (d) *in vacuo* removing the *n*-pentane solvent from the prepared **La-1** sample for photoluminescence study, and re-dissolving the solid residue in 0.7 mL anhydrous  $CD_2Cl_2$ . It is clearly seen that both the <sup>1</sup>H signals from the salicylate aromatic ring (denoted by '\*') and that from the isopropoxide groups (denoted by '\appri'; the signal at around 5.3 ppm overlaps with the residual signal from  $CD_2Cl_2$ ) are all well resolved, indicating that majority of the **La-1** cages retain their molecular structure in dilute solution.



Fig. S7. Emission spectra of solid-state Eu-1 sample with excitation at 400 nm (red trace) and 420 nm (blue trace).



**Fig. S8.** Comparison of the normalized steady-state emission spectra for La-1, Ce-1, Gd-1, Tb-1 and Dy-1. The normalization was based on the main emission band at *ca.* 450 nm.



Fig. S9. Energy diagram of the proposed LMCT state and the emissive state of respective Ln<sup>3+</sup> ions.