Electronic Supplementary Information

A robust Zn(II)/Na(I)-MOF decorated with $[(OAc)_2(H_2O)_2]_n^{2n-}$ anions for luminescent sensing of copper ion based on the inner filter effect

Lei-Lei Liu,*^a You-Zhu Yu,^b Xue-Jing Zhao,^a Ya-Ru Wang,^a Fei-Yang Cheng,^a Meng-Ke Zhang,^a Jing-Jing Shu^a and Lin Liu*^a

^a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anyang Normal University, Anyang 455000,

Henan, P. R. China

^b College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Anyang Institute of Technology, Anyang

455000, Henan, P. R. China

Materials and physical measurements

All the chemicals were commercially available reagents of analytical grade unless otherwise specified, and were used without further purification. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrums were conducted on a Thermo Nicolet iS50 spectrometer. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected on PANalytical X'Pert PRO MPD system (PW3040/60). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were obtained with a Thermo Escalab 250 spectrometer with monochromated $Al_{K\alpha}$ excitation. Field emission scanning electron micrographs (SEM) were obtained with a JSM 6701F microscope. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were carried out on a Netzsch STA-449F3 thermogravimetric analyzer in the nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The zeta potential were determined using dynamic light scattering (DLS) on Malvern Instruments Nanosizer-ZS. The luminescence excitation/emission spectra of the samples were measured at room temperature on a Hitachi F-4600 fluorescence spectrophotometer.

X-Ray data collection and structure determination

Single crystal of **1** was obtained directly from the above preparation. All measurement was made on a Bruker Smart Apex-II CCD area detector by using graphite monochromated Mo K α (λ = 0.071073 nm). Its crystal was mounted on glass fibers at 296 K. Cell parameter was refined by using the program Bruker *SAINT*. The collected data was reduced by using the program Bruker *SAINT* A, and the absorption correction (multi-scan) was applied. The reflection data was also corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The crystal structure of **1** was solved by direct method refined on F^2 by full-matrix least-squares technique with the SHELXTL-97 program.¹ All H atoms in **1** were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms.



Figure S1. A view of the 2D network of MOF 1 extending in the *ac* plane.



Figure S2. TGA curve of MOF 1.



Figure S3. PXRD patterns of MOF **1** after immeresing in aqueous solution at pH = 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 (24 h).



Figure S4. The PXRD patterns of simulated single crystal data MOF 1, as-synthesized MOF 1, the grined MOF 1 and the grined MOF 1 after adding Cu^{2+} ion.



Figure S5. UV–Vis absorbance (red line) of Cu^{2+} , fluorescent emission spectrum (black line) of MOF 1 with a maximum at 412 nm.



Figure S6. The fluorescence lifetime of MOF 1 in the absence (black) and presence (red) of 100 μ M Cu²⁺.



Figure S7. UV–Vis absorbance of Ni²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Co²⁺, fluorescent emission spectrum (black line) of MOF **1** with a maximum at 412 nm.



Figure S8. Emission spectra of MOF 1 after adding mixed ions (Cd²⁺, Mn²⁺, Al³⁺, Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mg²⁺, Co²⁺, Li⁺, Ba²⁺ and Sr²⁺; red curve), Cu²⁺ ion (green curve) and the mixture ions of Cu²⁺, Cd²⁺, Mn²⁺, Al³⁺, Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Co²⁺, Li⁺, Ba²⁺ and Sr²⁺ (blue curve).

Interactions	D-H [Å]	H…A [Å]	D…A [Å]	Angle (D–H…A) [°]
O2W-H2WB···O7	0.85	1.99	2.841(4)	174.3
O1W–H1WA…O5 ^a	0.85	2.31	3.100(3)	155.0
O1W-H1WB····O7 ^b	0.85	2.37	3.212(4)	171.6
O2W-H2WA····O7 ^c	0.85	1.93	2.750(3)	162.4
$O4-H4\cdots O1W^{d}$	0.85	2.51	3.006(3)	118.1
O4–H4…O6 ^d	0.85	2.58	3.271(3)	139.6

Table S1. Geometry parameters for hydrogen-bonding interactions in MOF 1.

Symmetry codes: a, x + 1, y, z, b, -x + 1, -y + 1, -z - 1; c, x + 1, -y - 1/2, z + 1; d, -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.

Compounds	Ksv (M ⁻¹)	References
$\overline{\text{Eu}_2(\text{FMA})_2(\text{OX})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	528.7	2
$[Mg_3(ndc)_{2.5}(HCOO)_2(H_2O)][NH_2Me_2]\cdot 2H_2O\cdot DMF$	1.986×10^{3}	3
ZnMGO	3.07×10^{4}	4
${[Eu_2(abtc)_{1.5}(H_2O)_3(DMA)] \cdot H_2O \cdot DMA}_n$	529	5
${Mg(DHT)(DMF)_2}_n$	170.2	6
Zr ₆ O ₄ (OH) ₄ (TCPP-H ₂) ₃	4.5×10^{5}	7
$[Tb_3(L)_2(HCOO)(H_2O)_5] \cdot DMF \cdot 4H_2O$	2021.8	8
$[Eu(pdc)_{1.5}(DMF)] \cdot (DMF)_{0.5}(H_2O)_{0.5}$	89.4	9
Eu ³⁺ @UiO-66-COOH	5.35×10^4	10
$[H_2N(CH_3)_2][Eu_3(L_1)_2(HCOO)_2(DMF)_2(H_2O)]$	2350	11
MOF 1	$7.75 imes 10^4$	this work

Table S2. Comparison of the Cu^{2+} quenching effect coefficients (*Ksv*) in reported MOF sensors.

References

- G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97, Program for X-ray Crystal Structure Solution, University of Göettingen, Germany, 1997.
- Y. Xiao, Y. Cui, Q. Zheng, S. Xiang, G. Qian and B. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 5503-5505.
- S. Bhattacharyya, A. Chakraborty, K. Jayaramulu, A. Hazra and T. K. Maji, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 13567-13570.
- 4. L. Hao, H. Song, Y. Su and Y. Lv, Analyst, 2014, 139, 764-770.
- 5. P. Y. Du, W. Gu and X. Liu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 55, 7826-7828.
- K. Jayaramulu, R. P. Narayanan, S. J. George and T. K. Maji, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 10089-10091.
- 7. L. Li, S. Shen, R. Lin, Y. Bai and H. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 9986-9989.
- J. Zhao, Y. N. Wang, W. W. Dong, Y. P. Wu, D. S. Li and Q. C. Zhang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 55, 3265-3271.
- B. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Xiao, F. R. Fronczek, M. Xue, Y. Cui and G. Qian, *Angew. Chem. Int.* Ed., 2009, 48, 500-503.
- 10. X. Zhao, D. Liu, H. Huang and C. Zhong, Micropor. Mesopor. Mat., 2016, 224, 149-154.
- 11. B. Liu, W. P. Wu, L. Hou and Y. Y. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 8731-8734.