Supporting Information for

Stereocontrolled Self-Assembly and Photochromic Transformation of

Lanthanide Supramolecular Helicates

Li-Xuan Cai, Liang-Liang Yan, Shao-Chuan Li, Li-Peng Zhou and Qing-Fu Sun^{*^\dagger}

[†]State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou 350002, People's Republic of China

Corresponding Email: qfsun@fjirsm.ac.cn

Contents

1. Synthetic Procedures

2. Supplemental Figures	
Figure S1 ¹ H NMR spectrum of 2 (CDCl ₃ , 400 MHz, 293 K)	3
Figure S2 ¹³ C NMR spectrum of 2 (CDCl ₃ , 100 MHz, 293 K)	4
Figure S3 ¹ H NMR spectrum of <i>o</i> -L ^{<i>RR</i>} (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆ , 400 MHz, 293 K).	4
Figure S4 ¹ H- ¹ H COSY NMR spectrum of <i>o</i> -L ^{<i>RR</i>} (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆ , 400MHz, 293K).	5
Figure S5 ¹³ C NMR spectrum of <i>o</i> -L ^{<i>RR</i>} (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆ , 100 MHz, 293 K).	5
Figure S6 ESI-TOF-MS spectrum for ligand <i>o</i> -L ^{RR}	6
Figure S7 ¹ H NMR spectrum of [Eu₂(o-L^{RR})₃](ClO₄) ₆ (CD ₃ CN, 400 MHz, 293 K)	7
Figure S8 ¹ H NMR spectrum of [Eu ₂ (<i>o</i> -L ^{SS}) ₃](ClO ₄) ₆ (CD ₃ CN, 400 MHz, 293 K)	7
Figure S9 ¹ H- ¹ H COSY NMR spectrum of [Eu ₂ (<i>o</i> -L ^{<i>RR</i>}) ₃](ClO ₄) ₆ (CD ₃ CN, 400 MHz, 293 K)	8
Figure S10 ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CD ₃ CN, 298 K) titration spectra of titrating <i>o</i>-L ^{SS} (3.3 mg/mL) with Eu(ClO ₄) ₃	8
Figure S11 UV-Vis spectra of \boldsymbol{o} - $\boldsymbol{L}^{\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{R}}$ (3.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ M) when titrated with Eu(ClO ₄) ₃ in 2:3 v/v of CHCl ₃ /CH ₃ CN	9
Figure S12 ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CD ₃ CN, 298 K) spectra of the complex Eu ₂ (<i>o</i> -L ^{<i>RR</i>}) ₃ (ClO ₄) ₆ . The CD ₃ CN solution o	f the
complex (a, $[o-L^{RR}] = 10 \text{ mg/mL}$) is diluted to the dilute solutions (b, $[o-L^{RR}] = 5 \text{ mg/mL}$ and c, $[o-L^{RR}]$	= 1
mg/mL)	9
Figure S13 Simulated molecular models of four helicates based on o-L ^{RR} ligands with the same metal centered chir	ality
(<i>AA</i>)	10
($\Lambda\Lambda$) Figure S14 ¹ H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of ($\Lambda\Lambda$)-Eu ₂ (o -L ^{RR}) ₃ ,	10 and
($\Lambda\Lambda$) Figure S14 ¹ H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of ($\Lambda\Lambda$)-Eu ₂ (o -L ^{RR}) ₃ , ($\Delta\Delta$)-Eu ₂ (o -L ^{SS}) ₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ -TRISPHAT.	10 and 11
 (ΛΛ) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{RR})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{SS})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{RR} 	10 and 11 11
 (ΛΛ) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{RR})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{SS})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{RR}. Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{RR} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). 	10 and 11 11 12
 (ΔΔ) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>}. Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). 	10 and 11 11 12 12
 (ΔΔ) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>} Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ 	10 and 11 11 12 12 13
 (<i>AA</i>) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>}. Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆. Figure S19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). 	10 and 11 11 12 12 13 13
 (<i>AA</i>) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>} Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ Figure S19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S20 UV-Vis spectra changes of <i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} in CHCl₃ solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (a) and correspondent. 	10 and 11 11 12 12 13 13 ding
 (<i>AA</i>) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>}. Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆. Figure S19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S20 UV-Vis spectra changes of <i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} in CHCl₃ solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (a) and correspon <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} upon irradiation of 630 nm light (b); UV-Vis spectra changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃(ClO₄)₆ in CH₃CN solution 	10 and 11 11 12 12 13 ding ttion
 (<i>AA</i>) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>} Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S20 UV-Vis spectra changes of <i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} in CHCl₃ solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (a) and correspond upon irradiation of 334 nm light (b); UV-Vis spectra changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃(ClO₄)₆ upon irradiation of 630 nm light (b); UV-Vis spectra changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃(ClO₄)₆ upon irradiation of 630 nm 	10 and 11 12 12 13 ding ution light
 (<i>AA</i>) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>}. Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆. Figure S19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S20 UV-Vis spectra changes of <i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} in CHCl₃ solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (a) and correspont <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} upon irradiation of 630 nm light (b); UV-Vis spectra changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃(ClO₄)₆ upon irradiation of 630 nm (d). 	10 and 11 12 12 13 13 ding ttion light 14
 (AA) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{RR})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{SS})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{RR} Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{RR} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{RR})₃](ClO₄)₆. Figure S19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{RR})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S20 UV-Vis spectra changes of <i>o</i>-L^{RR} in CHCl₃ solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (a) and correspond <i>c</i>-L^{RR} upon irradiation of 630 nm light (b); UV-Vis spectra changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{RR})₃(ClO₄)₆ upon irradiation of 630 nm (d). Figure S21 UV-Vis absorbance changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{SS})₃(ClO₄)₆ in CH₃CN solution on alternate excitation at 334 and 	10 and 11 11 12 12 13 ding ttion light 14 630
 (ΛΛ) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>} Figure S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K) Figure S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K) Figure S18 The photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆. Figure S19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate [Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K). Figure S20 UV-Vis spectra changes of <i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} in CHCl₃ solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (a) and correspon <i>c</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>} upon irradiation of 630 nm light (b); UV-Vis spectra changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃(ClO₄)₆ upon irradiation of 630 nm (d). Figure S21 UV-Vis absorbance changes of Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃(ClO₄)₆ in CH₃CN solution on alternate excitation at 334 and nm over six cycles at 293 K. 	10 and 11 12 12 13 ding ution light 14
 (A4) Figure S14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of (ΛΛ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>RR</i>})₃, (ΔΔ)-Eu₂(<i>o</i>-L^{<i>SS</i>})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ-TRISPHAT. Figure S15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{<i>RR</i>}	10 and 11 12 12 13 ding ution light 14 630 14 cates

