Supporting Information

Switching on the proton transport pathway of a lanthanide metal-

organic framework by one-pot loading of tetraethylene glycol for

high proton conduction

Xi Wang, ^a Dandan Lou, ^a Xiangcheng Lu, ^a Jianbin Wu, ^a Ying Mu, ^b Yan Yan, ^b Qian Zhang ^a and Ming Bai *^a

^aMarine College, Shandong University, Weihai, Weihai 264209, People's Republic of China. Email: ming_bai@sdu.edu.cn ^bState Key Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis and Preparative Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement for SmHEDP-H₂O.

Table S2 Selected bond lengths and bond angles for SmHEDP-H₂O.

Fig. S1 Simulated and experimental powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of SmHEDP-H₂O.

Fig. S2 Thermogravimetric (TG) spectra of SmHEDP-H₂O and SmHEDP-TEG.

Fig. S3 IR spectra of SmHEDP-H₂O and SmHEDP-TEG in the range of 400 and 4000 cm⁻¹. The inset is the detailed IR spectra in the range of 1080 and 1260 cm⁻¹.

Fig. S4 Thermal ellipsoid plot (30% probability) and atomic labeling scheme of SmHEDP-H₂O.

Fig. S5 Hydrogen bond interaction existed in SmHEDP-H₂O (Color code: Sm, purple; P, yellow; O, red; C, green; H, white; hydrogen bond, blue).

Fig. S6 Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of SmHEDP- H_2O (a) and SmHEDP-TEG (b) before and after proton conductivity measurements; SEM images of SmHEDP- H_2O (c) and (d) after proton conductivity measurements

Fig. S7 Water adsorption isotherms of SmHEDP-H₂O (a) and SmHEDP-TEG (b) at 298K

| Empirical formula | $C_2H_{11}SmP_2O_{10}$ | |
|---|--|--|
| Formula weight | 407.40 | |
| Temperature | 293(2) K | |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å | |
| Crystal system, space group | Orthorhombic, Pbca | |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a = 9.7637(8)$ Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ | |
| | $b = 9.764 \text{ Å}$ $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ | |
| | $c = 20.5506(18)$ Å $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ | |
| Volume | 1959.1(2) Å ³ | |
| Z, Calculated density | 8, 2.763 mg/m ³ | |
| Absorption coefficient | 6.360 mm ⁻¹ | |
| F(000) | 1560 | |
| Crystal size | $0.21 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ | |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.878 to 25.116° | |
| Limiting indices | -11≤h≤11, -6≤k<≤11, -24≤l≤24 | |
| Reflections collected / unique | 10370 / 1743 [<i>R</i> (int) = 0.0989] | |
| Completeness to $\theta = 28.30$ | 99.8 % | |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F^2 | |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 1743 / 0 / 137 | |
| Goodness-of-fit on F^2 | 1.025 | |
| Final <i>R</i> indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0281, wR_2 = 0.0790$ | |
| <i>R</i> indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0308, wR_2 = 0.0807$ | |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.951 and -1.095 e. Å ⁻³ | |
| | | |

Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement for SmHEDP-H₂O

| Bond | Bond | Bond | Bond |
|--------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Length (Å) | | Length (Å) |
| Sm(1)-O(2) | 2.323(3) | Sm(1)-O(3) | 2.339(3) |
| Sm(1)-O(1) | 2.414(3) | Sm(1)-O(5) | 2.460(3) |
| Sm(1)-O(4)#1 | 2.464(2) | Sm(1)-O(4) | 2.485(2) |
| Sm(1)-O1w | 2.647(3) | Sm(1)-O2w | 2.508(3) |
| P(1)-O(1) | 1.501(3) | P(1)-O(5) | 1.501(3) |
| P(1)-O(7) | 1.580(3) | P(1)-C(1) | 1.834(4) |
| P(2)-O(2) | 1.509(3) | P(2)-O(3) | 1.512(3) |
| P(2)-O(4) | 1.539(3) | P(2)-C(1) | 1.843(4) |
| O(6)-C(1) | 1.467(4) | C(1)-C(2) | 1.515(5) |
| | | | |
| Bond Angle | Value (°) | Bond Angle | Value (°) |
| O(2)-Sm(1)-O(3) | 87.31(9) | O(2)-Sm(1)-O(1) | 86.18(9) |
| O(3)-Sm(1)-O(1) | 76.43(9) | O(2)-Sm(1)-O(5) | 74.86(9) |
| O(3)-Sm(1)-O(5) | 140.25(9) | O(1)-Sm(1)-O(5) | 67.33(9) |
| O(2)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 152.64(10) | O(3)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 108.06(9) |
| O(1)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 76.09(8) | O(5)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 79.09(9) |
| O(2)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 112.18(9) | O(3)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 145.34(9) |
| O(1)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 130.91(9) | O(5)-Sm(1)-O(4) | 74.15(9) |
| O(4) -Sm(1)-O(4) | 67.57(10) | O(2)-Sm(1)-O(2w) | 134.09(9) |
| O(3)-Sm(1)-O(2w) | 69.43(9) | O(1)-Sm(1)-O(2w) | 123.09(9) |
| O(5)-Sm(1)-O(2w) | 145.66(8) | O(4) -Sm(1)-O(2w) | 73.24(9) |
| O(4)-Sm(1)-O(2w) | 76.71(9) | O(2)-Sm(1)-O(1w) | 67.03(9) |
| O(3)-Sm(1)-O(1w) | 72.29(9) | O(1)-Sm(1)-O(1w) | 139.19(9) |
| O(5)-Sm(1)-O(1w) | 128.11(9) | O(4) - Sm(1) - O(1w) | 138.57(9) |
| O(4)#1-Sm(1)-O(1w) | 88.77(9) | O(2w)-Sm(1)-O(1w) | 68.32(9) |
| O(1)-P(1)-O(5) | 115.07(16) | O(1)-P(1)-O(7) | 106.15(15) |
| O(5)-P(1)-O(7) | 109.79(15) | O(1)-P(1)-C(1) | 109.89(15) |
| O(5)-P(1)-C(1) | 109.05(15) | O(7) - P(1) - C(1) | 106.54(17) |
| O(2)-P(2)-O(3) | 115.23(16) | O(2)-P(2)-O(4) | 112.30(15) |
| O(3) -P(2)-O(4) | 110.21(14) | O(2)-P(2)-C(1) | 104.21(16) |
| O(3)-P(2)-C(1) | 108.30(17) | O(4)-P(2)-C(1) | 105.92(16) |
| P(1)-O(1)-Sm(1) | 139.78(15) | P(2)-O(2)-Sm(1) | 151.26(17) |
| P(2)-O(3)-Sm(1) | 144.80(16) | P(2)-O(4)-Sm(1) | 122.95(13) |
| O(6)-C(1)-P(2) | 107.6(2) | O(6)-C(1)-C(2) | 107.2(3) |
| O(6)-C(1)-P(1) | 109.1(2) | C(2)-C(1)-P(2) | 107.6(2) |
| P(2)-C(1)-P(1) | 108.2(2) | C(2)-C(1)-P(1) | 112.3(3) |
| | | | |

Table S2 Selected bond lengths and bond angles for SmHEDP-H₂O



Fig. S1 Simulated and experimental powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of $SmHEDP-H_2O$.



Fig. S2 Thermogravimetric (TG) spectra of SmHEDP-H₂O and SmHEDP-TEG.



Fig. S3 IR spectra of SmHEDP-H₂O and SmHEDP-TEG in the range of 400 and 4000 cm^{-1} . The inset is the detailed IR spectra in the range of 1080 and 1260 cm^{-1} .



Fig. S4 Thermal ellipsoid plot (30% probability) and atomic labeling scheme of $SmHEDP-H_2O$.



Fig. S5 Hydrogen bond interaction existed in SmHEDP-H₂O (Color code: Sm, purple; P, yellow; O, red; C, green; H, white; hydrogen bond, blue).



Fig. S6 Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of SmHEDP-H₂O (a) and SmHEDP-TEG (b) before and after proton conductivity measurements; SEM images of SmHEDP-H₂O (c) and (d) after proton conductivity measurements



Fig. S7 Water adsorption isotherms of SmHEDP-H $_2O$ (a) and SmHEDP-TEG (b) at 298K