Figure S23 ESI-TOF-MS spectrum for the ring-closure helicate $Eu_2(c-L^{RR})_3(ClO_4)_6$	15
Figure S24 Excitation and emission spectra of <i>o</i> -L ^{SS} (black) and corresponding ring-closure product <i>c</i> -L ^{SS} upon irradiation	on
of 334 nm in CHCl ₃ (3×10 ⁻⁴ M)1	6
Figure S25 Emission spectra of $Eu_2(o-L^{SS})_3(ClO_4)_6$ and corresponding ring-closure product $Eu_2(c-L^{SS})_3(ClO_4)_6$ is	in
CH ₃ CN solution (1×10 ⁻⁴ M) ($\lambda_{ex} = 270$ nm)	6
Figure S 26 Emission spectra of ring-closure product $Eu_2(c-L^{SS})_3(ClO_4)_6$ when exited at 441nm	6

3. References

1. Synthetic Procedures

Synthesis of

4,4'-((4,4'-(perfluorocyclopent-1-ene-1,2-diyl)bis(5-methylthiophene-4,2-diyl))bis(ethyne-2,1-diyl))dianiline (2)



perfluoro-1,2-bis(2-iodo-5-methylthien-4-yl)cyclopentene^{S1} (620.1 mixture of mg, 1 mmol), А 4-ethenylbenzenamine (351.4 mg, 3 mmol), CuI (28.6 mg, 0.15 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (69.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) and degassed triethylamine (25 mL) was combined in an oven-dried Schlenk tube and stirred at 90 °C for 20 h. After removal of NEt3 by distillation, CH2Cl2 was added and the solution was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica) using CH₂Cl₂/ petroleum ether (1:1) as eluents to give a green solid (239.4 mg, 0.4 mmol) in 40% yield. ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 7.31 (d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H}), 7.18 (s, 2\text{H}), 6.63 (d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H}), 3.86 (s, 4\text{H}), 1.91 (s, 6\text{H}).$ ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.08 (s), 142.63 (s), 133.17 (s), 130.57 (s), 124.74 (s), 122.69 (s), 114.82 (s), 111.81 (s), 94.82 (s), 79.45 (s), 14.61 (s). HR-MS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{20}F_6N_2S_2$ [M+H]¹⁺: 599.1045, found 599.1040.

2. Supplemental Figures



Figure S 1 ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 2 13 C NMR spectrum of **2** (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 3 1 H NMR spectrum of $o-L^{RR}$ (DMSO- d_{6} , 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 4 ¹H-¹H COSY NMR spectrum of $o-L^{RR}$ (DMSO- d_6 , 400MHz, 293K).



Figure S 5 ¹³C NMR spectrum of \boldsymbol{o} -L^{*RR*} (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz, 293 K).



Bruker Compass DataAnalysis

Figure S 6 ESI-TOF-MS spectrum for ligand *o*-L^{RR}.



Figure S 7 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Eu_2(o-L^{RR})_3](ClO_4)_6$ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 8 ¹H NMR spectrum of [**Eu**₂(*o*-L^{SS})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 9 ¹H-¹H COSY NMR spectrum of [Eu₂(*o*-L^{*RR*})₃](ClO₄)₆ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 10 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K) titration spectra of titrating $o-L^{SS}$ (3.3 mg/mL)with Eu(ClO₄)₃ with M/L ratio varying from 0 to 1.5.



Figure S 11 UV-Vis titration spectra for ligand $o-L^{RR}$ (3.0 × 10⁻⁵ M; 2:3 v/v of CHCl₃/CH₃CN) and Eu(ClO₄)₃ with M/L ratio varying from 0 to 1.2.



Figure S 12 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K) dilution spectra of the complex $\text{Eu}_2(o-L^{RR})_3(\text{ClO}_4)_6$ at different ligand concentration $[o-L^{RR}] = 10 \text{ mg/mL}$ (a), 5 mg/mL (b) and 1 mg/mL (c).



Figure S 13 Simulated molecular models of four helicates based on $o-L^{RR}$ ligands with the same metal centered chirality ($\Lambda\Lambda$). The molecular mechanic modeling by Materials Studio program was employed to optimize the structures. First, we imported the crystal structure with the same chiral-inducing group and metal stereogenic center into the program and then changed the center linkers to our DTE units. We kept the periphery metal stereogenic center as rigid Motion Groups and only central DTE units are geometrically optimized. Based on the energy differences between the final optimized structure for the four possible conformational isomers as shown above, the M-($\Lambda\Lambda$)-(pro-*S*, *S*)-Eu₂($o-L^{RR}$)₃ isomer was obtained as the most reasonable structure.



Figure S 14 ¹H NMR enantiomeric differentiation experiments for the enantiomers of $(\Lambda\Lambda)$ -Eu₂(o-L^{*RR*})₃, and $(\Delta\Delta)$ -Eu₂(o-L^{*SS*})₃ by addition of 3 equiv. chiral resolving agent Δ -TRISPHAT.



Figure S 15 The photochromic process of the ligand L^{RR}.



Figure S 16 ¹H NMR spectrum of c-L^{*RR*} (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 17 ¹H NMR spectrum of photochromic process of ligands (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 18 The photochromic process of the helicate $[Eu_2(o-L^{RR})_3](ClO_4)_{6.}$



Figure S 19 ¹H NMR spectra of photochromic process of the helicate $[Eu_2(o-L^{RR})_3](CIO_4)_6$ (CD₃CN, 400 MHz, 293 K).



Figure S 20 UV-Vis spectra changes of $o-L^{RR}$ in CHCl₃ solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (a) and corresponding $c-L^{RR}$ upon irradiation of 630 nm light (b); UV-Vis spectra changes of Eu₂($o-L^{RR}$)₃(ClO₄)₆ in CH₃CN solution upon irradiation of 334 nm light (c) and corresponding Eu₂($c-L^{RR}$)₃(ClO₄)₆ upon irradiation of 630 nm light (d).



Figure S 21 UV-Vis absorbance changes of $Eu_2(o-L^{SS})_3(ClO_4)_6$ in CH₃CN solution on alternate excitation at 334 and 630 nm over six cycles at 293 K.



Figure S 22 CD spectra of the extracted ring-closed ligands $c-L^{RR}$ (black line) and $c-L^{SS}$ (red line) from the helicates (5×10⁻⁵ M, CHCl₃, 293K).



Figure S 23 ESI-TOF-MS spectrum for the ring-closure helicate $Eu_2(c-L^{RR})_3(ClO_4)_6$.



Figure S 24 Excitation and emission spectra of o-L^{SS} (black) and corresponding ring-closure product c-L^{SS} upon irradiation of 334 nm in CHCl₃ (3×10⁻⁴ M).



Figure S 25 Emission spectra of $\text{Eu}_2(o-\mathbf{L}^{SS})_3(\text{ClO}_4)_6$ and corresponding ring-closure product $\text{Eu}_2(c-\mathbf{L}^{SS})_3(\text{ClO}_4)_6$ in CH₃CN solution (1×10⁻⁴ M) ($\lambda_{ex} = 270$ nm).



Figure S 26 Emission spectra of ring-closure product $Eu_2(c-L^{SS})_3(ClO_4)_6$ when exited at 441nm.

3. References

S1. a) S. Fraysse, C. Coudret, J. P. Launay, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 1581; b) B. He, O. S. Wenger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17027.